



**UNITED STATES  
CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION  
BETHESDA, MD 20814**

The contents of this document will be discussed at a Commission Meeting (Briefing) scheduled for Thursday, January 23, 2014.

This document has been electronically approved and signed.

**DATE:** January 14, 2014

THIS MATTER IS NOT SCHEDULED FOR A BALLOT VOTE

A DECISIONAL MEETING FOR THIS MATTER IS SCHEDULED ON: February 5, 2014

**TO:** The Commission  
Todd A. Stevenson, Secretariat

**THROUGH:** Stephanie Tsacoumis, General Counsel  
Elliot F. Kaye, Executive Director

**FROM:** Melissa V. Hampshire, Assistant General Counsel  
Patricia M. Pollitzer, Assistant General Counsel  
Amy S. Colvin, Attorney, Division of Enforcement and Information, OGC

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Proposed Rulemaking: Amendment to Regulation on Information Disclosure Under Section 6(b) of the Consumer Product Safety Act

On May 3, 2013, the Commission voted (2-1) to approve, with changes, the Fiscal Year 2013 Midyear Review and Operating Plan Adjustments (FY 2013 Midyear Adjustments). The FY 2013 Midyear Adjustments directed staff to present for Commission consideration a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPR) updating the rule in accordance with the following guiding principles:

1. modernize the rule to account for the significant advancements in information technology that have taken place since its initial adoption in 1983;
2. streamline the rule to be as closely aligned with 15 U.S.C. 2055(b) as possible, with the objectives of (a) eliminating unnecessary administrative burdens to the agency, (b) removing extra-statutory requirements, (c) eliminating redundancies in providing notice, (d) minimizing Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) backlogs, and (e) maximizing transparency and openness in our disclosure of information;
3. maintain CPSC's compliance with the statutory requirements of 15 U.S.C. 2055(b) (*i.e.*, requirements related to notice, opportunity to submit comments, and taking reasonable steps to assure accuracy, fairness in the circumstances, and reasonable relation to effectuating the purposes of the CPSA outlined in 15 U.S.C. 2051(b)); and
4. maintain the protections of 15 U.S.C. 2055(b)(5) for information filed in accordance with the requirements of 15 U.S.C. 2064(b) (*e.g.*, Section 15(b) reports).

Staff is forwarding to the Commission a briefing package recommending that the Commission amend 16 C.F.R. part 1101 in accordance with the principles specified in the FY 2013 Midyear Adjustments.

The Office of the General Counsel has drafted an NPR for the Commission's consideration.

Please indicate your vote on the following options:

- I. Approve publication of the attached NPR in the *Federal Register*, as drafted.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Signature) \_\_\_\_\_  
(Date)

- II. Approve publication of the draft NPR in the *Federal Register*, with changes.  
(Please specify.)

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Signature) \_\_\_\_\_  
(Date)

- III. Do not approve publication of the draft NPR in the *Federal Register*.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Signature) \_\_\_\_\_  
(Date)

- IV. Take other action. (Please specify.)

---

---

---

---

(Signature)

---

(Date)

Attachment: Draft *Federal Register* Proposed Rule: Amendment to Regulation on Information Disclosure Under Section 6(b) of the Consumer Product Safety Act



UNITED STATES  
CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION  
BETHESDA, MD 20814

This document has been electronically  
approved and signed.

**Memorandum**

**TO:** The Commission

January 14, 2014

**THROUGH:** Stephanie Tsacoumis, General Counsel  
Elliot F. Kaye, Executive Director

**FROM:** Todd A. Stevenson, Secretariat  
Alberta Mills, Freedom of Information Officer

**SUBJECT:** Draft Notice of Proposed Rulemaking Updating 16 C.F.R. part 1101

On May 3, 2013, the U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC or Commission) voted on the Fiscal Year 2013 Midyear Review and Operating Plan Adjustments (Briefing package dated April 24, 2013). As part of the midyear operating plan review, the Commission directed staff to update 16 C.F.R. part 1101, the Commission's regulations on information disclosure under section 6(b) of the Consumer Product Safety Act (CPSA). The Commission stated:

As part of the Commission's ongoing commitment to transparency, openness, and efficiency as well as recognizing a need to limit unnecessary expenditures of staff resources, in FY 2013 CPSC staff will present for Commission consideration a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking updating 16 CFR § 1101 in accordance with the following guiding principles:

1. Modernize the regulation to account for the significant advancements in information technology that have taken place since its initial adoption in 1983.
2. Streamline the regulation to be as closely aligned with 15 U.S.C. § 2055(b) as possible, with the objectives of:
  - a. eliminating unnecessary administrative burdens to the agency,
  - b. removing extra-statutory requirements,
  - c. eliminating redundancies in providing notice,
  - d. minimizing FOIA backlogs, and
  - e. maximizing transparency and openness in the agency's disclosure of information.

3. Maintain CPSC's compliance with the statutory requirements of 15 U.S.C. § 2055(b) (*i.e.*, requirements related to notice, opportunity to submit comments, and taking reasonable steps to assure accuracy, fairness in the circumstances, and reasonable relation to effectuating the purposes of the CPSA outlined in 15 U.S.C. § 2051(b)).
4. Maintain the protections of 15 U.S.C. § 2055(b)(5) for information filed in accordance with the requirements of 15 U.S.C. § 2064(b) (e.g., Section 15(b) reports).

The Commission promulgated 16 C.F.R. part 1101 in 1983. The regulation has been revised only once, in November 2008, to conform the regulatory text to statutory changes mandated by the Consumer Product Safety Improvement Act of 2008 (CPSIA, Pub. L. No. 110-314). These changes included, for example, a reduction in the number of days, from 30 to 15, for firms to receive advance notice and have an opportunity to comment on information proposed for disclosure.

## **PROPOSED CHANGES**

The changes to 16 C.F.R. part 1101 recommended by staff are based principally on the guiding principles articulated by the Commission in the Fiscal Year 2013 Midyear Review and Operating Plan. As directed, staff considered transparency, openness and efficiency as well as the objectives of streamlining the regulation and removing non-statutory requirements, modernizing the regulation to reflect new technology, eliminating unnecessary administrative burdens, eliminating redundancies in providing notice and minimizing FOIA backlogs. Some proposed changes to provisions are based on staff analysis of past practice as described in the NPR. For instance, staff reviewed renotification practice in calendar year 2012 following an initial section 6(b) notice. Other changes are based on changes in technology that have taken place since publication of the final rule in December 1983. Electronic notice, for example, now is widely accepted. Proposed changes that encourage electronic notification are based on the advancements in information technology and the efficiencies created by utilization of electronic notice as described in the draft NPR.

The table below summarizes the substantive changes to the regulatory text recommended by staff for publication in the NPR. The major revisions and the rationale are described in the draft preamble of the NPR. Staff suggests revisions in six areas:

1. information subject to section 6(b)(1);
2. electronic notification;
3. treatment of information previously disclosed;
4. specific information requirements for withholding a firm's comments;
5. treatment of information claimed to be subject to certain legal privileges; and
6. treatment of publicly available information and the relationship to requirements under section 6(b)(5) of the CPSA.

Staff's recommendations for substantive changes to the rule text are described in the table below. Grammatical, typographical and technical changes made for precision and clarity are not listed in the table but are identified on pages throughout the draft proposed rule. Tab A contains staff's Draft Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPR) in redline form intended to update 16 C.F.R part 1101. The NPR seeks public comment. Tab B contains the draft NPR with no redline.

Existing Rule	Draft Proposed Rule
<p><b>§ 1101.11 General application of provisions of section 6(b)(1).</b></p> <p>(a) <i>Information subject to section 6(b)(1).</i> To be subject to the notice and analysis provisions of section 6(b)(1), information must meet all the following criteria:</p> <p>(1) The information must pertain to a specific product which is either designated or described in a manner which permits its identity to be ascertained readily by the public.</p> <p>(2) The information must be obtained, generated or received by the Commission as an entity or by individual members, employees, agents, contractors or representatives of the Commission acting in their official capacities.</p> <p>(3) The Commission or its members, employees, agents or representatives must propose to disclose the information to the public (see §1101.12).</p> <p>(4) The manner in which the product is designated or described in the information must permit the public to ascertain readily the identity of the manufacturer or private labeler. [See §1101.13.]</p> <p>(b) <i>Information not subject to section 6(b)(1).</i> The requirements of section 6(b)(1) do</p>	<p><b>§ 1101.11 General application of provisions of section 6(b)(1).</b></p> <p>(a) <i>Information subject to section 6(b)(1).</i> To be subject to the notice and analysis provisions of section 6(b)(1), information must meet all the following criteria:</p> <p>(1) The information must pertain to a specific product.</p> <p>(2) The information must be obtained under the acts the Commission administers, or be disclosed to the public in connection therewith.</p> <p>(3) The Commission, any member of the Commission, or any employee, agent, or representative, including contractor, of the Commission in an official capacity must propose to disclose the information to the public (see § 1101.12).</p> <p>(4) The manner in which the product is designated or described in the information must permit the public to ascertain readily the identity of the manufacturer or private labeler. [See § 1101.13.]</p> <p>(b) <i>Information not subject to section 6(b)(1).</i> The requirements of section 6(b)(1) do not apply to:</p>

Existing Rule	Draft Proposed Rule
<p>not apply to:</p> <p>(1) Information described in the exclusions contained in section 6(b)(4) of the CPSA (see subpart E of this rule).</p> <p>(2) Information the Commission is required by law to make publicly available. This information includes, for example, Commission notifications to foreign governments regarding certain products to be exported, as required by section 18(b) of the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 2068(b); section 14(d) of the FHSA, 15 U.S.C. 1273(d); and section 15(c) of the FFA, 15 U.S.C. 1202(c). (See the Commission's Export Policy Statement, 16 CFR part 1017.)</p> <p>(3) Information required to be disclosed to the President and Congress pursuant to section 27(j) of the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 2076(j).</p> <p>(4) Press releases issued by firms.</p> <p>(5) Information filed or presented in administrative proceedings or litigation to which the Commission is a party and which is not expressly subject to the section 6(b)(4) exceptions.</p>	<p>(1) Information described in the exclusions contained in section 6(b)(4) or (b)(5) of the CPSA (see subpart E and G of this rule).</p> <p>(2) Information the Commission is required by law to make publicly available. This information includes, for example, Commission notifications to foreign governments regarding certain products to be exported, as required by section 18(b) of the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 2067(b); section 14(d) of the FHSA, 15 U.S.C. 1273(d); and section 15(c) of the FFA, 15 U.S.C. 1202(c). (See the Commission's Export Policy Statement, 16 CFR part 1019.)</p> <p>(3) Information required to be disclosed to the President and Congress pursuant to section 27(j) of the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 2076(j).</p> <p>(4) Press releases issued by firms.</p> <p>(5) Information filed or presented in administrative proceedings or litigation to which the Commission is a party and which is not expressly subject to the section 6(b)(4) exceptions.</p> <p>(6) A report of harm posted on the publicly available consumer product safety information database pursuant to section 6A of the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 2055a.</p> <p>(7) Information that is publicly available or that has been disseminated in a manner intended to reach the public in general, such as news reports; articles in academic and scientific journals; press releases distributed through news or wire services; or information that is available on the Internet.</p> <p>(8) Information that is substantially the</p>

Existing Rule	Draft Proposed Rule
	same as information that the Commission previously disclosed in accordance with section 6(b)(1), except as specified in § 1101.31(d).
<p><b>§ 1101.13 Public ability to ascertain readily identity of manufacturer or private labeler.</b></p> <p>The advance notice and analysis provisions of section 6(b)(1) apply only when a reasonable person receiving the information in the form in which it is to be disclosed and lacking specialized expertise can readily ascertain from the information itself the identity of the manufacturer or private labeler of a particular product. The Commission will provide the advance notice and opportunity to comment if there is a question whether the public could readily ascertain the identity of a manufacturer or private labeler.</p>	<p><b>§ 1101.13 Public ability to ascertain readily identity of manufacturer or private labeler.</b></p> <p>The advance notice and analysis provisions of section 6(b)(1) apply only when a reasonable person receiving the information in the form in which the information is to be disclosed and lacking specialized expertise can readily ascertain from the information itself the identity of the manufacturer or private labeler of a particular product.</p>
<p><b>§1101.21 Form of notice and opportunity to comment.</b></p> <p>(a) <i>Notice may be oral or written.</i> The Commission will generally provide to manufacturers or private labelers written notice and opportunity to comment on information subject to section 6(b)(1). However, when the Commission publishes a finding that the public health and safety requires a lesser period of notice pursuant to section 6(b)(1) of the CPSA, the Commission may determine that it is necessary to provide the notice and opportunity to comment orally, either in person or by telephone.</p> <p>(b) <i>Content of notice.</i> The Commission will</p>	<p><b>§ 1101.21 Form of notice and opportunity to comment.</b></p> <p>(a) <i>Notice may be oral or written.</i></p> <p>(1) The Commission will generally provide to manufacturers or private labelers written notice and opportunity to comment on information subject to section 6(b)(1). Whenever possible, the Commission will transmit such notice electronically. However, when the Commission publishes a finding that the public health and safety requires a lesser period of notice pursuant to section 6(b)(1) of the CPSA, the Commission may determine that notice and opportunity to comment orally is necessary.</p> <p>(2) Any notice required to be given under the provisions of this Part 1101 may be transmitted using electronic means of communication. Whenever possible, the Commission will transmit such notice electronically.</p> <p>(b) <i>Content of notice.</i> The Commission</p>



Existing Rule	Draft Proposed Rule
<p>provide the manufacturer or private labeler with:</p> <p>(5) A statement that a request that comments be withheld from disclosure will be honored.</p> <p>(7) A statement that no further request for comment will be sought by the Commission if it intends to disclose the identical information in the same format, unless the firm specifically requests the opportunity to comment on subsequent information disclosures.</p> <p>(8) The name, address, and telephone number of the person to whom comments should be sent and the time when any comments are due (<i>see</i> §1101.22).</p>	<p>shall, to the extent practicable, provide the manufacturer or private labeler with:</p> <p>(5) A statement that if the manufacturer or private labeler objects to disclosure of its comments or a portion thereof, the manufacturer or private labeler must notify the Commission of such objection at the time the manufacturer or private labeler submits its comments, provide a rationale, such as an applicable statutory or regulatory basis or provision, for why the comments should not be disclosed, and explain why disclosure of the comments is not necessary to assure that the disclosure of the information that is the subject of the comments is fair in the circumstances.</p> <p>(7) A statement that no further request for comment will be sought by the Commission if the Commission intends to disclose information that is substantially the same as the information that the Commission previously disclosed.</p> <p>(8) The name, address, applicable contact information for electronic communication, and telephone number of the person to whom comments should be sent and the time when any comments are due (see § 1101.22).</p>
<p><b>§1101.22 Timing: request for time extensions.</b></p> <p>(a) <i>Time for comment.</i> (1) Generally firms will receive ten (10) calendar days from the date of the letter in which the Commission transmits the notice to furnish comments to the Commission. Firms that receive requests for comments by mail will receive an additional three (3) days to comment to account for time in the mail.</p>	<p><b>§ 1101.22 Timing: request for time extensions.</b></p> <p>(a) <i>Time for comment.</i> (1) In the interest of promoting timely notification, the Commission, whenever possible, will transmit electronically to the manufacturer or private labeler the notice to furnish comments to the Commission. Generally firms will receive ten (10) calendar days from the date of such notice. Firms that receive notice by mail will receive an additional three (3) calendar days to comment to account for time in the mail.</p>

Existing Rule	Draft Proposed Rule
<p>(2) Upon his or her own initiative or upon request, the Freedom of Information Officer may provide a different amount of time for comment, particularly for firms that receive voluminous or complex material. In addition, the Commission may publish a finding that the public health and safety requires a lesser period of notice and may require a response in a shorter period of time (<i>see</i> §1101.24).</p> <p>(b) <i>No response submitted.</i> (1) If the Commission has not received a response within the time specified and if it has received no request for extension of time, the Commission will analyze the information as provided in subpart D. If no comments are submitted the Commission will not give the further notice provided in section 6(b)(2).</p> <p>(2) Unless the Commission publishes a finding that the public health and safety requires a lesser period of notice (<i>see</i> §1101.23), the Commission will not disclose the information in fewer than 15 days after providing a manufacturer or private labeler notice and opportunity to comment.</p>	<p>(2) Upon request, the Freedom of Information Officer may provide a different amount of time for comment, particularly for firms that receive voluminous or complex material. In addition, the Commission may publish a finding that the public health and safety requires a lesser period of notice and may require a response in a shorter period of time (<i>see</i> § 1101.23).</p> <p>(b) <i>No response submitted.</i> (1) If the Commission has not received a response within the time specified and has received no request for extension of time, the Commission will analyze the information as provided in subpart D. If no comments are submitted, the Commission will not give the further notice provided in section 6(b)(2).</p> <p>(2) The Commission will not disclose the information in fewer than 15 calendar days after providing a manufacturer or private labeler with notice and an opportunity to comment, unless (i) the firm agrees to a lesser period or does not object to disclosure, or (ii) the Commission publishes a finding that the public health and safety requires a lesser period of notice (<i>see</i> § 1101.23).</p>
<p><b>§ 1101.23 Providing less than 15 days notice before disclosing information.</b></p> <p>(c) <i>Notice of finding.</i> The Commission will inform a manufacturer or private labeler of a product which is the subject of a public health and safety finding that the public health and safety requires less than 15 days advance notice either orally or in writing, depending on the immediacy of the need for quick action. Where applicable, before releasing information, the Commission will comply with the requirements of section 6(b) (1) and (2) by giving the firm the opportunity to comment on the information, either orally or in writing</p>	<p><b>§ 1101.23 Providing less than 15 days notice before disclosing information.</b></p> <p>(c) <i>Notice of finding.</i> The Commission will inform a manufacturer or private labeler of a product which is the subject of a public health and safety finding that the public health and safety requires less than 15 calendar days advance notice either orally or in writing, depending on the immediacy of the need for quick action. If written notice is provided, the Commission, whenever possible, will transmit such notice electronically. Before releasing information, the Commission will comply with the requirements of section 6(b)(1) and (2) by</p>

Existing Rule	Draft Proposed Rule
<p>depending on the immediacy of the need for quick action, and by giving the firm advance notice before disclosing information claimed by a manufacturer or private labeler to be inaccurate (see §1101.25).</p>	<p>giving the firm the opportunity to comment on the information, either orally or in writing depending on the immediacy of the need for quick action, and by giving the firm advance notice before disclosing information claimed by a manufacturer or private labeler to be inaccurate (see § 1101.25).</p>
<p><b>§ 1101.24 Scope of comments Commission seeks.</b></p> <p>(b) <i>Claims of confidentiality.</i> If the manufacturer or private labeler believes the information involved cannot be disclosed because of section 6(a)(2) of the CPSA (15 U.S.C. 2055(a)(2)), which pertains to trade secret or other confidential material, the firm may make claims of confidentiality at the time it submits its comments to the Commission under this section. Such claims must identify the specific information which the firm believes to be confidential or trade secret material and must state with specificity the grounds on which the firm bases its claims. (See Commission's Freedom of Information Act regulation, 16 CFR part 1015, particularly 16 CFR 1015.18.)</p> <p>(c) <i>Requests for nondisclosure of comments.</i> If a firm objects to disclosure of its comments or a portion thereof, it must notify the Commission at the time it submits its comments. If the firm objects to the disclosure of a portion of its comments, it must identify those portions which should be withheld.</p>	<p><b>§ 1101.24 Scope of comments Commission seeks.</b></p> <p>(b) <i>Claims of confidentiality.</i> If the manufacturer or private labeler believes the information involved cannot be disclosed because of section 6(a)(2) of the CPSA (15 U.S.C. 2055(a)(2)), which refers to trade secret or other confidential material and information subject to 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(4), the firm may make claims of confidentiality at the time it submits its comments to the Commission under this section. Such claims must identify the specific information which the firm believes to be confidential or trade secret material or subject to 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(4) and must state with specificity the grounds on which the firm bases its claims. (See Commission's Freedom of Information Act regulation, 16 CFR part 1015, particularly 16 CFR 1015.18.)</p> <p>(c) <i>Requests for nondisclosure of comments.</i> If a firm objects to disclosure of its comments or a portion thereof, the firm must notify the Commission of such objection at the time the firm submits its comments, provide a rationale, such as an applicable statutory or regulatory basis or provision, for why the comments should not be disclosed, and explain why disclosure of the comments is not necessary to assure that the disclosure of the information that is the subject of the comments is fair in the circumstances. If the firm objects to the disclosure of a portion of its comments, the firm must specifically identify those</p>

Existing Rule	Draft Proposed Rule
	portions that should be withheld. Conclusory statements that comments must be withheld with no supporting basis are not sufficient to justify a request for nondisclosure.
<p><b>§ 1101.25 Notice of intent to disclose.</b></p> <p>(b) <i>Commission finding a lesser period is required.</i> The Commission may determine that the public health and safety requires less than 5 days advance notice of its intent to disclose information claimed to be inaccurate. For example, the Commission may determine it is necessary to warn the public quickly because individuals may be in danger from a product hazard or a potential hazard, or to correct product safety information released by third persons, which mischaracterized statements made by the Commission about the product or which attributes to the Commission statements about the product which the Commission did not make.</p> <p>(c) <i>Notice of findings.</i> The Commission will inform a manufacturer or private labeler of a product which is the subject of a public health and safety finding that the public health and safety requires less than 5 days advance notice either orally or in writing, depending on the immediacy of the need for quick action.</p>	<p><b>§ 1101.25 Notice of intent to disclose.</b></p> <p>(b) <i>Commission finding a lesser period is required.</i> The Commission may determine that the public health and safety requires less than five (5) calendar days advance notice of the Commission’s intent to disclose information claimed to be inaccurate. For example, the Commission may determine that the public must be warned more quickly than five (5) calendar days because of danger from a product hazard or a potential hazard, or to correct product safety information released by third persons, which mischaracterizes statements made by the Commission about the product or which inaccurately attributes to the Commission statements about the product.</p> <p>(c) <i>Notice of findings.</i> The Commission will inform a manufacturer or private labeler of a product that is the subject of a public health and safety finding that the public health and safety requires less than five (5) calendar days advance notice either orally or in writing, depending on the immediacy of the need for quick action. If written notice is provided, the Commission, whenever possible, will transmit such notice electronically.</p>
<p><b>§ 1101.31 General requirements.</b></p> <p>(a) <i>Timing of decisions.</i> The Commission will attempt to make its decision on disclosure so that it can disclose information in accordance with section 6(b) as soon as is reasonably possible after expiration of the statutory fifteen day moratorium on disclosure.</p> <p>(b) <i>Inclusion of comments.</i> In disclosing any information under this section, the</p>	<p><b>§ 1101.31 General requirements.</b></p> <p>(a) <i>Timing of decisions.</i> The Commission will attempt to make its decision on disclosure so that the Commission can disclose information in accordance with section 6(b) after expiration of the statutory 15-day prohibition on disclosure.</p> <p>(b) <i>Inclusion of comments.</i> In disclosing any information under this section, the</p>

Existing Rule	Draft Proposed Rule
<p>Commission will include any comments or other information submitted by the manufacturer or private labeler unless the manufacturer or private labeler at the time it submits its section 6(b) comments specifically requests the Commission not to include the comments or to include only a designated portion of the comments and disclosure of the comments on such a designated portion is not necessary to assure that the disclosure of the information which is the subject of the comments is fair in the circumstances.</p> <p>(c) <i>Explanatory statements.</i> Where appropriate, the Commission will accompany the disclosure of information subject to this subpart with an explanatory statement that makes the nature of the information disclosed clear to the public. Inclusion of an explanatory statement is in addition to, and not a substitute for, taking reasonable steps to assure the accuracy of information. To the extent it is practical the Commission will also accompany the disclosure with any other relevant information in its possession that places the released information in context.</p> <p>(d) <i>Information previously disclosed.</i> If the Commission has previously disclosed, in accordance with section 6(b)(1), the identical information it intends to disclose again in the same format, it will not customarily take any additional steps to assure accuracy unless the Commission has some reason to question its</p>	<p>Commission may, and upon the request of the manufacturer or private labeler shall, include any comments or other information or a summary thereof submitted by the manufacturer or private labeler to the extent permitted by and subject to the requirements of section 6(b). If the manufacturer or private labeler, at the time it submits its section 6(b) comments, specifically requests that the Commission not include the comments, or include only a designated portion of the comments, the manufacturer or private labeler must provide for evaluation by the Commission, a rationale, such as an applicable statutory or regulatory basis or provision, supporting such withholding and an explanation of why disclosure of the comments is not necessary to assure that the disclosure of the information that is the subject of the comments is fair in the circumstances.</p> <p>(c) <i>Explanatory statements.</i> Where appropriate, the Commission will accompany the disclosure of information subject to this subpart with an explanatory statement that makes the nature of the information disclosed clear to the public. Inclusion of an explanatory statement is in addition to, and not a substitute for, taking reasonable steps to assure the accuracy of information. The Commission also will accompany the disclosure, to the extent practicable, with any other relevant information in the Commission's possession that places the released information in context</p> <p>(d) <i>Information previously disclosed.</i> If the Commission intends to disclose information that is substantially the same as information that the Commission previously disclosed in accordance with section 6(b)(1), the Commission is not obligated to take any additional steps to assure accuracy unless the</p>

Existing Rule	Draft Proposed Rule
<p>accuracy or unless the firm, in its comments responding to the Commission's initial section 6(b) notice, specifically requests the opportunity to comment on subsequent disclosures, or unless the Commission determines that sufficient time has passed to warrant seeking section 6(b) comment again. Before disclosing the information the Commission will again review the information to see if accuracy is called into question and will further look to whether disclosure is fair in the circumstances and reasonably related to effectuating the purposes of the Acts the Commission administers.</p>	<p>Commission has reason to question the accuracy of the information.</p>
<p><b>§ 1101.33 Reasonable steps to assure information release is fair in the circumstances.</b></p> <p>(a) The steps set forth below are the steps the Commission has determined are reasonable to take to assure disclosure of information to the public is fair in the circumstances:</p> <p>(1) The Commission will accompany information disclosed to the public with the manufacturer's or private labeler's comments unless the manufacturer or private labeler asks in its section 6(b) comments that its comments or a designated portion thereof not accompany the information.</p>	<p><b>§ 1101.33 Reasonable steps to assure information release is fair in the circumstances.</b></p> <p>(a) The steps set forth below are the steps the Commission has determined are reasonable to take to assure disclosure of information to the public is fair in the circumstances:</p> <p>(1) To the extent permitted by and subject to the requirements of section 6(b), the Commission may accompany information disclosed to the public with the manufacturer's or private labeler's comments or other information or a summary thereof unless the manufacturer or private labeler asks in the firm's section 6(b) comments that the comments or a designated portion thereof not accompany the information, provides a rationale, such as an applicable statutory or regulatory basis or provision, for why the comments should not be disclosed, and explains why disclosure of the comments is not necessary to assure that the disclosure of the information that is the subject of the comments is fair in the circumstances. If the firm objects to the disclosure of a portion of the firm's comments, the firm must specifically identify those portions that should be withheld.</p>

<b>Existing Rule</b>	<b>Draft Proposed Rule</b>
<p>(2) The Commission generally will accompany the disclosure of information with an explanatory statement that makes the nature of the information disclosed clear to the public. The Commission will also take reasonable steps to disclose any other relevant information in its possession that will assure disclosure is fair in the circumstances.</p> <p>(3) The Commission will limit the form of disclosure to that which it considers appropriate in the circumstances. For example, the Commission may determine it is not appropriate to issue a nationwide press release in a particular situation and rather will issue a press release directed at certain localities, regions, or user populations.</p> <p>(4) The Commission may delay disclosure of information in some circumstances. For example, the Commission may elect to postpone an information release until an investigation, analysis or test of a product is complete, rather than releasing information piecemeal.</p> <p>(b) The Commission will not disclose information when it determines that disclosure would not be fair in the circumstances. The following are examples of disclosures which generally would not be fair in the circumstances.</p> <p>(1) Disclosure of information furnished by a firm to facilitate prompt remedial action or settlement of a case when the firm has a reasonable expectation that the information will be maintained by the Commission in</p>	<p>Conclusory statements that comments must be withheld with no supporting basis are not sufficient to justify a request for nondisclosure.</p> <p>(2) The Commission generally will accompany the disclosure of information with an explanatory statement that makes the nature of the information disclosed clear to the public. The Commission will also take reasonable steps to disclose any other relevant information in its possession that will assure disclosure is fair in the circumstances.</p> <p>(3) The Commission will limit the form of disclosure to that which the Commission considers appropriate in the circumstances. For example, the Commission may determine that issuance of a nationwide press release in a particular situation is not appropriate and rather will issue a press release directed at certain localities, regions or user populations.</p> <p>(4) The Commission may delay disclosure of information in some circumstances. For example, the Commission may elect to postpone an information release until an investigation, analysis or test of a product is complete, rather than releasing information piecemeal if such disclosure would be unfair.</p> <p>(b) The Commission will not disclose information when it determines that disclosure would not be fair in the circumstances. The following are examples of disclosures which generally would not be fair in the circumstances.</p> <p>(1) Disclosure of information furnished by a firm to facilitate prompt remedial action or settlement of a case when the firm has a reasonable expectation that the information will be maintained by the Commission in confidence.</p>

Existing Rule	Draft Proposed Rule
<p>confidence.</p> <p>(2) Disclosure of notes or minutes of meetings to discuss or negotiate settlement agreements and of drafts of documents prepared during settlement negotiations, where the firm has a reasonable expectation that such written materials will be maintained by the Commission in confidence.</p> <p>(3) Disclosure of the work-product of attorneys employed by a firm and information subject to an attorney/client privilege, if the Commission has obtained the information from the client or the attorney, the attorney or client advises the Commission of the confidential nature of the information at the time it is submitted to the Commission, and the information has been maintained in confidence by the client and the attorney.</p> <p>(4) Disclosure of a firm's comments (or a portion thereof) submitted under section 6(b)(1) over the firm's objection</p>	<p>(2) Disclosure of staff notes or minutes of meetings to discuss or negotiate settlement agreements and of drafts of documents prepared during settlement negotiations, where the firm has a reasonable expectation that such written materials will be maintained by the Commission in confidence.</p> <p>(3) Disclosure of a firm's comments (or a portion thereof) submitted under section 6(b)(1) if the firm provides a rationale, such as an applicable statutory or regulatory basis or provision, for why the comments should not be disclosed and explains why disclosure of the comments is not necessary to assure that the disclosure of the information that is the subject of the comments is fair in the circumstances.</p>
<p><b>§1101.42 Imminent hazard exception</b></p> <p>(b) <i>Scope of exception.</i> This exception applies once the Commission has filed an action under section 12 of the CPSA (15 U.S.C. 2061), in a United States district court. Once the exception applies, information may be disclosed to the public while the proceeding is pending without following the requirements of section 6(b)(1) if the information concerns or relates to the product alleged to be imminently hazardous. Upon termination of the proceeding, information filed with the court or otherwise made public is not subject to section 6(b). Information in the Commission's possession which has not been made public is subject to section 6(b).</p>	<p><b>§1101.42 Imminent hazard exception</b></p> <p>(b) <i>Scope of exception.</i> This exception applies once the Commission has filed an action under section 12 of the CPSA (15 U.S.C. 2061), in a United States district court. Once the exception applies, information may be may be disclosed to the public without following the requirements of section 6(b)(1) if the information concerns or relates to the product alleged to be imminently hazardous.</p>



Existing Rule	Draft Proposed Rule
<p><b>§ 1101.63 Information submitted pursuant to section 15(b) of the CPSA</b></p>	<p><b>§ 1101.63 Information submitted pursuant to section 15(b) of the CPSA.</b></p>
<p>(c) Section 6(b)(5) does not apply to information independently obtained or prepared by the Commission staff.</p>	<p>(c) Section 6(b)(5) does not apply to information (1) independently obtained or prepared by the Commission staff or (2) identified by the Commission staff through publicly available sources. For example, information that is publicly available or that has been disseminated in a manner intended to reach the public in general, such as news reports; articles in academic and scientific journals; press releases distributed through news or wire services; information that is available on the Internet; or information appearing on the publicly available consumer product safety information database established pursuant to section 6A of the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 2055a, does not fall within section 6(b)(5)'s disclosure limits.</p>

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

Staff recommends publication of the draft proposed rule with staff’s suggested amendments, as described in this memorandum. Staff recommends that the proposed rule seek comment for 60 days after publication in the *Federal Register*.

Attachments:

Tab A: Draft Proposed Rule (redline form)

Tab B: Draft Proposed Rule (no redline)

# TAB A

Billing Code 6355-01-P

**CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION**

**16 CFR Part 1101**

[CPSC Docket No. \_\_\_\_\_]

**Amendment to Regulation on Information Disclosure Under Section 6(b) of the Consumer Product Safety Act**

**AGENCY:** Consumer Product Safety Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** The Consumer Product Safety Commission (Commission, CPSC, or we) is issuing this notice of proposed rulemaking (NPR) to update the regulation that interprets section 6(b) of the Consumer Product Safety Act (CPSA). In 1983, the Commission issued a regulation interpreting the provisions of section 6(b) of the CPSA, and we are proposing to modernize that regulation to account for the significant improvements in information technology that have occurred since the regulation's adoption. We are also proposing to streamline the regulation to be as closely aligned with section 6(b) as possible, while maintaining our compliance with the statutory requirements and the protections of section 6(b)(5) for information filed in accordance with the requirements of section 15(b) of the CPSA. This notice seeks comments on the proposed changes to the regulation.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received by **[INSERT 60 DAYS AFTER DATE OF PUBLICATION IN THE FEDERAL REGISTER]**.

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments, identified by Docket No. \_\_\_\_\_, by any of the following methods:

Electronic Submissions

Submit electronic comments in the following way:

Federal eRulemaking Portal: <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

The Commission is no longer accepting comments submitted by electronic mail (e-mail), except through: <http://www.regulations.gov>.

#### Written Submissions

Submit written submissions in the following way:

Mail/Hand delivery/Courier (for paper, disk, or CD-ROM submissions), preferably in five copies, to: Office of the Secretary, U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20814-4408; telephone (301) 504-7923.

Instructions: All submissions received must include the agency name and docket number for this proposed collection of information. All comments received may be posted without change, including any personal identifiers, contact information, or other personal information provided to: <http://www.regulations.gov>. Do not submit confidential business information, trade secret information, or other sensitive or protected information electronically. Such information should be submitted in writing, with the sensitive portions clearly identified.

Docket: For access to the docket to read background documents or comments received, go to: <http://www.regulations.gov>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Todd A. Stevenson, Secretariat, Office of the Secretary, U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20814-4408; telephone (301) 504-6836; [tstevenson@cpsc.gov](mailto:tstevenson@cpsc.gov).

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **I. Background**

Section 6(b) of the CPSA governs information disclosure by the Commission to the public. When disclosing information, the Commission, to the extent practicable, shall notify each manufacturer or private labeler of information to be disclosed that “pertains” to a consumer product, if the information “will permit the public to ascertain readily the identity of [the] manufacturer or private labeler” of the product. 15 U.S.C. 2055(b). Section 6(b)(1) also requires the Commission to “take reasonable steps to assure” that the information to be disclosed “is accurate, and that [its] disclosure is fair in the circumstances and reasonably related to effectuating the purposes of [the CPSA].” *Id.* In 1980, the U.S. Supreme Court ruled that disclosures under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) are covered by the section 6(b)(1) restrictions. *Consumer Product Safety Commission v. GTE Sylvania, Inc.*, 447 U.S. 102 (1980).

On December 29, 1983, we published a final rule interpreting section 6(b) of the CPSA. 48 FR 57430. The rule, 16 CFR part 1101, describes our procedures for providing manufacturers and private labelers with advance notice and “a reasonable opportunity to submit comments” to the Commission on proposed disclosures of product-specific information. The rule also explains the “reasonable steps” we will take pursuant to section 6(b) to assure, prior to public disclosure of product-specific information, that (1) the information is accurate; (2) disclosure of the information is fair in the circumstances; and (3) disclosure of the information is reasonably related to effectuating the purposes of the statutes the Commission administers.

The Consumer Product Safety Improvement Act of 2008 (CPSIA), Public Law 110-314, 122 Stat. 3016, enacted on August 14, 2008, amended section 6 of the CPSA. The amendments shortened the time periods from 30 to 15 days for manufacturers and private labelers to receive advance notice and have an opportunity to comment on any disclosure to the public of product-specific information. In addition, the amendments eliminated the requirement that the

Commission publish a Federal Register notice when the Commission makes a finding that the public health and safety necessitates public disclosure within a lesser period of notice than required by section 6(b)(1). The amendments also broadened the statutory exceptions to section 6(b). For example, the amendments excluded from section 6(b) the public disclosure of information with respect to a consumer product which the Commission has reasonable cause to believe is in violation of any consumer product safety rule or provision under the CPSA or similar rule or provision of any other Act enforced by the Commission. On November 28, 2008, we published a final rule to reflect these statutory amendments. 73 FR 72335.

On May 3, 2013, the Commission voted (2-1) to approve, with changes, the Fiscal Year 2013 Midyear Review and Operating Plan Adjustments (FY 2013 Midyear Adjustments), which directed staff to present for Commission consideration, an NPR updating the rule in accordance with the following guiding principles:

1. modernize the rule to account for the significant advancements in information technology that have taken place since its initial adoption in 1983;
2. streamline the rule to be as closely aligned with 15 U.S.C. 2055(b) as possible, with the objectives of (a) eliminating unnecessary administrative burdens to the agency, (b) removing extra-statutory requirements, (c) eliminating redundancies in providing notice, (d) minimizing Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) backlogs, and (e) maximizing transparency and openness in our disclosure of information;
3. maintain CPSC's compliance with the statutory requirements of 15 U.S.C. 2055(b) (*i.e.*, requirements related to notice, opportunity to submit comments, and taking reasonable steps to assure accuracy, fairness in the circumstances, and

reasonable relation to effectuating the purposes of the CPSA outlined in 15 U.S.C. 2051(b)); and

4. maintain the protections of 15 U.S.C. 2055(b)(5) for information filed in accordance with the requirements of 15 U.S.C. 2064(b) (*e.g.*, Section 15(b) reports).

See <http://www.cpsc.gov//Global/Newsroom/FOIA/Records-of-Commission-Action-and-Meeting-Minutes/RCAFY13MidyearReviewandOperatingPlanAdjustments%20050313.pdf>. The Commission is proposing this amendment to update the rule in accordance with the principles specified in the FY 2013 Midyear Adjustments. This proposed amendment also contains technical revisions, including typographical and citation corrections, and changes to conform the rule to the statute.

## **II. Description of the Proposed Rule**

The proposal would amend Title 16 of the Code of Federal Regulations: Part 1101, titled “Information Disclosure Under Section 6(b) of the Consumer Product Safety Act.” We describe each proposed amendment in detail immediately below.

### *1. Proposed Changes to the Table of Contents*

In § 1101.12, remove: “Commission must disclose information to the public” and in its place, add: “Definition of “public”.”

### *2. Proposed Changes to § 1101.1 (General background.)*

Section 1101.1(b) sets forth the statutory requirements on which the regulation is based. Currently, the last sentence of § 1101.1(b)(1) states: “Additional limitations on the disclosure of information reported to the Commission under section 15(b) of the CPSA are established in section 6(b)(5).” Pursuant to section 6(b)(5), the Commission shall not disclose to the public

information submitted to the Commission under section 15(b) of the CPSA. The section 6(b)(5) limitations, however, do not apply to the public disclosure of:

1. information with respect to a consumer product which is the subject of an action brought under section 12;
2. information with respect to a consumer product which the Commission has reasonable cause to believe is in violation of any consumer product safety rule or provision under the CPSA or similar rule or provision of any other Act enforced by the Commission; or
3. information in the course of or concerning a judicial proceeding.

Accordingly, we propose clarifying the last sentence of § 1101.1(b)(1) to state: “Section 6(b)(5) creates additional limitations, as well as exceptions to these limitations, on the disclosure of information reported to the Commission under section 15(b) of the CPSA.”

In addition, we propose the following technical changes to § 1101.1:

- A. In § 1101.1(b)(1), insert: “calendar” between “15” and “days”.
- B. In § 1101.1(b)(1), remove: “Exceptions to these requirements are established in section 6(b)(4)” and in its place, add: “Section 6(b)(4) establishes exceptions to these requirements”.
- C. In the last sentence of § 1101.1(c), remove: “April 27, 1983” and in its place, add: “January 16, 2003.”.

3. *Proposed Changes to § 1101.2 (Scope.)*

We propose the following technical changes to § 1101.2:

- A. Remove the statutory citation: “2085” and in its place, add: “2089”.
- B. Remove the statutory citation: “1476” and in its place, add: “1477”.



- C. Remove the statutory citation: “1276” and in its place, add: “1278a”.
- D. Remove: “These provisions are now applicable to the Virginia Graeme Baker Pool and Spa Safety Act, 15 U.S.C. 8003(a); and the Children’s Gasoline Burn Prevention Act § 2(a), Public Law 110-278, 122 Stat. 2602 (July 17, 2008)” and in its place, add: “These provisions also apply to the Child Safety Protection Act 101 and 102, Public Law 103-267, 108 Stat. 722 (June 16, 1994) (CSPA); the Virginia Graeme Baker Pool and Spa Safety Act, 15 U.S.C. 8003(a) (VGBA); and the Children's Gasoline Burn Prevention Act 2(a), Public Law 110-278, 122 Stat. 2602 (July 17, 2008) (CGBPA)”.

4. *Proposed Changes to § 1101.11 (General application of provisions of section 6(b)(1).)*

Section 1101.11(a) sets forth information subject to section 6(b)(1) of the CPSA. Section 6(b)(1) requires the Commission to provide notice and an opportunity to comment to each manufacturer or private labeler if the manner in which a consumer product is designated or described in the information proposed for disclosure “will permit the public to ascertain readily the *identity of such manufacturer or private labeler*” (emphasis added). Currently, § 1101.11(a)(1) deviates from the statutory language, stating: “The information must pertain to a specific product which is either designated or described in a manner which permits its identity to be ascertained readily by the public.” We propose revising this provision to conform to the language contained in section 6(b)(1). Specifically, section 6(b)(1) requires notice and an opportunity to comment only if the identity of the manufacturer or private labeler can be ascertained readily by the public. Section 6(b)(1) does not require that the identity of the *product* be ascertained readily by the public. Therefore, to be as closely aligned with the statutory language as possible, we propose removing from § 1101.11(a)(1) the phrase: “which is either

designated or described in a manner which permits its identity to be ascertained readily by the public”. Proposed § 1101.11(a) would state: “(1) The information must pertain to a specific product.”

Currently, § 1101.11(a)(2) states: “The information must be obtained, generated or received by the Commission as an entity or by individual members, employees, agents, contractors or representatives of the Commission acting in their official capacities.” This statement differs from the language in section 6(b). Section 6(b) applies to the “public disclosure of any information obtained under this Act, or to be disclosed to the public in connection therewith.” 15 U.S.C. 2055(b). When the Commission originally proposed the regulation in 1982, § 1101.11(a)(2) used only the word “obtained.” 48 FR 57407. Several commenters, however, requested that the Commission expand § 1101.11(a)(2) “to cover information obtained, generated, or received by the Commission.” *Id.* The Commission agreed to include the terms “generated or received,” explaining that “the Commission did not intend to limit its responsibilities under section 6(b), but rather intended to cover all information in the possession of the Commission.” *Id.* In accordance with the FY 2013 Midyear Adjustments’ directive to streamline the regulation to be as closely aligned with 15 U.S.C. 2055(b) as possible, we propose revising § 1101.11(a)(2) to conform to the statutory language. We believe that by inserting “generated or received,” the Commission adopted a broader interpretation of the provision, “public disclosure of any information obtained under this Act, or to be disclosed to the public in connection therewith,” than what was intended under the statute. For these reasons, we propose revising § 1101.11(a)(2) to state: “The information must be obtained under the acts the Commission administers, or be disclosed to the public in connection therewith.”

Section 1101.11(b) sets forth information not subject to the requirements of section 6(b)(1). Currently, § 1101.11(b)(1) states: “Information described in the exclusions contained in section 6(b)(4) of the CPSA (see subpart E of this rule).” As discussed above, in § 1101.1, section 6(b)(5)’s limitations on the disclosure of information reported to the Commission under section 15(b) of the CPSA do not apply to the public disclosure of:

1. information with respect to a consumer product which is the subject of an action brought under section 12;
2. information with respect to a consumer product which the Commission has reasonable cause to believe is in violation of any consumer product safety rule or provision under the CPSA or similar rule or provision of any other act enforced by the Commission; or
3. information in the course of or concerning a judicial proceeding.

We propose revising § 1101.11(b)(1) to clarify that the requirements of section 6(b)(1) do not apply to these exceptions. Proposed § 1101.11(b)(1) would state: “Information described in the exclusions contained in section 6(b)(4) or (b)(5) of the CPSA (see subpart E and G of this rule).”

In addition to specifying these exceptions in the rule, we are proposing to include three other categories of information not subject to the requirements of section 6(b). Not only will these additions conform to new statutory requirements established by the CPSIA, but the additions also will maximize transparency and openness in our disclosure of information. Therefore, we propose adding the following three categories to the list of information not subject to the notice and comment requirements of section 6(b)(1):

1. a report of harm posted on the publicly available consumer product safety information database.

2. information that is publicly available.
3. information that is substantially the same as information that the Commission previously disclosed in accordance with section 6(b)(1), except as specified in § 1101.31(d).

Section 6A(f)(1) of the CPSA specifically excludes reports of harm posted on the publicly available consumer product safety information database from the provisions of section 6(b). To reflect this statutory exclusion, we propose revising § 1101.11(b) to include the following category: “(6) A report of harm posted on the publicly available consumer product safety information database pursuant to section 6A of the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 2055a.”

Section 6(b) is intended to provide firms with a review process before the Commission discloses to the public information obtained by the Commission under the CPSA. Information already in the public domain has not been obtained by the Commission under the CPSA, nor would the section 6(b) process serve any purpose with respect to information already disclosed other than by the Commission. Neither the statute nor the legislative history suggests that information that is readily available to the public is, or should be, subject to section 6(b)(1). To increase transparency, we propose revising § 1101.11(b) to include the following category: “(7) Information that is publicly available or that has been disseminated in a manner intended to reach the public in general, such as news reports; articles in academic and scientific journals; press releases distributed through news or wire services; or information that is available on the Internet.”

We also propose adding to the list of information that is not subject to section 6(b)(1) information that is substantially the same as information that the Commission previously disclosed in accordance with section 6(b)(1). Section 6(b) does not require a new notice and

comment process when the agency re-discloses information as to which appropriate notice already has been conveyed and applicable procedures followed.

Although renotification is not statutorily required, firms currently may request renotification, or the opportunity to comment on subsequent disclosures of identical information. *See* 16 C.F.R. §§ 1101.21(b)(7), 1101.31(d). The purpose of renotification was to provide firms with another occasion to submit substantive comments on information that the Commission previously released in accordance with the requirements of section 6(b).

Our review of the 6(b) process and firms' comments, however, reveals that few firms request renotification or provide substantive claims concerning accuracy, fairness, or reasonable relation to effectuating the purposes of the statutes the Commission administers for the staff to evaluate prior to releasing the information. For example, in calendar year 2012, approximately 25 percent of firms that received an initial notice requested renotification. During the same period, the Commission renotified firms on 40 separate occasions. In the majority of these cases, the firms never responded, responded but did not provide any comments on the information, or simply repeated the same claims that they submitted in response to the initial notice without providing any additional information for the staff to evaluate. Renotification thus generally has not resulted in new substantive input to staff [nor has the renotification process yielded re-disclosures that are handled differently from initial disclosures]. In short, renotification in practice duplicates the initial notification process and result. As a result, and in light of the absence of any statutory requirement for renotification, we propose removing this provision from the regulation.

Of course, if a firm subsequently discovers new information that is relevant to information the Commission previously released, such as a reported incident, the firm may

supplement its initial comments to the Commission. In addition, the requirements of section 6(b)(1) will apply if the Commission has reason to question the accuracy of the information proposed for a subsequent release, as specified in the proposed revision to § 1101.31(d).

Therefore, we propose revising § 1101.11(b) to include the following category: “(8) Information that is substantially the same as information that the Commission previously disclosed in accordance with section 6(b)(1), except as specified in § 1101.31(d).”

In addition, we propose the following technical and conforming changes to § 1101.11:

- A. In § 1101.11(a)(3), remove: “The Commission or its members, employees, agents or representatives must propose to disclose the information to the public (see § 1101.12)” and in its place, add: “The Commission, any member of the Commission, or any employee, agent, or representative, including contractor, of the Commission in an official capacity must propose to disclose the information to the public (see § 1101.12)”.
- B. In § 1101.11(b)(2), remove the statutory citation: “2068(b)” and in its place, add: “2067(b)”.
- C. In § 1101.11(b)(2), remove the regulatory citation: “16 CFR part 1017” and in its place, add: “16 CFR part 1019”.

5. *Proposed Changes to § 1101.12 (Commission must disclose information to the public)*

We propose the following technical and conforming changes to § 1101.12:

- A. Remove the heading: “Commission must disclose information to the public” and in its place, add: “Definition of “public”.”
- B. In § 1101.12(a), remove: “Members, employees, agents, representatives and contractors of the Commission, in their official capacity” and in its place, add:

“Any member of the Commission or any employee, agent, or representative, including contractor, of the Commission in an official capacity”.

C. In § 1101.12(d), remove: “whom” and in its place, add: “which”.

D. In § 1101.12(f), remove: “Federal” and in its place, add: “federal” wherever “Federal” appears.

E. In § 1101.12(f), remove: “whom” and in its place, add: “which”.

6. *Proposed Changes to § 1101.13 (Public ability to ascertain readily identity of manufacturer or private labeler)*

Currently, § 1101.13 states:

The advance notice and analysis provisions of section 6(b)(1) apply only when a reasonable person receiving the information in the form in which it is to be disclosed and lacking specialized expertise can readily ascertain from the information itself the identity of the manufacturer or private labeler of a particular product. The Commission will provide the advance notice and opportunity to comment if there is a question whether the public could readily ascertain the identity of a manufacturer or private labeler.

We propose deleting from § 1101.13 the following sentence: “The Commission will provide the advance notice and opportunity to comment if there is a question whether the public could readily ascertain the identity of a manufacturer or private labeler.” The Commission adopted a “reasonable person” standard in § 1101.13 for determining whether the advance notice and analysis provisions of section 6(b)(1) would apply to information proposed for disclosure. Under this standard, if a reasonable person who lacks specialized expertise can readily ascertain

the identity of the firm from the information proposed to be disclosed, the information will be forwarded to the firm for section 6(b) comment. The Commission included the sentence proposed for deletion when we adopted the final rule in 1983 in response to comments that we received. 48 FR 57409. Because we believe this sentence is vague and inconsistent with the reasonable person standard that the Commission adopted, we propose deleting the sentence from § 1101.13.

In addition, we propose the following technical change to § 1101.13:

A. Remove: “it” and in its place, add: “the information”.

7. *Proposed Changes to § 1101.21 (Form of notice and opportunity to comment.)*

Section 6(b) requires the Commission to “notify” manufacturers or private labelers of consumer products before public disclosure of product-related information covered by the statute. Section 6(b) does not prescribe the medium to be used for providing the notice. Similarly, § 1101.21 prescribes oral or written notice, but does not specify the medium to be used for written notice.

There have been significant advancements in information technology and communication since we adopted the rule in 1983. As a result, use of electronic means to provide notice is widely accepted by other federal departments and agencies and courts, among others.

Despite these advancements, the Commission continues to provide 6(b) notice to firms via U.S. mail, a more time-consuming practice that incurs unnecessary costs, particularly from printing and mailing the relevant documents. In addition, staff resources are dedicated to preparing these paper mailings.

To increase efficiency and limit unnecessary expenditures of staff resources, we propose revising the rule to permit electronic 6(b) notices, to direct the Commission to transmit requisite



notices through an electronic medium whenever possible, and to encourage electronic communication with the Commission. To this end, the Commission proposes the following changes to § 1101.21:

- A. Insert “(1)” before the sentence, “The Commission will generally provide manufacturers or private labelers written notice and opportunity to comment on information subject to section 6(b)(1).”
- B. Insert the following statements after the last sentence in § 1101.21(a): “(2) Any notice required to be given under the provisions of this Part 1101 may be transmitted using electronic means of communication. Whenever possible, the Commission will transmit such notice electronically.”
- C. In § 1101.21(b)(8), insert: “applicable contact information for electronic communication,” between “address,” and “and telephone number”.

Section 1101.21(b) specifies the information that will appear in a section 6(b) notice. Currently, § 1101.21(b)(5) states: “A statement that a request that comments be withheld from disclosure will be honored.” As described below, in § 1101.31, we propose requiring a rationale, such as an applicable statutory or regulatory basis or provision, to support withholding a firm’s comments and an explanation of why disclosure of the comments is not necessary to assure that the disclosure of the information that is the subject of the comments is fair in the circumstances. Adopting these revisions, proposed § 1101.21(b)(5) would state:

A statement that if the manufacturer or private labeler objects to disclosure of its comments or a portion thereof, the manufacturer or private labeler must notify the Commission of such objection at the time the manufacturer or private labeler submits its comments, provide a rationale,

such as an applicable statutory or regulatory basis or provision, for why the comments should not be disclosed, and explain why disclosure of the comments is not necessary to assure that the disclosure of the information that is the subject of the comments is fair in the circumstances.

Currently, § 1101.21(b)(7) states: “A statement that no further request for comment will be sought by the Commission if it intends to disclose the identical information in the same format, unless the firm specifically requests the opportunity to comment on subsequent information disclosures.” In § 1101.31, the phrase, “identical information in the same format,” requires the Commission to provide 6(b) notice for subsequent disclosures of information that may differ only slightly, without any impact on accuracy, from the information the Commission initially released in accordance with section 6(b). The statute by its terms does not require 6(b) notice for changes in the appearance of the information or for minor editorial changes. Therefore, we propose revising the phrase to state: “information that is substantially the same”. In addition, as discussed above, in § 1101.11, we propose removing renotification from the rule. The renotification process, which is not required under the statute, has not resulted in new substantive input to staff. For these reasons, the Commission proposes revising § 1101.21(b)(7) to state: “A statement that no further request for comment will be sought by the Commission if the Commission intends to disclose information that is substantially the same as the information that the Commission previously disclosed.”

In addition, we propose the following technical and conforming changes to § 1101.21:

- A. In § 1101.21(a)(1), remove: “the Commission may determine that it is necessary to provide the notice and opportunity to comment orally, either in person or by

telephone” and in its place, add: “the Commission may determine that notice and opportunity to comment orally is necessary”.

- B. In § 1101.21(b), remove: “The Commission will provide the manufacturer or private labeler with” and in its place, add: “The Commission shall, to the extent practicable, provide the manufacturer or private labeler with”.

8. *Proposed Changes to § 1101.22 (Timing: request for time extensions.)*

Section 1101.22(a) explains the time for comment. Currently, § 1101.22(a)(1) states: “Generally firms will receive ten (10) calendar days from the date of the letter in which the Commission transmits the notice to furnish comments to the Commission. Firms that receive requests for comments by mail will receive an additional three (3) days to comment to account for time in the mail.” As discussed above, in § 1101.21, to increase efficiency and limit unnecessary expenditures of staff resources, we propose revising the rule to encourage electronic communication with the Commission whenever possible. Proposed § 1101.22(a)(1) would state:

(1) In the interest of promoting timely notification, the Commission, whenever possible, will transmit electronically to the manufacturer or private labeler the notice to furnish comments to the Commission.

Generally firms will receive ten (10) calendar days from the date of such notice. Firms that receive notice by mail will receive an additional three (3) calendar days to comment to account for time in the mail.

Currently, the first sentence of § 1101.22(a)(2) reads: “Upon his or her own initiative or upon request, the Freedom of Information Officer may provide a different amount of time for comment, particularly for firms that receive voluminous or complex material.” We propose deleting from this sentence the phrase: “Upon his or her own initiative or”. As a matter of

practice since the rule was enacted, the Freedom of Information Officer generally has not determined on his own initiative whether a firm would require additional time to comment on information proposed for disclosure. If a firm requires such additional time, the firm may submit an extension request to the Freedom of Information Officer for consideration. To account for actual practice, we propose revising the first sentence of § 1101.22(a)(2) to read: “Upon request, the Freedom of Information Officer may provide a different amount of time for comment, particularly for firms that receive voluminous or complex material.”

Section 1101.11(b) explains the Commission’s process if a firm does not respond to the Commission’s 6(b) notice. Currently, § 1101.22(b)(2) reads: “Unless the Commission publishes a finding that the public health and safety requires a lesser period of notice (see § 1101.23), the Commission will not disclose the information in fewer than 15 days after providing a manufacturer or private labeler notice and opportunity to comment.” As indicated in § 1101.23, in addition to publishing a finding that the public health and safety requires a lesser period of notice, the Commission may disclose information to the public in fewer than 15 days, if the firm agrees to a lesser period of notice, or does not object to the proposed disclosure. We propose revising § 1101.22(b)(2) to incorporate this provision. Proposed § 1101.22(b)(2) would state: “The Commission will not disclose the information in fewer than 15 calendar days after providing a manufacturer or private labeler with notice and an opportunity to comment, unless (i) the firm agrees to a lesser period or does not object to disclosure, or (ii) the Commission publishes a finding that the public health and safety requires a lesser period of notice (see § 1101.23).”

In addition, we propose the following technical changes to § 1101.22:

- A. In § 1101.22(a)(2), remove: “§ 1101.24” and in its place, add: “§ 1101.23”.

B. In § 1101.22(b)(1), remove “if it” and insert a comma between “submitted” and “the Commission.”

9. *Proposed Changes to § 1101.23 (Providing less than 15 days notice before disclosing information.)*

As discussed above, in § 1101.21, the Commission, whenever possible, intends to communicate electronically with firms. Therefore, the Commission proposes inserting the following statement after the first sentence in § 1101.23(c): “If written notice is provided, the Commission, whenever possible, will transmit such notice electronically.”

In addition, we propose the following technical and conforming changes to § 1101.23:

- A. In § 1101.23, insert: “calendar” between “15” and “days” wherever “15” and “days” appear.
- B. In § 1101.23(a), remove: “it” and in its place, add: “the firm” wherever “it” appears.
- C. In the last sentence of § 1101.23(c), remove: “Where applicable, before releasing information” and in its place, add: “Before releasing information”.

10. *Proposed Changes to § 1101.24 (Scope of comments Commission seeks.)*

Currently, § 1101.24(c) states: “*Requests for nondisclosure of comments.* If a firm objects to disclosure of its comments or a portion thereof, it must notify the Commission at the time it submits its comments. If the firm objects to the disclosure of a portion of its comments, it must identify those portions which should be withheld.” As described more specifically below, in § 1101.31, we propose revising § 1101.24(c) to require a rationale to support withholding a firm’s comments and an explanation of why disclosure of the comments is not necessary to assure that the disclosure of the information that is the subject of the comments is fair in the

circumstances. A statement requesting that comments be withheld without the firm providing a rationale will not be sufficient to withhold comments. Therefore, we propose revising § 1101.24(c) to state:

*Requests for nondisclosure of comments.* If a firm objects to disclosure of its comments or a portion thereof, the firm must notify the Commission of such objection at the time the firm submits its comments, provide a rationale, such as a statutory or regulatory basis or provision, for why the comments should not be disclosed, and explain why disclosure of the comments is not necessary to assure that the disclosure of the information that is the subject of the comments is fair in the circumstances. If the firm objects to the disclosure of a portion of its comments, the firm must specifically identify those portions that should be withheld. Conclusory statements that comments must be withheld with no supporting basis are not sufficient to justify a request for nondisclosure.

In addition, we propose the following technical changes to § 1101.24(b):

- A. In the first sentence, remove: “which pertains to trade secret or other confidential material” and in its place, add: “which refers to trade secret or other confidential material and information subject to 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(4)”.
- B. In the second sentence, remove: “believes to be confidential or trade secret material and must state with specificity the grounds on which the firm bases its claims” and in its place, add: “believes to be confidential or trade secret material or subject to 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(4) and must state with specificity the grounds on which the firm bases its claims”.

11. *Proposed Changes to § 1101.25 (Notice of intent to disclose.)*

As discussed above, with respect to § 1101.21, the Commission is revising the rule in part to reflect the significant improvements in information technology since 1983. Therefore, we propose adding the following sentence to the end of § 1101.25(c): “If written notice is provided, the Commission, whenever possible, will transmit such notice electronically.”

In addition, we propose the following technical changes to § 1101.25:

- A. In § 1101.25, remove “5 days” and in its place, add: “five (5) calendar days” wherever “5 days” appears.
- B. In the first sentence of § 1101.25(a), remove: “that it intends” and in its place, add: “that the Commission intends”.
- C. In the second sentence of § 1101.25(a), remove the comma between “decision” and “copies” and in its place, add: “and”.
- D. In the first sentence of § 1101.25(b), remove: “its” and in its place, add: “the Commission’s”.
- E. In § 1101.25(b), remove the sentence: “For example, the Commission may determine it is necessary to warn the public quickly because individuals may be in danger from a product hazard or a potential hazard, or to correct product safety information released by third persons, which mischaracterized statements made by the Commission about the product or which attributes to the Commission statements about the product which the Commission did not make” and in its place, add: “For example, the Commission may determine that the public must be warned more quickly than five (5) calendar days because of danger from a product hazard or a potential hazard, or to correct product safety information

released by third persons, which mischaracterizes statements made by the Commission about the product or which inaccurately attributes to the Commission statements about the product”.

F. In the first sentence of § 1101.25(c), remove “which” and in its place, add: “that”.

12. *Proposed Changes to § 1101.31 (General requirements.)*

Currently, § 1101.31(b), which addresses the inclusion of a firm’s comments, reads:

*Inclusion of comments.* In disclosing any information under this section, the Commission will include any comments or other information submitted by the manufacturer or private labeler unless the manufacturer or private labeler at the time it submits its section 6(b) comments specifically requests the Commission not to include the comments or to include only a designated portion of the comments and disclosure of the comments on such a designated portion is not necessary to assure that the disclosure of the information which is the subject of the comments is fair in the circumstances.

We propose revising this sentence.

As an initial matter, the Commission must include with the disclosure, a firm’s comments if the manufacturer or private labeler requests inclusion, and inclusion is permitted by and subject to the requirements of section 6(b)(1). 15 U.S.C. 2055(b)(1). In instances where the firm does not request disclosure, section 6(b)(1) grants the Commission discretion in releasing a firm’s comments, stating that “the Commission *may* . . . include with the disclosure any comments or other information or a summary thereof . . . to the extent permitted by and subject to the requirements of this section” (emphasis added). *Id.*



When the Commission adopted the final rule in 1983 interpreting section 6(b)(1), we stated that we “intend[] to follow the general policy of disclosing comments unless the manufacturer specifically requests they be withheld.” 48 FR 57422. We recognized that a blanket policy always allowing a firm’s comments to be withheld, even though the comments contained no confidential commercial or trade secret information, would not be a desirable outcome. 48 FR 57423. The Commission was concerned that such a policy “would unnecessarily block the release of information, even though the Commission has taken the requisite reasonable steps to assure that the information is accurate and disclosure would be reasonably related to effectuating one or more purposes of the statutes the Commission administers.” *Id.* We stated our belief that section 6(b) “should not be construed to permit a firm to frustrate the disclosure of information simply by making a blanket claim of confidentiality for the information contained in its comments.” *Id.* For firms that made blanket claims, the Commission stated in the preamble that we would notify the firm that disclosure of the firm’s comments is necessary to assure that disclosure of the information was fair in the circumstances. In these instances, we would: (1) ask a firm to summarize the firm’s comments, or provide an edited version for public disclosure; (2) ask a firm to consent to the disclosure of information without the firm’s comments; or (3) disclose the information “with an explanatory statement that the manufacturer furnished data necessary to place the information in context but did not consent to its disclosure.” *Id.*

Our review of how firms typically submit comments under section 6(b) and staff’s subsequent processing of such comments, however, indicates that most comments are withheld. Most firms request that their comments be maintained in confidence, even where the firms do not provide any specific comments on the disclosure, or do not object at all to disclosure of the

information. For example, even when a firm's only comment is that the firm does not object to disclosure, the firm may request that this comment — that it has “no objection to disclosure” — be withheld in confidence. Staff has withheld comments in this circumstance even though the comments state only that the firm has no objection to disclosure of the information. In effect, staff adopted a blanket policy of withholding where such a policy was never intended.

To obtain more substantive and useful information from firms who object to disclosure of comments, we are proposing to revise the regulation to require firms to provide a rationale for why comments should not be disclosed and an explanation of why disclosure of the comments is not necessary to assure that the disclosure of the information is fair in the circumstances. Conclusory assertions that comments be withheld without a rationale will not be sufficient to withhold comments. In addition, a firm's comment that it has no objection to disclosure, without any additional comments, will not be sufficient to justify withholding. Proposed § 1101.31(b) would state:

*Inclusion of comments.* In disclosing any information under this section, the Commission may, and upon the request of the manufacturer or private labeler shall, include any comments or other information or a summary thereof submitted by the manufacturer or private labeler to the extent permitted by and subject to the requirements of section 6(b). If the manufacturer or private labeler, at the time it submits its section 6(b) comments, specifically requests that the Commission not include the comments, or include only a designated portion of the comments, the manufacturer or private labeler must provide for evaluation by the Commission, a rationale, such as an applicable statutory or regulatory

basis or provision, supporting such withholding and an explanation of why disclosure of the comments is not necessary to assure that the disclosure of the information that is the subject of the comments is fair in the circumstances.

Currently, § 1101.31(d), which pertains to information the Commission previously disclosed, reads:

*Information previously disclosed.* If the Commission has previously disclosed, in accordance with section 6(b)(1), the identical information it intends to disclose again in the same format, it will not customarily take any additional steps to assure accuracy unless the Commission has some reason to question its accuracy or unless the firm, in its comments responding to the Commission's initial section 6(b) notice, specifically requests the opportunity to comment on subsequent disclosures, or unless the Commission determines that sufficient time has passed to warrant seeking section 6(b) comment again. Before disclosing the information the Commission will again review the information to see if accuracy is called into question and will further look to whether disclosure is fair in the circumstances and reasonably related to effectuating the purposes of the Acts the Commission administers.

We propose two revisions to this provision. First, we propose removing: "If the Commission has previously disclosed, in accordance with section 6(b)(1), the identical information it intends to disclose again in the same format, it will not customarily take any additional steps to assure accuracy unless the Commission has some reason to question its

accuracy” and in its place, adding: “If the Commission intends to disclose information that is substantially the same as information that the Commission previously disclosed in accordance with section 6(b)(1), the Commission is not obligated to take any additional steps to assure accuracy unless the Commission has reason to question the accuracy of the information”. In its current form, the phrase, “identical information it intends to disclose again in the same format,” requires the Commission to provide 6(b) notice for subsequent disclosures of information that may differ only slightly, without any impact on accuracy, from the information the Commission initially released in accordance with section 6(b). When we adopted the final rule in 1983, the Commission specifically included “same format” in response to a comment that requested this addition. 48 FR 57414. The Commission agreed with the request, stating: “the format of the disclosure (other than summaries of information previously released) or the intended audience may be of significant interest to the firm and may warrant comment.” *Id.* Under a strict reading of the current provision, however, changes in the appearance of the information, such as the use of different fonts or layouts, and minor editorial changes, such as the insertion of a comma to the text, without any impact on the accuracy of the information, would require the Commission to provide subsequent 6(b) notice. We do not believe the statute requires subsequent 6(b) notice in these circumstances. In addition, we propose deleting the word, “some,” from the phrase, “some reason.” “Reason” provides the staff with a more definitive standard for when staff will take additional steps to assure accuracy.

Second, we propose deleting from § 1101.31(d) the following:

or unless the firm, in its comments responding to the Commission’s initial section 6(b) notice, specifically requests the opportunity to comment on subsequent disclosures, or unless the Commission determines that

sufficient time has passed to warrant seeking section 6(b) comment again.

Before disclosing the information the Commission will again review the information to see if accuracy is called into question and will further look to whether disclosure is fair in the circumstances and reasonably related to effectuating the purposes of the Acts the Commission administers.

Regarding the first sentence on renotification, as discussed above, in § 1101.11, the majority of firms that receive renotification fail to respond, respond but do not provide any comments on the information, or simply repeat the same claims that they submitted in response to the initial notice, without providing any additional information for the staff to evaluate. The Commission proposes deleting renotification from § 1101.31(d). Regarding the second sentence, the statute does not require the Commission to conduct another 6(b) review for information that the Commission already has released to the public. For these reasons, we propose deleting these sentences from the regulation.

Incorporating the changes discussed above, proposed § 1101.31(d) would state:

*“Information previously disclosed.* If the Commission intends to disclose information that is substantially the same as information that the Commission previously disclosed in accordance with section 6(b)(1), the Commission is not obligated to take any additional steps to assure accuracy unless the Commission has reason to question the accuracy of the information.”

We also propose the following technical and conforming changes to § 1101.31:

- A. In § 1101.31(a), remove: “The Commission will attempt to make its decision on disclosure so that it can disclose information in accordance with section 6(b) as soon as is reasonably possible after expiration of the statutory fifteen day moratorium on disclosure” and in its place, add: “The Commission will attempt to

make its decision on disclosure so that the Commission can disclose information in accordance with section 6(b) after expiration of the statutory 15-day prohibition on disclosure”.

- B. In § 1101.31(c), remove: “To the extent it is practical the Commission will also accompany the disclosure with any other relevant information in its possession that places the released information in context” and in its place, add: “The Commission also will accompany the disclosure, to the extent practicable, with any other relevant information in the Commission’s possession that places the released information in context”.

13. *Proposed Changes to § 1101.32 (Reasonable steps to assure information is accurate.)*

We propose the following technical changes to § 1101.32:

- A. In § 1101.32(a), remove: “it” and in its place, add: “that the Commission”.
- B. In § 1101.32(a)(3), remove: “it” and in its place, add: “the information”.
- C. In § 1101.32(b), remove: “it” and in its place, add: “the Commission”.
- D. In § 1101.32(b)(3), remove: “investigating its accuracy” and in its place, add: “investigating the accuracy of the information”.
- E. In § 1101.32(b)(4), insert: “that” between “accuracy of the information” and “the Commission proposes to disclose”.

14. *Proposed Changes to § 1101.33 (Reasonable steps to assure information release is fair in the circumstances.)*

Currently, § 1101.33(a)(1), which provides an example of the reasonable steps the Commission will take to assure disclosure of information to the public is fair in the circumstances, states: “The Commission will accompany information disclosed to the public

with the manufacturer's or private labeler's comments unless the manufacturer or private labeler asks in its section 6(b) comments that its comments or a designated portion thereof not accompany the information."

We propose revising the first part of this section to conform to 15 U.S.C. 2055(b). As discussed above, in § 1101.31, the Commission must include with the disclosure a firm's comments if the manufacturer or private labeler requests inclusion and inclusion is permitted by and subject to the requirements of section 6(b)(1). 15 U.S.C. 2055(b)(1). In instances where the firm does not request disclosure, the Commission has discretion in releasing a firm's comments. *Id.* To reflect the statutory language, we propose revising the first part of § 1101.33(a)(1) to state: "To the extent permitted by and subject to the requirements of section 6(b), the Commission may accompany information disclosed to the public with the manufacturer's or private labeler's comments or other information or a summary thereof."

In addition, we propose revising § 1101.33(a)(1) to require firms to provide a rationale for why the comments should not be disclosed and an explanation of why disclosure of the comments is not necessary to assure that the disclosure of the information is fair in the circumstances. To encourage firms to provide useful information and clarifying comments, as discussed above, in § 1101.31, we propose revising the regulation to require specific information for the Commission to consider. The second part of § 1101.33(a)(1) would state:

unless the manufacturer or private labeler asks in the firm's section 6(b) comments that the comments or a designated portion thereof not accompany the information, provides a rationale, such as an applicable statutory or regulatory basis or provision, for why the comments should not be disclosed, and explains why disclosure of the comments is not

necessary to assure that the disclosure of the information that is the subject of the comments is fair in the circumstances. If the firm objects to the disclosure of a portion of the firm's comments, the firm must specifically identify those portions that should be withheld. Conclusory statements that comments must be withheld with no supporting basis are not sufficient to justify a request for nondisclosure.

Incorporating the changes outlined above, proposed § 1101.33(a)(1) would state:

To the extent permitted by and subject to the requirements of section 6(b), the Commission may accompany information disclosed to the public with the manufacturer's or private labeler's comments or other information or a summary thereof unless the manufacturer or private labeler asks in the firm's section 6(b) comments that the comments or a designated portion thereof not accompany the information, provides a rationale, such as an applicable statutory or regulatory basis or provision, for why the comments should not be disclosed, and explains why disclosure of the comments is not necessary to assure that the disclosure of the information that is the subject of the comments is fair in the circumstances. If the firm objects to the disclosure of a portion of the firm's comments, the firm must specifically identify those portions that should be withheld. Conclusory statements that comments must be withheld with no supporting basis are not sufficient to justify a request for nondisclosure.



Currently, § 1101.33(b)(3), which provides an example of information that would not be disclosed because the information generally would not be considered fair in the circumstances, reads:

Disclosure of the work-product of attorneys employed by a firm and information subject to an attorney/client privilege, if the Commission has obtained the information from the client or the attorney, the attorney or client advises the Commission of the confidential nature of the information at the time it is submitted to the Commission, and the information has been maintained in confidence by the client and the attorney.

In general, we believe that firms waive these protections when they submit information to the Commission that is attorney work-product or subject to the attorney/client privilege. Moreover, firms rarely claim in their comments to the Commission that the information proposed for disclosure contains information subject to the attorney/client privilege or the work-product doctrine. For example, in FY 2012, our FOIA office processed approximately 459 notices under section 6(b). Of those 459 notices, firms claimed attorney/client privilege and/or the work-product doctrine in only approximately 12 instances. The majority of firms that asserted this claim did not identify the specific information to which the claim pertained, but included the claim in a broad list of claims that included confidential business information and general fairness objections. For these reasons, we propose removing § 1101.33(b)(3) from the regulation.

Currently, § 1101.33(b)(4), which provides another example of information that would not be disclosed because the information generally would not be considered fair in the

circumstances, reads: “Disclosure of a firm’s comments (or a portion thereof) submitted under section 6(b)(1) over the firm’s objection.” As discussed above, in § 1101.31, we propose revising the regulation to require that firms provide a rationale for why comments should not be disclosed and an explanation of why disclosure of the comments is not necessary to assure that the disclosure of the information is fair in the circumstances. In addition, because we propose removing § 1101.33(b)(3) from the regulation, we will renumber § 1101.33(b)(4) as § 1101.33(b)(3). Proposed § 1101.33(b)(3) would state:

Disclosure of a firm’s comments (or a portion thereof) submitted under section 6(b)(1) if the firm provides a rationale, such as an applicable statutory or regulatory basis or provision, for why the comments should not be disclosed and explains why disclosure of the comments is not necessary to assure that the disclosure of the information that is the subject of the comments is fair in the circumstances.

In addition, we propose the following technical corrections to § 1101.33:

- A. In the second sentence of § 1101.33(a)(2), remove: “information it its possession” and in its place, add: “information in its possession”.
- B. In the first sentence of § 1101.33(a)(3), remove: “it” and in its place, add: “the Commission”.
- C. In § 1101.33(a)(3), remove: “For example, the Commission may determine it is not appropriate to issue a nationwide press release in a particular situation and rather will issue a press release directed at certain localities, regions, or user populations” and in its place, add: “For example, the Commission may determine that issuance of a nationwide press release in a particular situation is not

appropriate and rather will issue a press release directed at certain localities, regions or user populations”.

D. In the second sentence of § 1101.33(a)(4) add after “information piecemeal” the phrase: “if such disclosure would be unfair”.

E. In § 1101.33(b)(1), remove: “in coincidence” and in its place, add: “in confidence”.

F. In § 1101.33(b)(2), insert: “staff” between “Disclosure of” and “notes”.

15. *Proposed Changes to § 1101.34 (Reasonable steps to assure information release is “reasonably related to effectuating the purposes of the Acts” the Commission administers.)*

We propose the following technical changes to § 1101.34(a)(2):

A. Remove: “Purposes of the FHSA, FFA, PPPA and RSA” and in its place, add: “Purposes of the FHSA, FFA, PPPA, RSA, CSPA, VGBA, and CGBPA”.

B. In the first sentence, insert: “and other” between “transferred” and “acts”.

16. *Proposed Changes to § 1101.41 (Generally.)*

We propose the following technical changes to § 1101.41:

A. In § 1101.41(a)(4), capitalize “information”.

B. In § 1101.41(b), remove: “transferred act” and in its place, add: “transferred and other acts”.

C. In § 1101.41(b), remove: “transferred acts” and in its place, add: “transferred and other acts”.

17. *Proposed Changes to § 1101.42 (Imminent hazard exception.)*

Currently, § 1101.42(b), which discusses the scope of the imminent hazard exception, reads:

This exception applies once the Commission has filed an action under section 12 of the CPSA (15 U.S.C. 2061), in a United States district court. Once the exception applies, information may be disclosed to the public while the proceeding is pending without following the requirements of section 6(b)(1) if the information concerns or relates to the product alleged to be imminently hazardous. Upon termination of the proceeding, information filed with the court or otherwise made public is not subject to section 6(b). Information in the Commission's possession which has not been made public is subject to section 6(b).

We propose the following revisions to § 1101.42(b):

1. In the second sentence, remove: "while the proceeding is pending".
2. Remove the third and fourth sentences.

We recognize that when the Commission adopted the final rule in 1983, we decided, in response to a comment, that "documents in the Commission's possession that concern a product for which it has filed an imminent hazard action and that it has not made publicly available" are subject to the 6(b) requirements. 48 FR 57425. We stated that "these documents are more similar to documents prepared during the course of other Commission's activities which are routinely subject to section 6(b) and, therefore, will be treated accordingly." *Id.* We do not believe, however, that the statute imposes these restrictions on the Commission's release of information. Upon the Commission's filing of a section 12 action, we believe that information may be disclosed to the public during and after the proceeding, even if the information was not

filed with the court or otherwise made public. Therefore, we propose deleting, “while the proceeding is pending”, from the second sentence and removing the third and fourth sentences from § 1101.42(b).

18. *Proposed Changes to § 1101.45 (Adjudicatory proceeding exception.)*

We propose the following technical correction to § 1101.45(b):

- A. Remove: “FAA” and in its place, add: “FFA”.

19. *Proposed Changes to § 1101.46 (Other administrative or judicial proceeding exception.)*

We propose the following technical correction to §1101.46(b)(7):

- A. Remove: “Secretary” and in its place, add: “Secretariat”.

20. *Proposed Changes to § 1101.51 (Commission interpretation.)*

We propose the following technical corrections to § 1101.51(b):

- A. In the first sentence, replace: “it” with “the information” wherever “it” appears.

21. *Proposed Changes to § 1101.52 (Procedure for retraction.)*

We propose the following technical and conforming changes to § 1101.52:

- A. In § 1101.52(a), remove the comma between “distributor” and “or”.
- B. In § 1101.52(b), remove: “the Commission or an individual member, employee, agent, contractor or representative of the Commission” and in its place, add: “the Commission, any member of the Commission, or any employee, agent, or representative, including contractor, of the Commission in an official capacity”.
- C. In § 1101.52(b), remove: “The request must be in writing and addressed to the Secretary, CPSC. Washington, D.C. 20207” and in its place, add: “The request must be in writing and sent via either electronic mail to cpsc-os@cpsc.gov or first

class mail to The Secretariat, Office of the Secretary, U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, MD, 20814-4408”.

- D. In § 1101.52(c)(2), add: “that” between “information” and “the firm”.
- E. In § 1101.52(d), remove: “the Commission or any individual member, employee, agent [sic] contractor or representative of the Commission” and in its place, add: “the Commission, any member of the Commission, or any employee, agent, or representative, including contractor, of the Commission in an official capacity”.
- F. In § 1101.52(d), remove: “If the Commission finds that fuller disclosure is necessary, it will publish a retraction in the manner it determines appropriate under the circumstances” and in its place, add: “If the Commission finds that fuller disclosure is necessary, the Commission will publish a retraction in the manner that the Commission determines appropriate under the circumstances”.
- G. In § 1101.52(e), replace: “its” with “the Commission’s”.

22. *Proposed Changes to § 1101.61 (Generally.)*

We propose the following technical correction to § 1101.61(b)(3):

- A. Remove the period and in its place, add: “; or”.

23. *Proposed Changes to § 1101.63 (Information submitted pursuant to section 15(b) of the CPSA.)*

Currently, § 1101.63(c) reads: “Section 6(b)(5) does not apply to information independently obtained or prepared by the Commission staff.” The legislative history indicates that in granting the Commission broad information-gathering powers, the Commission was intended to have access to section 15 information, such as trade secrets and other sensitive cost and competitive information, which would not otherwise be available to the public or to

government. H.R. Rep. No. 92-1153, at 31 (1972). The apparent intent was not to protect information that the staff could identify or prepare independently from material in the public realm, but only to limit disclosure of confidential trade secret and competitive information not otherwise publicly available. *Id.*

Technological advances since enactment of the 1983 regulation merit further refinement of this exception. For example, Internet resources, which did not exist at the time of the enactment of the 1983 regulation, have significantly expanded the public availability of information about products; this public information may also be a part of a firm's section 15 report. Searching the name of a product in any Internet search engine may yield significant information about a product, including product reviews and Internet sites or retail locations where the product can be purchased. The Commission does not believe that the restriction on the disclosure of information contained in reports submitted to the Commission pursuant to section 15(b) was intended to apply to such publicly-available information. Indeed, inclusion of such information would frustrate the transparent disclosure of information if readily available information from the public domain could not be disclosed simply because a firm included such information in a section 15(b) report to the Commission. Therefore, information that a firm submits to the Commission pursuant to section 15(b) that is readily available to the public because, for example, the information appears in newspaper articles, on retailer websites, in product reviews, in the consumer product safety information database, or in other sources, constitutes information that is independently obtained under this provision and thus not subject to the requirements of section 6(b)(5).

Accordingly, we propose revising § 1101.63(c) to state:

Section 6(b)(5) does not apply to information (1) independently obtained or prepared by the Commission staff or (2) identified by the Commission staff through publicly available sources. For example, information that is publicly available or that has been disseminated in a manner intended to reach the public in general, such as news reports; articles in academic and scientific journals; press releases distributed through news or wire services; information that is available on the Internet; or information appearing on the publicly available consumer product safety information database established pursuant to section 6A of the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 2055a, does not fall within section 6(b)(5)'s disclosure limits.

24. *Proposed Changes to §1101.71 (Delegation of authority.)*

We propose the following technical changes to § 1101.71:

- A. In § 1101.71, remove: “Secretary” and in its place, add: “Secretariat” wherever “Secretary” appears.
- B. In § 1101.71(a), remove: “section 27(b)(9) of the CPSA 15 U.S.C. 2076(b)(9)” and in its place, add: “27(b)(10) of the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 2076(b)(10),”.
- C. In § 1101.71(b), remove: “Findings not deleted” and in its place, add: “Findings not delegated”.
- D. In § 1101.71(b)(1), insert: “calendar” between “15” and “days”.
- E. In § 1101.71(b)(2), insert: “calendar” between “(5)” and “days”.
- F. In § 1101.71(b)(2), remove the semicolon and in its place, add a period.
- G. In § 1101.71(b)(3), remove: “it” and in its place, add: “the Commission”.

**III. Environmental Considerations**



The Commission's regulations address whether the Commission is required to prepare an environmental assessment or an environmental impact statement. 16 CFR part 1021. These regulations provide a categorical exclusion for certain CPSC actions that normally have "little or no potential for affecting the human environment." 16 CFR 1021.5(c)(1). This proposed rule falls within the categorical exclusion.

#### **IV. Regulatory Flexibility Analysis**

Under section 603 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA), when the Administrative Procedure Act (APA) requires an agency to publish a general notice of proposed rulemaking, the agency must prepare an initial regulatory flexibility analysis (IRFA) assessing the economic impact of the proposed rule on small entities. 5 U.S.C. 603(a). As noted, the Commission is proposing to update the regulation that interprets section 6(b) of the CPSA. Although the Commission is choosing to issue the rule through notice and comment procedures, the APA does not require a proposed rule when an agency issues an interpretive rule. Therefore, no IRFA is required under the RFA. Moreover, the proposed rule would not establish any mandatory requirements and would not impose any obligations on small entities (or any other entity or party).

#### **V. Paperwork Reduction Act**

The Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) establishes certain requirements when an agency conducts or sponsors a "collection of information." 44 U.S.C. 3501–3520. The proposed rule would amend the Commission's rule that describes the agency's procedures for providing manufacturers and private labelers with advance notice and "a reasonable opportunity to submit comments" to the Commission on proposed disclosures of product-specific information. The proposed rule would not impose any information collection requirements. The existing rule and

the proposed amendment do not require or request information from firms, but rather, explain the Commission's procedures that provide an opportunity for firms to comment on product-specific information before disclosure. Thus, the PRA is not implicated in this proposed rulemaking.

#### **VI. Executive Order 12988 (Preemption)**

According to Executive Order 12988 (February 5, 1996), agencies must state in clear language the preemptive effect, if any, of new regulations. Section 26 of the CPSA explains the preemptive effect of consumer product safety standards issued under the CPSA. 15 U.S.C. 2075. The proposed rule is not a consumer product safety standard, but rather, is an interpretive rule that would interpret section 6(b) of the CPSA. Therefore, section 26 of the CPSA would not apply to this rulemaking.

#### **VII. Effective Date**

The APA generally requires that the effective date of a rule be at least 30 days after publication of the final rule. 5 U.S.C. 553(d). However, an earlier effective date is permitted for interpretive rules and statements of policy. *Id.* Thus, this proposed rule is excepted from the APA effective date requirement. *Id.* 553(d)(2).

Because CPSC is giving notice and soliciting comment (even though notice and comment procedures are not required), the public and potentially affected firms will have significant advance notice of the agency's proposed rule. Moreover, implementation of the rule will not result in the imposition of new, mandatory requirements on firms. Therefore, the Commission proposes that the effective date be the date of publication of a final rule in the Federal Register.

#### **VIII. Request for Comments**

The Commission requests comments on all aspects of the proposed rule. Comments should be submitted in accordance with the instructions in the ADDRESSES section at the

beginning of this notice. Written comments must be received by **[INSERT 60 DAYS AFTER DATE OF PUBLICATION IN THE FEDERAL REGISTER]**.

**List of Subjects in 16 CFR Part 1101**

Administrative practice and procedure; Consumer protection.

Accordingly, the Commission proposes to amend 16 CFR part 1101 to read as follows:

**PART 1101—INFORMATION DISCLOSURE UNDER SECTION 6(B) OF THE  
CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY ACT**

**Subpart A – Background**

Sec.

1101.1 General background.

1101.2 Scope.

**Subpart B – Information Subject to Notice and Analysis Provisions of Section  
6(b)(1)**

1101.11 General application of provisions of section 6(b)(1).

1101.12 ~~Commission must disclose information to the~~ Definition of “public”.

1101.13 Public ability to ascertain readily identity of manufacturer or private labeler.

**Subpart C – Procedure for Providing Notice and Opportunity To Comment Under  
Section 6(b)(1)**

1101.21 Form of notice and opportunity to comment.

- 1101.22 Timing; request for time extensions.
- 1101.23 Providing less than 15 days notice before disclosing information.
- 1101.24 Scope of comments Commission seeks.
- 1101.25 Notice of intent to disclose.
- 1101.26 Circumstances when the Commission does not provide notice and opportunity to comment.

**Subpart D – Reasonable Steps Commission Will Take To Assure Information It Discloses Is Accurate, and That Disclosure Is Fair in the Circumstances and Reasonably Related to Effectuating the Purposes of the Acts It Administers**

- 1101.31 General requirements.
- 1101.32 Reasonable steps to assure information is accurate.
- 1101.33 Reasonable steps to assure information release is fair in the circumstances.
- 1101.34 Reasonable steps to assure information release is “reasonably related to effectuating the purposes of the Acts” the Commission administers.

**Subpart E – Statutory Exceptions of Section 6(b)(4)**

- 1101.41 Generally.
- 1101.42 Imminent hazard exception.
- 1101.43 Section 6(b)(4)(A) exception.
- 1101.44 Rulemaking proceeding exception.
- 1101.45. Adjudicatory proceeding exception.
- 1101.46 Other administrative or judicial proceeding exception.

**Subpart F – Retraction**

- 1101.51 Commission interpretation.

1101.52 Procedure for retraction.

### **Subpart G – Information Submitted Pursuant to Section 15(b) of the CPSA**

1101.61 Generally.

1101.62 Statutory exceptions to section 6(b)(5) requirements.

1101.63 Information submitted pursuant to section 15(b) of the CPSA.

### **Subpart H – Delegation of Authority to Information Group**

1101.71 Delegation of authority.

AUTHORITY: Section 6(b) of Public Law 92-573, as amended by Section 211 of Public Law 110-314, 122 Stat. 3016, 15 U.S.C. 2055(b), 5 U.S.C. 553(b).

### **Subpart A—Background**

#### **§ 1101.1 General background.**

(a) *Basic purpose.* This rule sets forth the Consumer Product Safety Commission's policy and procedure under sections 6(b)(1)-(5) of the Consumer Product Safety Act (CPSA) (15 U.S.C. 2055(b)(1)-(5)) which relate to public disclosure of information from which the identity of a manufacturer or private labeler of a product can be readily ascertained. In addition, these rules provide for retraction of inaccurate or misleading information the Commission has disclosed that reflects adversely on the safety of a consumer product or class of products or on the practices of any manufacturer, private labeler, distributor or retailer of consumer products as required by section 6(b)(7) of the CPSA (15 U.S.C. 2055(b)(7)).

(b) *Statutory requirements.* Section 6(b) establishes procedures that the Commission must follow when it releases certain firm specific information to the public and when it retracts certain information it has released.

(1) Generally, section 6(b)(1) requires the Commission to provide manufacturers or private labelers with advance notice and opportunity to comment on information the Commission proposes to release, if the public can readily ascertain the identity of the firm from the information. Section 6(b)(1) also requires the Commission to take reasonable steps to assure that the information is accurate and that disclosure is fair in the circumstances and reasonably related to effectuating the purposes of the Acts administered by the Commission. Disclosure of information may not occur in fewer than 15 calendar days after notice to the manufacturer or private labeler unless the Commission publishes a finding that the public health and safety requires a lesser period of notice. ~~Exceptions to these requirements are established in s~~Section 6(b)(4) establishes exceptions to these requirements. Section 6(b)(5) creates Aadditional limitations, as well as exceptions to these limitations, on the disclosure of information reported to the Commission under section 15(b) of the CPSA. ~~are established in section 6(b)(5).~~

(2) Section 6(b)(2) requires the Commission to provide further notice to manufacturers or private labelers where the Commission proposes to disclose product-specific information the firms have claimed to be inaccurate.

(3) Section 6(b)(3) authorizes manufacturers and private labelers to bring lawsuits against the Commission to prevent disclosure of product-specific information after the firms have received the notice specified.

(c) *Internal clearance procedures.* Section 6(b)(6) requires the Commission to establish internal clearance procedures for Commission initiated disclosures of information that reflect on the safety of a consumer product or class of products, even if the information is not product specific. This rule does not address section 6(b)(6) because the Commission has internal clearance procedures in its directives system. (Directive 1450.2 "Clearance Procedures for

Commission Staff to Use in Providing Information to the Public.” ~~April 27, 1983~~January 16, 2003.)

**§ 1101.2. Scope.**

Section 6(b) and these rules apply to information concerning products subject to the CPSA (15 U.S.C. 2051-2085~~9~~), and to the four other acts the Commission administers (transferred acts). These transferred acts are the Flammable Fabrics Act, 15 U.S.C. 1191-1204 (FFA); the Poison Prevention Packaging Act of 1970, 15 U.S.C. 1471-1477~~6~~ (PPPA); the Federal Hazardous Substances Act, 15 U.S.C. 1261-1278~~a6~~ (FHSA); and the Refrigerator Safety Act, 15 U.S.C. 1211-1214 (RSA). These provisions ~~are now applicable~~also apply to the Child Safety Protection Act 101 and 102, Public Law 103-267, 108 Stat. 722 (June 16, 1994) (CSPA); the Virginia Graeme Baker Pool and Spa Safety Act, 15 U.S.C. 8003(a) (VGBA); and the Children's Gasoline Burn Prevention Act §-2(a), Public Law 110-278, 122 Stat. 2602 (July 17, 2008) (CGBPA).

**Subpart B—Information Subject to Notice and Analysis Provisions of Section 6(b)(1)**

**§ 1101.11 General application of provisions of section 6(b)(1).**

(a) *Information subject to section 6(b)(1).* To be subject to the notice and analysis provisions of section 6(b)(1), information must meet all the following criteria:

(1) The information must pertain to a specific product ~~which is either designated or described in a manner which permits its identity to be ascertained readily by the public.~~

(2) The information must be obtained under the acts the Commission administers, or be disclosed to the public in connection therewith. ~~, generated or received by the Commission as an~~

~~entity or by individual members, employees, agents, contractors or representatives of the Commission acting in their official capacities.~~

(3) The Commission, ~~any or its member of the Commission, or any s,~~ employees, agent, ~~ors or representative, including contractor,s of the Commission in an acting in their official capacityies~~ must propose to disclose the information to the public (see § 1101.12).

(4) The manner in which the product is designated or described in the information must permit the public to ascertain readily the identity of the manufacturer or private labeler. [See § 1101.13.]

(b) *Information not subject to section 6(b)(1).* The requirements of section 6(b)(1) do not apply to:

(1) Information described in the exclusions contained in section 6(b)(4) or (b)(5) of the CPSA (see subpart E and G of this rule).

(2) Information the Commission is required by law to make publicly available. This information includes, for example, Commission notifications to foreign governments regarding certain products to be exported, as required by section 18(b) of the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 20678(b); section 14(d) of the FHSA, 15 U.S.C. 1273(d); and section 15(c) of the FFA, 15 U.S.C. 1202(c). (See the Commission's Export Policy Statement, 16 CFR part 10197.)

(3) Information required to be disclosed to the President and Congress pursuant to section 27(j) of the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 2076(j).

(4) Press releases issued by firms.

(5) Information filed or presented in administrative proceedings or litigation to which the Commission is a party and which is not expressly subject to the section 6(b)(4) exceptions.



(6) A report of harm posted on the publicly available consumer product safety information database pursuant to section 6A of the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 2055a.

(7) Information that is publicly available or that has been disseminated in a manner intended to reach the public in general, such as news reports; articles in academic and scientific journals; press releases distributed through news or wire services; or information that is available on the Internet.

(8) Information that is substantially the same as information that the Commission previously disclosed in accordance with section 6(b)(1), except as specified in § 1101.31(d).

**§ 1101.12 ~~Commission must disclose information to the~~ Definition of “public”.**

*Public.* For the purposes of section 6(b)(1), the public includes any person except:

(a) Any member of the Commission or any employee, agent, or representative, including contractor, of the Commission in an ~~Members, employees, agents, representatives and contractors of the Commission, in their~~ official capacity.

(b) State officials who are commissioned officers under section 29(a)(2) of the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 2078(a)(2), to the extent that the Commission furnishes them information necessary for them to perform their duties under that section. Such officials may not release to the public copies of such information unless the Commission has complied with section 6(b) or the information falls within an exception to section 6(b).

(c) Members of a Commission Chronic Hazard Advisory Panel established under section 28 of the CPSA (15 U.S.C. 2077). However, disclosures of information by such a Panel are subject to section 6(b).

(d) The persons or firms to ~~whom~~ which the information to be disclosed pertains, or their legal representatives.

(e) The persons or firms who provided the information to the Commission, or their legal representatives.

(f) Other ~~f~~Federal agencies or state or local governments to ~~whom~~which accident and investigation reports are provided pursuant to section 29(e) of the CPSA (15 U.S.C. 2078(e)).

However, as required by that section, employees of ~~f~~Federal agencies or state or local governments may not release to the public copies of any accident or investigation report made under the CPSA by an officer, employee or agent of the Commission unless CPSC has complied with the applicable requirements of section 6(b).

(g) The Chairman or ranking minority member of a committee or subcommittee of Congress acting pursuant to committee business and having jurisdiction over the matter which is the subject of the information requested.

(h) Any federal, state, local, or foreign government agency pursuant to, and in accordance with, section 29(f) of the Consumer Product Safety Improvement Act of 2008 (Pub. L. 110-314, 122 Stat. 3016 (August 14, 2008)).

**§ 1101.13 Public ability to ascertain readily identity of manufacturer or private labeler.**

The advance notice and analysis provisions of section 6(b)(1) apply only when a reasonable person receiving the information in the form in which ~~it~~the information is to be disclosed and lacking specialized expertise can readily ascertain from the information itself the identity of the manufacturer or private labeler of a particular product. ~~The Commission will provide the advance notice and opportunity to comment if there is a question whether the public could readily ascertain the identity of a manufacturer or private labeler.~~

**Subpart C—Procedure for Providing Notice and Opportunity To Comment Under Section 6(b)(1)**

**§ 1101.21 Form of notice and opportunity to comment.**

(a) *Notice may be oral or written.*

(1) The Commission will generally provide to manufacturers or private labelers written notice and opportunity to comment on information subject to section 6(b)(1). Whenever possible, the Commission will transmit such notice electronically. However, when the Commission publishes a finding that the public health and safety requires a lesser period of notice pursuant to section 6(b)(1) of the CPSA, the Commission may determine that ~~it is necessary to provide the notice and opportunity to comment orally~~ is necessary, either in person or by telephone.

(2) Any notice required to be given under the provisions of this Part 1101 may be transmitted using electronic means of communication. Whenever possible, the Commission will transmit such notice electronically.

(b) *Content of notice.* The Commission shall, to the extent practicable, ~~will~~ provide the manufacturer or private labeler with:

(1) Either the actual text of the information to be disclosed or, if appropriate, a summary of the information.

(2) A general description of the manner in which the Commission will disclose the information, including any other relevant information the Commission intends to include with the disclosure. If the Commission advises that the form of disclosure will be by press release, for example, the Commission need not provide further notice to disclose a summary of the press release.

(3) A request for comment with respect to the information, including a request for explanatory data or other relevant information for the Commission's consideration.

(4) A statement that, in the absence of a specific request by a firm that its comments be withheld from disclosure, the Commission will release to the public the firm's comments (or a summary thereof prepared by the firm or, if the firm declines to do so, by the Commission).

(5) A statement that if the manufacturer or private labeler objects to disclosure of its comments or a portion thereof, the manufacturer or private labeler must notify the Commission of such objection at the time the manufacturer or private labeler submits its comments, provide a rationale, such as an applicable statutory or regulatory basis or provision, for why the comments should not be disclosed, and explain why ~~A statement that a request that comments be withheld from disclosure~~ disclosure of the comments is not necessary to assure that the disclosure of the information that is the subject of the comments is fair in the circumstances. ~~will be honored~~

(6) Notice that the firm may request confidential treatment for the information, in accordance with section 6(a)(3) of the Consumer Product Safety Act, 15 U.S.C. 2055(a)(3) (see § 1101.24(b)).

(7) A statement that no further request for comment will be sought by the Commission if ~~it~~ the Commission intends to disclose the identical information in the same format information that is substantially the same as the information that the Commission previously disclosed.

~~, unless the firm specifically requests the opportunity to comment on subsequent information disclosures~~

(8) The name, address, applicable contact information for electronic communication, and telephone number of the person to whom comments should be sent and the time when any comments are due (see § 1101.22).

**§ 1101.22 Timing: request for time extensions.**

(a) *Time for comment.* (1) In the interest of promoting timely notification, the Commission, whenever possible, will transmit electronically to the manufacturer or private labeler the notice to furnish comments to the Commission. Generally firms will receive ten (10) calendar days from the date of ~~the letter in which the Commission transmits the notice to furnish~~ comments such notice to the Commission. Firms that receive ~~requests for comments~~ notice by mail will receive an additional three (3) calendar days to comment to account for time in the mail.

(2) ~~Upon his or her own initiative or upon request,~~ the Freedom of Information Officer may provide a different amount of time for comment, particularly for firms that receive voluminous or complex material. In addition, the Commission may publish a finding that the public health and safety requires a lesser period of notice and may require a response in a shorter period of time (see § 1101.234).

(b) *No response submitted.* (1) If the Commission has not received a response within the time specified and ~~if it has~~ received no request for extension of time, the Commission will analyze the information as provided in subpart D. If no comments are submitted, the Commission will not give the further notice provided in section 6(b)(2).

(2) ~~Unless the Commission publishes a finding that the public health and safety requires a lesser period of notice (see § 1101.23),~~ The Commission will not disclose the information in fewer than 15 calendar days after providing a manufacturer or private labeler with notice and an opportunity to comment, unless (i) the firm agrees to a lesser period or does not object to disclosure, or (ii) the Commission publishes a finding that the public health and safety requires a lesser period of notice (see § 1101.23).

(c) *Requests for time extension.* (1) Requests for extension of time to comment on information to be disclosed must be made to the person who provided the Commission's notice and opportunity to comment. The request for time extension may be either oral or written. An oral request for a time extension must be promptly confirmed in writing.

(2) Requests for extension of time must explain with specificity why the extension is needed and how much additional time is required.

(3) The Commission will promptly respond to requests for extension of time.

**§ 1101.23 Providing less than 15 days notice before disclosing information.**

There are two circumstances in which the Commission may disclose to the public information subject to section 6(b)(1) in a time less than 15 calendar days after providing notice to the manufacturer or private labeler.

(a) *Firm agrees to lesser period or does not object to disclosure.* The Commission may disclose to the public information subject to section 6(b)(1) before the 15-day period expires when, after receiving the Commission's notice and opportunity to comment, the firm involved agrees to the earlier disclosure; notifies the Commission that ~~it~~the firm has no comment; or notifies the Commission that ~~it~~the firm does not object to disclosure.

(b) *Commission finding a lesser period is required.* Section 6(b)(1) provides that the Commission may publish a finding that the public health and safety requires a lesser period of notice than the 15 calendar days advance notice that section 6(b)(1) generally requires. The Commission may find that the public health and safety requires less than 15 calendar days advance notice, for example, to warn the public quickly because individuals may be in danger from a product hazard or a potential hazard, or to correct product safety information released by third persons, which mischaracterizes statements made by the Commission about the product or

which attributes to the Commission statements about the product which the Commission did not make.

(c) *Notice of finding.* The Commission will inform a manufacturer or private labeler of a product which is the subject of a public health and safety finding that the public health and safety requires less than 15 calendar days advance notice either orally or in writing, depending on the immediacy of the need for quick action. If written notice is provided, the Commission, whenever possible, will transmit such notice electronically. Be ~~Where applicable, before releasing~~ information, the Commission will comply with the requirements of section 6(b)(1) and (2) by giving the firm the opportunity to comment on the information, either orally or in writing depending on the immediacy of the need for quick action, and by giving the firm advance notice before disclosing information claimed by a manufacturer or private labeler to be inaccurate (see § 1101.25).

**§ 1101.24 Scope of comments Commission seeks.**

(a) *Comment in regard to the information.* The section 6(b) opportunity to comment on information is intended to permit firms to furnish information and data to the Commission to assist the agency in its evaluation of the accuracy of the information. A firm's submission, therefore, must be specific and should be accompanied by documentation, where available, if the comments are to assist the Commission in its evaluation of the information. Comments of a general nature, such as general suggestions or allegations that a document is inaccurate or that the Commission has not taken reasonable steps to assure accuracy, are not sufficient to assist the Commission in its evaluation of the information or to justify a claim of inaccuracy. The weight accorded a firm's comments on the accuracy of information and the degree of scrutiny which the Commission will exercise in evaluating the information will depend on the specificity and

completeness of the firm's comments and of the accompanying documentation. In general, specific comments which are accompanied by documentation will be given more weight than those which are undocumented and general in nature.

(b) *Claims of confidentiality.* If the manufacturer or private labeler believes the information involved cannot be disclosed because of section 6(a)(2) of the CPSA (15 U.S.C. 2055(a)(2)), which ~~pertains~~ refers to trade secret or other confidential material and information subject to 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(4), the firm may make claims of confidentiality at the time it submits its comments to the Commission under this section. Such claims must identify the specific information which the firm believes to be confidential or trade secret material or subject to 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(4) and must state with specificity the grounds on which the firm bases its claims. (See Commission's Freedom of Information Act regulation, 16 CFR part 1015, particularly 16 CFR 1015.18.)

(c) *Requests for nondisclosure of comments.* If a firm objects to disclosure of its comments or a portion thereof, ~~it~~ the firm must notify the Commission of such objection at the time ~~it~~ the firm submits its comments, provide a rationale, such as an applicable statutory or regulatory basis or provision, for why the comments should not be disclosed, and explain why disclosure of the comments is not necessary to assure that the disclosure of the information that is the subject of the comments is fair in the circumstances. If the firm objects to the disclosure of a portion of its comments, ~~it~~ the firm must specifically identify those portions ~~which~~ that should be withheld. Conclusory statements that comments must be withheld with no supporting basis are not sufficient to justify a request for nondisclosure.

**§ 1101.25 Notice of intent to disclose.**



(a) *Notice to manufacturer or private labeler.* In accordance with section 6(b)(2) of the CPSA, if the Commission, after following the notice provisions of section 6(b)(1), determines that information claimed to be inaccurate by a manufacturer or private labeler in comments submitted under section 6(b)(1) should be disclosed because the Commission believes it has complied with section 6(b)(1), the Commission shall notify the manufacturer or private labeler that ~~it~~ the Commission intends to disclose the information not less than five (5) calendar days after the date of the receipt of notification by the firm. The notice of intent to disclose will include an explanation of the reason for the Commission's decision and, copies of any additional materials, such as explanatory statements and letters to Freedom of Information Act requesters, which were not previously sent to the firm.

(b) *Commission finding a lesser period is required.* The Commission may determine that the public health and safety requires less than five (5) calendar days advance notice of ~~its~~ the Commission's intent to disclose information claimed to be inaccurate. For example, the Commission may determine ~~it is~~ that the public must be warned more necessary to warn the public quickly than five (5) calendar days because of individuals may be in danger from a product hazard or a potential hazard, or to correct product safety information released by third persons, which mischaracterizes ~~ed~~ statements made by the Commission about the product or which inaccurately attributes to the Commission statements about the product ~~which the Commission did not make.~~

(c) *Notice of findings.* The Commission will inform a manufacturer or private labeler of a product ~~which~~ that is the subject of a public health and safety finding that the public health and safety requires less than five (5) calendar days advance notice either orally or in writing,

depending on the immediacy of the need for quick action. If written notice is provided, the Commission, whenever possible, will transmit such notice electronically.

**§ 1101.26 Circumstances when the Commission does not provide notice and opportunity to comment.**

(a) *Notice to the extent practicable.* Section 6(b)(1) requires that “to the extent practicable” the Commission must provide manufacturers and private labelers notice and opportunity to comment before disclosing information from which the public can ascertain readily their identity.

(b) *Circumstances when notice and opportunity to comment is not practicable.* The Commission has determined that there are various circumstances when notice and opportunity to comment is not practicable. Examples include the following:

(1) When the Commission has taken reasonable steps to assure that the company to which the information pertains is out of business and has no identifiable successor.

(2) When the information is disclosed in testimony in response to an order of the court during litigation to which the Commission is not a party.

**Subpart D—Reasonable Steps Commission Will Take To Assure Information It Discloses Is Accurate, and That Disclosure Is Fair in the Circumstances and Reasonably Related to Effectuating the Purposes of the Acts It Administers**

**§ 1101.31 General requirements.**

(a) *Timing of decisions.* The Commission will attempt to make its decision on disclosure so that ~~it~~ the Commission can disclose information in accordance with section 6(b) ~~as soon as is reasonably possible~~ after expiration of the statutory ~~fifteen-15-day moratorium prohibition on~~ disclosure.

(b) *Inclusion of comments.* In disclosing any information under this section, the Commission may, and upon the request of the manufacturer or private labeler shall, -will include any comments or other information or a summary thereof submitted by the manufacturer or private labeler to the extent permitted by and subject to the requirements of section 6(b). If ~~unless~~ the manufacturer or private labeler, at the time it submits its section 6(b) comments, specifically requests that the Commission not ~~to~~ include the comments, or ~~to~~ include only a designated portion of the comments, the manufacturer or private labeler must provide for evaluation by the Commission, a rationale, such as an applicable statutory or regulatory basis or provision, supporting such withholding and an explanation of why ~~and~~ disclosure of the comments ~~on such a designated portion~~ is not necessary to assure that the disclosure of the information ~~which~~ that is the subject of the comments is fair in the circumstances.

(c) *Explanatory statements.* Where appropriate, the Commission will accompany the disclosure of information subject to this subpart with an explanatory statement that makes the nature of the information disclosed clear to the public. Inclusion of an explanatory statement is in addition to, and not a substitute for, taking reasonable steps to assure the accuracy of information. ~~To the extent it is practical~~ The Commission will also will accompany the disclosure, to the extent practicable, with any other relevant information in its the Commission's possession that places the released information in context.

(d) *Information previously disclosed.* If the Commission intends to disclose ~~has previously disclosed, in accordance with section 6(b)(1),~~ information that is substantially the same as information that the Commission previously disclosed in accordance with section 6(b)(1), ~~the identical information it intends to disclose again in the same format, it~~ the Commission will is not obligated to ~~customarily~~ take any additional steps to assure accuracy unless the Commission

~~has some reason to question its the accuracy of the information, or unless the firm, in its comments responding to the Commission's initial section 6(b) notice, specifically requests the opportunity to comment on subsequent disclosures, or unless the Commission determines that sufficient time has passed to warrant seeking section 6(b) comment again. Before disclosing the information the Commission will again review the information to see if accuracy is called into question and will further look to whether disclosure is fair in the circumstances and reasonably related to effectuating the purposes of the Acts the Commission administers.~~

**§ 1101.32 Reasonable steps to assure information is accurate.**

(a) The Commission considers that the following types of actions are reasonable steps to assure the accuracy of information ~~it~~ that the Commission proposes to release to the public:

(1) The Commission staff or a qualified person or entity outside the Commission (e.g., someone with requisite training or experience, such as a fire marshal, a fire investigator, an electrical engineer, or an attending physician) conducts an investigation or an inspection which yields or corroborates the product information to be disclosed; or

(2) The Commission staff conducts a technical, scientific, or other evaluation which yields or corroborates the product information to be disclosed or the staff obtains a copy of such an evaluation conducted by a qualified person or entity; or

(3) The Commission staff provides the information to be disclosed to the person who submitted ~~it~~ the information to the Commission for review and, if necessary, correction, and the submitter confirms the information as accurate to the best of the submitter's knowledge and belief, provided that:

(i) The confirmation is made by the person injured or nearly injured in an incident involving the product; or

(ii) The confirmation is made by a person who, on the basis of his or her own observation or experience, identifies an alleged safety-related defect in or problem with such a product even though no incident or injury associated with the defect or problem may have occurred; or

(iii) The confirmation is made by an eyewitness to an injury or safety-related incident involving such a product; or

(iv) The confirmation is made by an individual with requisite training or experience who has investigated and/or determined the cause of deaths, injuries or safety-related incidents involving such a product. Such persons would include, for example, a fire marshal, a fire investigator, an electrical engineer, an ambulance attendant, or an attending physician; or

(v) The confirmation is made by a parent or guardian of a child involved in an incident involving such a product, or by a person to whom a child is entrusted on a temporary basis.

(b) The steps set forth below are the steps the Commission will take to analyze the accuracy of information which ~~it~~ the Commission proposes to release to the public.

(1) The Commission will review each proposed disclosure of information which is susceptible of factual verification to assure that reasonable steps have been taken to assure accuracy in accordance with § 1101.32(a).

(2) As described in subpart C, the Commission will provide a manufacturer or private labeler with a summary or text of the information the Commission proposes to disclose and will invite comment with respect to that information.

(3) If the Commission receives no comments or only general, undocumented comments claiming inaccuracy, the Commission will review the information in accordance with § 1101.32(a) and release it, generally without further investigating ~~its~~ the accuracy of the information if there is nothing on the face of the information that calls its accuracy into question.

(4) If a firm comments on the accuracy of the information that the Commission proposes to disclose, the Commission will review the information in light of the comments. The degree of review by the Commission and the weight accorded a firm's comments will be directly related to the specificity and completeness of the firm's comments on accuracy and the accompanying documentation. Documented comments will be given more weight than undocumented comments. Specific comments will be given more weight than general comments. Further steps may be taken to determine the accuracy of the information if the Commission determines such action appropriate.

**§ 1101.33 Reasonable steps to assure information release is fair in the circumstances.**

(a) The steps set forth below are the steps the Commission has determined are reasonable to take to assure disclosure of information to the public is fair in the circumstances:

(1) To the extent permitted by and subject to the requirements of section 6(b), the Commission will ~~may~~ accompany information disclosed to the public with the manufacturer's or private labeler's comments or other information or a summary thereof unless the manufacturer or private labeler asks in ~~its~~ the firm's section 6(b) comments that ~~its~~ the comments or a designated portion thereof not accompany the information, provides a rationale, such as an applicable statutory or regulatory basis or provision, for why the comments should not be disclosed, and explains why disclosure of the comments is not necessary to assure that the disclosure of the information that is the subject of the comments is fair in the circumstances. If the firm objects to the disclosure of a portion of the firm's comments, the firm must specifically identify those portions that should be withheld. Conclusory statements that comments must be withheld with no supporting basis are not sufficient to justify a request for nondisclosure.

(2) The Commission generally will accompany the disclosure of information with an explanatory statement that makes the nature of the information disclosed clear to the public. The Commission will also take reasonable steps to disclose any other relevant information in its possession that will assure disclosure is fair in the circumstances.

(3) The Commission will limit the form of disclosure to that which ~~it~~ the Commission considers appropriate in the circumstances. For example, the Commission may determine ~~it is not appropriate to~~ that issuance of a nationwide press release in a particular situation is not appropriate and rather will issue a press release directed at certain localities, regions, or user populations.

(4) The Commission may delay disclosure of information in some circumstances. For example, the Commission may elect to postpone an information release until an investigation, analysis or test of a product is complete, rather than releasing information piecemeal if such disclosure would be unfair.

(b) The Commission will not disclose information when it determines that disclosure would not be fair in the circumstances. The following are examples of disclosures which generally would not be fair in the circumstances.

(1) Disclosure of information furnished by a firm to facilitate prompt remedial action or settlement of a case when the firm has a reasonable expectation that the information will be maintained by the Commission in confidence.

(2) Disclosure of staff notes or minutes of meetings to discuss or negotiate settlement agreements and of drafts of documents prepared during settlement negotiations, where the firm has a reasonable expectation that such written materials will be maintained by the Commission in confidence.

~~(3) Disclosure of the work product of attorneys employed by a firm and information subject to an attorney/client privilege, if the Commission has obtained the information from the client or the attorney, the attorney or client advises the Commission of the confidential nature of the information at the time it is submitted to the Commission, and the information has been maintained in confidence by the client and the attorney.~~

(43) Disclosure of a firm's comments (or a portion thereof) submitted under section 6(b)(1) ever if the firm's objection provides a rationale, such as an applicable statutory or regulatory basis or provision, for why the comments should not be disclosed and explains why disclosure of the comments is not necessary to assure that the disclosure of the information that is the subject of the comments is fair in the circumstances.

**§ 1101.34 Reasonable steps to assure information release is “reasonably related to effectuating the purposes of the Acts” the Commission administers.**

(a) The steps set forth below are the steps the Commission has determined are reasonable to take to assure that the disclosure of information to the public effectuates the purposes of the Acts it administers.

(1) *Purposes of the CPSA.* The Commission will review information to determine whether disclosure would be reasonably related to effectuating one or more of the specific purposes of the CPSA, as set forth in sections 2(b) and 5, 15 U.S.C. 2051(b) and 2054.

(2) *Purposes of the FHSA, FFA, PPPA, ~~and RSA~~, CSPA, VGBA, and CGBPA.* The Commission will also review information concerning products subject to the transferred and other acts it administers and to the Commission's specific functions under those acts to determine whether disclosure of information would be reasonably related to effectuating the purposes of those acts.



(3) *Purposes of the FOIA.* FOIA requests will be reviewed to determine whether disclosure of the information is reasonably related to effectuating one or more of the purposes of the acts administered by the Commission. In the event of a close question on this issue, the Commission will defer to the purposes of the FOIA. The FOIA establishes a general right of the public to have access to information in the Commission's possession, particularly information that reveals whether the Commission is meeting its statutory responsibilities or information upon which the Commission bases a decision that affects the public health and safety.

(b) In reviewing proposed information disclosures, the Commission will consider disclosing the material on the basis of whether release of the information, when taken as a whole, was prepared or is maintained in the course of or to support an activity of the Commission designed to accomplish one or more of the statutory purposes.

#### **Subpart E—Statutory Exceptions of Section 6(b)(4)**

##### **§ 1101.41 Generally.**

(a) *Scope.* This subpart describes and interprets the exceptions to the requirements of section 6(b)(1)-(b)(3) that are set forth in section 6(b)(4). These exceptions apply to:

(1) Information about a product reasonably related to the subject matter of an imminent hazard action in federal court;

(2) Information about a product which the Commission has reasonable cause to believe is in violation of any consumer product safety rule or provision under the Consumer Product Safety Act (15 U.S.C. 2051, et seq. ) or similar rule or provision of any other act enforced by the Commission;

(3) Information in the course of or concerning a rulemaking proceeding; or

(4) Information in the course of or concerning an adjudicatory, administrative or judicial proceeding.

(b) *Application to transferred and other acts.* The Commission will apply the exceptions contained in section 6(b)(4) to those provisions in the transferred and other acts, comparable to the specific provisions in the CPSA to which section 6(b)(4) applies.

**§ 1101.42 Imminent hazard exception.**

(a) *Statutory provision.* Section 6(b)(4)(A) provides that the requirements of section 6(b)(1) do not apply to public disclosure of “information about any consumer product with respect to which product the Commission has filed an action under section 12 (relating to imminently hazardous products).”

(b) *Scope of exception.* This exception applies once the Commission has filed an action under section 12 of the CPSA (15 U.S.C. 2061), in a United States district court. Once the exception applies, information may be disclosed to the public ~~while the proceeding is pending~~ without following the requirements of section 6(b)(1) if the information concerns or relates to the product alleged to be imminently hazardous. ~~Upon termination of the proceeding, information filed with the court or otherwise made public is not subject to section 6(b). Information in the Commission’s possession which has not been made public is subject to section 6(b).~~

**§ 1101.43 Section 6(b)(4)(A) exception.**

(a) *Statutory provision.* Section (6)(b)(4)(A) provides that the requirements of section 6(b)(1) do not apply to public disclosure of information about any consumer product which the Commission has reasonable cause to believe is in violation of any consumer product safety rule or provision under the Consumer Product Safety Act (15 U.S.C. 2051 et seq. ) or similar rule or provision of any other act enforced by the Commission.

(b) *Scope of exception.* This exception applies once the Commission has “reasonable cause to believe” there has occurred a violation of any consumer product safety rule or provision under the Consumer Product Safety Act (15 U.S.C. 2051 et seq.) or similar rule or provision of any other act enforced by the Commission. Once the exception applies, the Commission may disclose information to the public without following the requirements of section 6(b)(1) if the information concerning the product is reasonably related to the violation.

**§ 1101.44 Rulemaking proceeding exception.**

(a) *Statutory provision.* Section 6(b)(4)(B) provides that the requirements of section 6(b)(1) do not apply to public disclosure of information “in the course of or concerning a rulemaking proceeding (which shall commence upon the publication of an advance notice of proposed rulemaking or a notice of proposed rulemaking) \* \* \* under this Act.”

(b) *Scope of exception.* This exception applies upon publication in the Federal Register of an advance notice of proposed rulemaking or, if no advance notice of proposed rulemaking is issued, upon publication in the Federal Register of a notice of proposed rulemaking, under any of the acts the Commission administers. Once the exception applies, the Commission may publicly disclose information in the course of the rulemaking proceeding which is presented during the proceeding or which is contained or referenced in the public record of the proceeding and or which concerns the proceeding without following the requirements of section 6(b)(1). Documentation supporting the public record is also excepted from section 6(b). A rulemaking proceeding includes a proceeding either to issue, to amend, or to revoke a rule.

(c) The phrase “in the course of” refers to information disclosed as part of the proceeding and may, therefore, include information generated before the proceeding began and later

presented as part of the proceeding. A rulemaking proceeding ends once the Commission has published the final rule or a notice of termination of the rulemaking in the Federal Register.

(d) The phrase “concerning” refers to information about the proceeding itself both after the proceeding has begun and indefinitely thereafter. Therefore, the Commission may publicly disclose information that describes the substance, process and outcome of the proceeding. By issuing opinions and public statements, the Commissioners, and the presiding official, who act as decisionmakers, may also publicly explain their individual votes and any decision rendered.

**§ 1101.45 Adjudicatory proceeding exception.**

(a) *Statutory provision.* Section 6(b)(4)(B) provides that the requirements of section 6(b)(1) do not apply to public disclosure of “information in the course of or concerning \* \* \* [an] adjudicatory proceeding \* \* \* under this Act.”

(b) *Scope of exception.* This exception applies once the Commission begins an administrative adjudication under the CPSA. The Commission will also apply the exception to any administrative adjudicatory proceeding under FHSA, FEAA, or PPPA. An adjudicatory proceeding begins with the filing of a complaint under section 15(c) or (d), 17(a)(1) or (3), or 20 of the CPSA (15 U.S.C. 2064(c) or (d), 2066(a)(1), or (3), or 2069); section 15 of the FHSA (15 U.S.C. 1274); section 5(b) of the FFA, (15 U.S.C. 1194(b)); or section 4(c) of the PPPA (15 U.S.C. 1473(c)). An adjudicatory proceeding ends when the Commission issues a final order, 16 CFR 1025.51-1025.58.

(c) The phrase “in the course of” refers to information disclosed as part of the adjudication, whether in documents filed or exchanged during discovery, or in testimony given in such proceedings, and may therefore, include information generated before the adjudication began.

(d) The phrase “concerning” refers to information about the administrative adjudication itself, both once it begins and indefinitely thereafter. Therefore, the Commission may publicly disclose information that describes the substance, process and outcome of the proceeding including, for example, the effectiveness of any corrective action such as information on the number of products corrected as a result of a remedial action. By issuing opinions and public statements, the Commissioners and the presiding official, who act as decisionmakers, may publicly explain their individual votes and any decision rendered.

**§ 1101.46 Other administrative or judicial proceeding exception.**

(a) *Statutory provision.* Section 6(b)(4)(B) provides that the requirements of section 6(b)(1) do not apply to public disclosure of “information in the course of or concerning any \* \* \* other administrative or judicial proceeding under this Act.”

(b) *Scope of exception.* This exception applies to an administrative or judicial proceeding, other than a rulemaking or administrative adjudicatory proceeding, under the CPSA, FHSA, FFA, or PPPA. Proceedings within this exception include:

(1) A proceeding to act on a petition to start a rulemaking proceeding. This proceeding begins with the filing of a petition and ends when the petition is denied or, if granted, when the rulemaking proceeding begins. Information subject to the exception for petition proceedings is the petition itself and the supporting documentation, and information subsequently compiled by the staff and incorporated or referenced in the staff briefing papers for and recommendation to the Commission.

(2) A proceeding to act on a request for exemption from a rule or regulation. This proceeding begins with the filing of a request for exemption and ends when the request is denied

or, if granted, when the Commission takes the first step to implement the exemption, e.g., when an amendment to the rule or regulation is proposed.

(3) A proceeding to issue a subpoena or general or special order. This proceeding begins with a staff request to the Commission to issue a subpoena or general or special order and ends once the request is granted or denied.

(4) A proceeding to act on a motion to quash or to limit a subpoena or general or special order. This proceeding begins with the filing with the Commission of a motion to quash or to limit and ends when the motion is granted or denied.

(5) Any judicial proceeding to which the Commission is a party. This proceeding begins when a complaint is filed and ends when a final decision (including appeal) is rendered with respect to the Commission.

(6) Any administrative proceeding to which the Commission is a party, such as an administrative proceeding before the Merit Systems Protection Board or the Federal Labor Relations Authority. This proceeding begins and ends in accordance with the applicable regulations or procedures of the administrative body before which the proceeding is heard.

(7) A proceeding to obtain a retraction from the Commission pursuant to subpart F of these rules. This proceeding begins with the filing with the ~~Secretary~~ Secretariat of the Commission of a request for retraction and ends when the request is denied or, if granted, when the information is retracted.

(c) In the course of or concerning. The phrase “in the course of or concerning” shall have the same meaning as set forth in either § 1101.44 (c) and (d) or § 1101.45 (c) and (d), whichever is applicable.

### **Subpart F—Retraction**

**§ 1101.51 Commission interpretation.**

(a) *Statutory provisions.* Section 6(b)(7) of the CPSA provides: If the Commission finds that, in the administration of this Act, it has made public disclosure of inaccurate or misleading information which reflects adversely upon the safety of any consumer product or class of consumer products, or the practices of any manufacturer, private labeler, distributor, or retailer of consumer products, it shall, in a manner equivalent to that in which such disclosure was made, take reasonable steps to publish a retraction of such inaccurate or misleading information.

(b) *Scope.* Section 6(b)(7) applies to inaccurate or misleading information only if ~~it~~the information is adverse—i.e., if ~~it~~the information reflects adversely either on the safety of a consumer product or on the practices of a manufacturer, private labeler, distributor or retailer. In addition, the Commission will apply section 6(b)(7) to information about products, and about manufacturers and private labelers of products, the Commission may regulate under any of the statutes it administers. Section 6(b)(7) applies to information already disclosed by the Commission, members of the Commission, or the Commission employees, agents, contractors or representatives in their official capacities.

**§ 1101.52 Procedure for retraction.**

(a) *Initiative.* The Commission may retract information under section 6(b)(7) on the initiative of the Commission, upon the request of a manufacturer, private labeler, distributor, or retailer of a consumer product, or upon the request of any other person in accordance with the procedures provided in this section.

(b) *Request for retraction.* Any manufacturer, private labeler, distributor or retailer of a consumer product or any other person may request a retraction if he/she believes the Commission, ~~or any member of the Commission, or any individual member,~~ employee, agent,

~~contractor~~ or representative, including contractor, of the Commission in an official capacity has made public disclosure of inaccurate or misleading information, which reflects adversely either on the safety of a product with which the firm deals or on the practices of the firm. The request must be in writing and sent via either electronic mail to [cpSC-os@cpSC.gov](mailto:cpSC-os@cpSC.gov) or first class mail to The Secretariat, Office of the Secretary, U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, MD, 20814-4408, addressed to the Secretary, CPSC, Washington, D.C. 20207.

(c) *Content of request.* A request for retraction must include the following information to the extent it is reasonably available:

(1) The information disclosed for which retraction is requested, the date on which the information was disclosed, the manner in which it was disclosed, who disclosed it, the type of document (e.g., letter, memorandum, news release) and any other relevant information the firm has to assist the Commission in identifying the information. A photocopy of the disclosure should accompany the request.

(2) A statement of the specific aspects of the information that the firm believes are inaccurate or misleading and reflect adversely either on the safety of a consumer product with which the firm deals or on the firm's practices.

(3) A statement of the reasons the firm believes the information is inaccurate or misleading and reflects adversely either on the safety of a consumer product with which the firm deals or on the firm's practices.

(4) A statement of the action the firm requests the Commission to take in publishing a retraction in a manner equivalent to that in which disclosure was made.

(5) Any additional data or information the firm believes is relevant.



(d) *Commission action on request.* The Commission will act expeditiously on any request for retraction within 30 working days unless the Commission determines, for good cause, that a longer time period is appropriate. If the Commission finds that the Commission, ~~or any member of the Commission, or any individual member,~~ employee, agent, or representative, including contractor, or representative of the Commission in an official capacity has made public disclosure of inaccurate or misleading information that reflects adversely either on the safety of the firm's product or the practices of the firm, the Commission will publish a retraction of information in a manner equivalent to that in which the disclosure was made. If the Commission finds that fuller disclosure is necessary, ~~it~~ the Commission will publish a retraction in the manner ~~it~~ that the Commission determines appropriate under the circumstances.

(e) *Notification to requester.* The Commission will promptly notify the requester in writing of ~~its~~ the Commission's decision on request for retraction. Notification shall set forth the reasons for the Commission's decision.

#### **Subpart G—Information Submitted Pursuant to Section 15(b) of the CPSA**

##### **§ 1101.61 Generally.**

(a) *Generally.* In addition to the requirements of section 6(b)(1), section 6(b)(5) of the CPSA imposes further limitations on the disclosure of information submitted to the Commission pursuant to section 15(b) of the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 2064(b).

(b) *Criteria for disclosure.* Under section 6(b)(5) the Commission shall not disclose to the public information which is identified as being submitted pursuant to section 15(b) or which is treated by the Commission staff as being submitted pursuant to section 15(b). Section 6(b)(5) also applies to information voluntarily submitted after a firm's initial report to assist the

Commission in its evaluation of the section 15 report. However, the Commission may disclose information submitted pursuant to section 15(b) in accordance with section 6(b)(1)-(3) if:

(1) The Commission has issued a complaint under section 15 (c) or (d) of the CPSA alleging that such product presents a substantial product hazard; or

(2) In lieu of proceeding against such product under section 15 (c) or (d), the Commission has accepted in writing a remedial settlement agreement dealing with such product; or

(3) The person who submitted the information under section 15(b) agrees to its public disclosure; or

(4) The Commission publishes a finding that the public health and safety requires public disclosure with a lesser period of notice than is required by section 6(b)(1).

**§ 1101.62 Statutory exceptions to section 6(b)(5) requirements.**

(a) *Scope.* The limitations established by section 6(b)(5) do not apply to the public disclosure of:

(1) Information with respect to a consumer product which is the subject of an action brought under section 12 (see § 1101.42);

(2) Information with respect to a consumer product which the Commission has reasonable cause to believe is in violation of any consumer product safety rule or provision under the Consumer Product Safety Act (Pub. L. 92-573, 86 Stat. 1207, as amended (15 U.S.C. 2051, et seq. )) or similar rule or provision of any other act enforced by the Commission; or

(3) Information in the course of or concerning a judicial proceeding (see § 1101.45).

**§ 1101.63 Information submitted pursuant to section 15(b) of the CPSA.**

(a) Section 6(b)(5) applies only to information provided to the Commission by a manufacturer, distributor, or retailer which is identified by the manufacturer, distributor or retailer, or treated by the Commission staff as being submitted pursuant to section 15(b).

(b) Section 6(b)(5)'s limitation also applies to the portions of staff generated documents that contain, summarize or analyze such information submitted pursuant to section 15(b).

(c) Section 6(b)(5) does not apply to information (1) independently obtained or prepared by the Commission staff or (2) identified by the Commission staff through publicly available sources. For example, information that is publicly available or that has been disseminated in a manner intended to reach the public in general, such as news reports; articles in academic and scientific journals; press releases distributed through news or wire services; information that is available on the Internet; or information appearing on the publicly available consumer product safety information database established pursuant to section 6A of the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 2055a, does not fall within section 6(b)(5)'s disclosure limits.

#### **Subpart H—Delegation of Authority to Information Group**

##### **§ 1101.71 Delegation of authority.**

(a) *Delegation.* Pursuant to section 27(b)(~~9~~10) of the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 2076(b)(~~109~~)<sub>2</sub> the Commission delegates to the General Counsel or his or her senior staff designees, the authority to render all decisions under this part concerning the release of information subject to section 6(b) when firms have furnished section 6(b) comment except as provided in paragraph (b). The Commission also delegates to the Secretaryiat of the Commission, or his or her senior staff designee, authority to make all decisions under this part concerning the release of information under section 6(b) when firms have failed to furnish section 6(b) comment or have consented to disclosure except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section. The General Counsel shall have

authority to establish an Information Group composed of the General Counsel and the Secretariat of the Commission or their designees who shall be senior staff members.

(b) *Findings not delegated.* The Commission does not delegate its authority—

(1) To find, pursuant to section 6(b)(1) and § 1101.23(b) of this part, that the public health and safety requires less than 15 calendar days advance notice of proposed disclosures of information.

(2) To find, pursuant to section 6(b)(2) and § 1101.25(b) of this part, that the public health and safety requires less than five (5) calendar days advance notice of its intent to disclose information claimed to be inaccurate;

(3) To decide whether ~~it~~ the Commission should take reasonable steps to publish a retraction of information in accordance with section 6(b)(7) and § 1101.52 of this part.

(c) Final agency action; Commission decision. A decision of the General Counsel or the Secretariat or their designees shall be a final agency decision and shall not be appealable as of right to the Commission. However, the General Counsel or the Secretaryiat may in his or her discretion refer an issue to the Commission for decision.

Dated \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Todd A. Stevenson,  
Secretariat, Consumer Product Safety Commission.

**TAB B**

Billing Code 6355-01-P

**CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION**

**16 CFR Part 1101**

[CPSC Docket No. \_\_\_\_]

**Amendment to Regulation on Information Disclosure Under Section 6(b) of the Consumer Product Safety Act**

**AGENCY:** Consumer Product Safety Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** The Consumer Product Safety Commission (Commission, CPSC, or we) is issuing this notice of proposed rulemaking (NPR) to update the regulation that interprets section 6(b) of the Consumer Product Safety Act (CPSA). In 1983, the Commission issued a regulation interpreting the provisions of section 6(b) of the CPSA, and we are proposing to modernize that regulation to account for the significant improvements in information technology that have occurred since the regulation's adoption. We are also proposing to streamline the regulation to be as closely aligned with section 6(b) as possible, while maintaining our compliance with the statutory requirements and the protections of section 6(b)(5) for information filed in accordance with the requirements of section 15(b) of the CPSA. This notice seeks comments on the proposed changes to the regulation.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received by [INSERT 60 DAYS AFTER DATE OF PUBLICATION IN THE FEDERAL REGISTER].

**ADDRESSES:** You may submit comments, identified by Docket No. \_\_\_\_\_, by any of the following methods:

Electronic Submissions

Submit electronic comments in the following way:

Federal eRulemaking Portal: <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments.

The Commission is no longer accepting comments submitted by electronic mail (e-mail), except through: <http://www.regulations.gov>.

#### Written Submissions

Submit written submissions in the following way:

Mail/Hand delivery/Courier (for paper, disk, or CD-ROM submissions), preferably in five copies, to: Office of the Secretary, U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20814-4408; telephone (301) 504-7923.

Instructions: All submissions received must include the agency name and docket number for this proposed collection of information. All comments received may be posted without change, including any personal identifiers, contact information, or other personal information provided to: <http://www.regulations.gov>. Do not submit confidential business information, trade secret information, or other sensitive or protected information electronically. Such information should be submitted in writing, with the sensitive portions clearly identified.

Docket: For access to the docket to read background documents or comments received, go to: <http://www.regulations.gov>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Todd A. Stevenson, Secretariat, Office of the Secretary, U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, MD 20814-4408; telephone (301) 504-6836; [tstevenson@cpsc.gov](mailto:tstevenson@cpsc.gov).

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **I. Background**

Section 6(b) of the CPSA governs information disclosure by the Commission to the public. When disclosing information, the Commission, to the extent practicable, shall notify each manufacturer or private labeler of information to be disclosed that “pertains” to a consumer product, if the information “will permit the public to ascertain readily the identity of [the] manufacturer or private labeler” of the product. 15 U.S.C. 2055(b). Section 6(b)(1) also requires the Commission to “take reasonable steps to assure” that the information to be disclosed “is accurate, and that [its] disclosure is fair in the circumstances and reasonably related to effectuating the purposes of [the CPSA].” *Id.* In 1980, the U.S. Supreme Court ruled that disclosures under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) are covered by the section 6(b)(1) restrictions. *Consumer Product Safety Commission v. GTE Sylvania, Inc.*, 447 U.S. 102 (1980).

On December 29, 1983, we published a final rule interpreting section 6(b) of the CPSA. 48 FR 57430. The rule, 16 CFR part 1101, describes our procedures for providing manufacturers and private labelers with advance notice and “a reasonable opportunity to submit comments” to the Commission on proposed disclosures of product-specific information. The rule also explains the “reasonable steps” we will take pursuant to section 6(b) to assure, prior to public disclosure of product-specific information, that (1) the information is accurate; (2) disclosure of the information is fair in the circumstances; and (3) disclosure of the information is reasonably related to effectuating the purposes of the statutes the Commission administers.

The Consumer Product Safety Improvement Act of 2008 (CPSIA), Public Law 110-314, 122 Stat. 3016, enacted on August 14, 2008, amended section 6 of the CPSA. The amendments shortened the time periods from 30 to 15 days for manufacturers and private labelers to receive advance notice and have an opportunity to comment on any disclosure to the public of product-specific information. In addition, the amendments eliminated the requirement that the



Commission publish a Federal Register notice when the Commission makes a finding that the public health and safety necessitates public disclosure within a lesser period of notice than required by section 6(b)(1). The amendments also broadened the statutory exceptions to section 6(b). For example, the amendments excluded from section 6(b) the public disclosure of information with respect to a consumer product which the Commission has reasonable cause to believe is in violation of any consumer product safety rule or provision under the CPSA or similar rule or provision of any other Act enforced by the Commission. On November 28, 2008, we published a final rule to reflect these statutory amendments. 73 FR 72335.

On May 3, 2013, the Commission voted (2-1) to approve, with changes, the Fiscal Year 2013 Midyear Review and Operating Plan Adjustments (FY 2013 Midyear Adjustments), which directed staff to present for Commission consideration, an NPR updating the rule in accordance with the following guiding principles:

1. modernize the rule to account for the significant advancements in information technology that have taken place since its initial adoption in 1983;
2. streamline the rule to be as closely aligned with 15 U.S.C. 2055(b) as possible, with the objectives of (a) eliminating unnecessary administrative burdens to the agency, (b) removing extra-statutory requirements, (c) eliminating redundancies in providing notice, (d) minimizing Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) backlogs, and (e) maximizing transparency and openness in our disclosure of information;
3. maintain CPSC's compliance with the statutory requirements of 15 U.S.C. 2055(b) (*i.e.*, requirements related to notice, opportunity to submit comments, and taking reasonable steps to assure accuracy, fairness in the circumstances, and

reasonable relation to effectuating the purposes of the CPSA outlined in 15 U.S.C. 2051(b)); and

4. maintain the protections of 15 U.S.C. 2055(b)(5) for information filed in accordance with the requirements of 15 U.S.C. 2064(b) (e.g., Section 15(b) reports).

See <http://www.cpsc.gov//Global/Newsroom/FOIA/Records-of-Commission-Action-and-Meeting-Minutes/RCAFY13MidyearReviewandOperatingPlanAdjustments%20050313.pdf>. The Commission is proposing this amendment to update the rule in accordance with the principles specified in the FY 2013 Midyear Adjustments. This proposed amendment also contains technical revisions, including typographical and citation corrections, and changes to conform the rule to the statute.

## **II. Description of the Proposed Rule**

The proposal would amend Title 16 of the Code of Federal Regulations: Part 1101, titled “Information Disclosure Under Section 6(b) of the Consumer Product Safety Act.” We describe each proposed amendment in detail immediately below.

### *1. Proposed Changes to the Table of Contents*

In § 1101.12, remove: “Commission must disclose information to the public” and in its place, add: “Definition of “public”.”

### *2. Proposed Changes to § 1101.1 (General background.)*

Section 1101.1(b) sets forth the statutory requirements on which the regulation is based. Currently, the last sentence of § 1101.1(b)(1) states: “Additional limitations on the disclosure of information reported to the Commission under section 15(b) of the CPSA are established in section 6(b)(5).” Pursuant to section 6(b)(5), the Commission shall not disclose to the public

information submitted to the Commission under section 15(b) of the CPSA. The section 6(b)(5) limitations, however, do not apply to the public disclosure of:

1. information with respect to a consumer product which is the subject of an action brought under section 12;
2. information with respect to a consumer product which the Commission has reasonable cause to believe is in violation of any consumer product safety rule or provision under the CPSA or similar rule or provision of any other Act enforced by the Commission; or
3. information in the course of or concerning a judicial proceeding.

Accordingly, we propose clarifying the last sentence of § 1101.1(b)(1) to state: “Section 6(b)(5) creates additional limitations, as well as exceptions to these limitations, on the disclosure of information reported to the Commission under section 15(b) of the CPSA.”

In addition, we propose the following technical changes to § 1101.1:

- A. In § 1101.1(b)(1), insert: “calendar” between “15” and “days”.
- B. In § 1101.1(b)(1), remove: “Exceptions to these requirements are established in section 6(b)(4)” and in its place, add: “Section 6(b)(4) establishes exceptions to these requirements”.
- C. In the last sentence of § 1101.1(c), remove: “April 27, 1983” and in its place, add: “January 16, 2003.”.

3. *Proposed Changes to § 1101.2 (Scope.)*

We propose the following technical changes to § 1101.2:

- A. Remove the statutory citation: “2085” and in its place, add: “2089”.
- B. Remove the statutory citation: “1476” and in its place, add: “1477”.

- C. Remove the statutory citation: “1276” and in its place, add: “1278a”.
- D. Remove: “These provisions are now applicable to the Virginia Graeme Baker Pool and Spa Safety Act, 15 U.S.C. 8003(a); and the Children’s Gasoline Burn Prevention Act § 2(a), Public Law 110-278, 122 Stat. 2602 (July 17, 2008)” and in its place, add: “These provisions also apply to the Child Safety Protection Act 101 and 102, Public Law 103-267, 108 Stat. 722 (June 16, 1994) (CSPA); the Virginia Graeme Baker Pool and Spa Safety Act, 15 U.S.C. 8003(a) (VGBA); and the Children's Gasoline Burn Prevention Act 2(a), Public Law 110-278, 122 Stat. 2602 (July 17, 2008) (CGBPA)”.

4. *Proposed Changes to § 1101.11 (General application of provisions of section 6(b)(1).)*

Section 1101.11(a) sets forth information subject to section 6(b)(1) of the CPSA. Section 6(b)(1) requires the Commission to provide notice and an opportunity to comment to each manufacturer or private labeler if the manner in which a consumer product is designated or described in the information proposed for disclosure “will permit the public to ascertain readily the *identity of such manufacturer or private labeler*” (emphasis added). Currently, § 1101.11(a)(1) deviates from the statutory language, stating: “The information must pertain to a specific product which is either designated or described in a manner which permits its identity to be ascertained readily by the public.” We propose revising this provision to conform to the language contained in section 6(b)(1). Specifically, section 6(b)(1) requires notice and an opportunity to comment only if the identity of the manufacturer or private labeler can be ascertained readily by the public. Section 6(b)(1) does not require that the identity of the *product* be ascertained readily by the public. Therefore, to be as closely aligned with the statutory language as possible, we propose removing from § 1101.11(a)(1) the phrase: “which is either

designated or described in a manner which permits its identity to be ascertained readily by the public”. Proposed § 1101.11(a) would state: “(1) The information must pertain to a specific product.”

Currently, § 1101.11(a)(2) states: “The information must be obtained, generated or received by the Commission as an entity or by individual members, employees, agents, contractors or representatives of the Commission acting in their official capacities.” This statement differs from the language in section 6(b). Section 6(b) applies to the “public disclosure of any information obtained under this Act, or to be disclosed to the public in connection therewith.” 15 U.S.C. 2055(b). When the Commission originally proposed the regulation in 1982, § 1101.11(a)(2) used only the word “obtained.” 48 FR 57407. Several commenters, however, requested that the Commission expand § 1101.11(a)(2) “to cover information obtained, generated, or received by the Commission.” *Id.* The Commission agreed to include the terms “generated or received,” explaining that “the Commission did not intend to limit its responsibilities under section 6(b), but rather intended to cover all information in the possession of the Commission.” *Id.* In accordance with the FY 2013 Midyear Adjustments’ directive to streamline the regulation to be as closely aligned with 15 U.S.C. 2055(b) as possible, we propose revising § 1101.11(a)(2) to conform to the statutory language. We believe that by inserting “generated or received,” the Commission adopted a broader interpretation of the provision, “public disclosure of any information obtained under this Act, or to be disclosed to the public in connection therewith,” than what was intended under the statute. For these reasons, we propose revising § 1101.11(a)(2) to state: “The information must be obtained under the acts the Commission administers, or be disclosed to the public in connection therewith.”

Section 1101.11(b) sets forth information not subject to the requirements of section 6(b)(1). Currently, § 1101.11(b)(1) states: “Information described in the exclusions contained in section 6(b)(4) of the CPSA (see subpart E of this rule).” As discussed above, in § 1101.1, section 6(b)(5)’s limitations on the disclosure of information reported to the Commission under section 15(b) of the CPSA do not apply to the public disclosure of:

1. information with respect to a consumer product which is the subject of an action brought under section 12;
2. information with respect to a consumer product which the Commission has reasonable cause to believe is in violation of any consumer product safety rule or provision under the CPSA or similar rule or provision of any other act enforced by the Commission; or
3. information in the course of or concerning a judicial proceeding.

We propose revising § 1101.11(b)(1) to clarify that the requirements of section 6(b)(1) do not apply to these exceptions. Proposed § 1101.11(b)(1) would state: “Information described in the exclusions contained in section 6(b)(4) or (b)(5) of the CPSA (see subpart E and G of this rule).”

In addition to specifying these exceptions in the rule, we are proposing to include three other categories of information not subject to the requirements of section 6(b). Not only will these additions conform to new statutory requirements established by the CPSIA, but the additions also will maximize transparency and openness in our disclosure of information. Therefore, we propose adding the following three categories to the list of information not subject to the notice and comment requirements of section 6(b)(1):

1. a report of harm posted on the publicly available consumer product safety information database.

2. information that is publicly available.
3. information that is substantially the same as information that the Commission previously disclosed in accordance with section 6(b)(1), except as specified in § 1101.31(d).

Section 6A(f)(1) of the CPSA specifically excludes reports of harm posted on the publicly available consumer product safety information database from the provisions of section 6(b). To reflect this statutory exclusion, we propose revising § 1101.11(b) to include the following category: “(6) A report of harm posted on the publicly available consumer product safety information database pursuant to section 6A of the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 2055a.”

Section 6(b) is intended to provide firms with a review process before the Commission discloses to the public information obtained by the Commission under the CPSA. Information already in the public domain has not been obtained by the Commission under the CPSA, nor would the section 6(b) process serve any purpose with respect to information already disclosed other than by the Commission. Neither the statute nor the legislative history suggests that information that is readily available to the public is, or should be, subject to section 6(b)(1). To increase transparency, we propose revising § 1101.11(b) to include the following category: “(7) Information that is publicly available or that has been disseminated in a manner intended to reach the public in general, such as news reports; articles in academic and scientific journals; press releases distributed through news or wire services; or information that is available on the Internet.”

We also propose adding to the list of information that is not subject to section 6(b)(1) information that is substantially the same as information that the Commission previously disclosed in accordance with section 6(b)(1). Section 6(b) does not require a new notice and

comment process when the agency re-discloses information as to which appropriate notice already has been conveyed and applicable procedures followed.

Although renotification is not statutorily required, firms currently may request renotification, or the opportunity to comment on subsequent disclosures of identical information. *See* 16 C.F.R. §§ 1101.21(b)(7), 1101.31(d). The purpose of renotification was to provide firms with another occasion to submit substantive comments on information that the Commission previously released in accordance with the requirements of section 6(b).

Our review of the 6(b) process and firms' comments, however, reveals that few firms request renotification or provide substantive claims concerning accuracy, fairness, or reasonable relation to effectuating the purposes of the statutes the Commission administers for the staff to evaluate prior to releasing the information. For example, in calendar year 2012, approximately 25 percent of firms that received an initial notice requested renotification. During the same period, the Commission renotified firms on 40 separate occasions. In the majority of these cases, the firms never responded, responded but did not provide any comments on the information, or simply repeated the same claims that they submitted in response to the initial notice without providing any additional information for the staff to evaluate. Renotification thus generally has not resulted in new substantive input to staff [nor has the renotification process yielded re-disclosures that are handled differently from initial disclosures]. In short, renotification in practice duplicates the initial notification process and result. As a result, and in light of the absence of any statutory requirement for renotification, we propose removing this provision from the regulation.

Of course, if a firm subsequently discovers new information that is relevant to information the Commission previously released, such as a reported incident, the firm may



supplement its initial comments to the Commission. In addition, the requirements of section 6(b)(1) will apply if the Commission has reason to question the accuracy of the information proposed for a subsequent release, as specified in the proposed revision to § 1101.31(d).

Therefore, we propose revising § 1101.11(b) to include the following category: “(8) Information that is substantially the same as information that the Commission previously disclosed in accordance with section 6(b)(1), except as specified in § 1101.31(d).”

In addition, we propose the following technical and conforming changes to § 1101.11:

- A. In § 1101.11(a)(3), remove: “The Commission or its members, employees, agents or representatives must propose to disclose the information to the public (see § 1101.12)” and in its place, add: “The Commission, any member of the Commission, or any employee, agent, or representative, including contractor, of the Commission in an official capacity must propose to disclose the information to the public (see § 1101.12)”.
- B. In § 1101.11(b)(2), remove the statutory citation: “2068(b)” and in its place, add: “2067(b)”.
- C. In § 1101.11(b)(2), remove the regulatory citation: “16 CFR part 1017” and in its place, add: “16 CFR part 1019”.

5. *Proposed Changes to § 1101.12 (Commission must disclose information to the public)*

We propose the following technical and conforming changes to § 1101.12:

- A. Remove the heading: “Commission must disclose information to the public” and in its place, add: “Definition of “public”.”
- B. In § 1101.12(a), remove: “Members, employees, agents, representatives and contractors of the Commission, in their official capacity” and in its place, add:

“Any member of the Commission or any employee, agent, or representative, including contractor, of the Commission in an official capacity”.

- C. In § 1101.12(d), remove: “whom” and in its place, add: “which”.
  - D. In § 1101.12(f), remove: “Federal” and in its place, add: “federal” wherever “Federal” appears.
  - E. In § 1101.12(f), remove: “whom” and in its place, add: “which”.
6. *Proposed Changes to § 1101.13 (Public ability to ascertain readily identity of manufacturer or private labeler)*

Currently, § 1101.13 states:

The advance notice and analysis provisions of section 6(b)(1) apply only when a reasonable person receiving the information in the form in which it is to be disclosed and lacking specialized expertise can readily ascertain from the information itself the identity of the manufacturer or private labeler of a particular product. The Commission will provide the advance notice and opportunity to comment if there is a question whether the public could readily ascertain the identity of a manufacturer or private labeler.

We propose deleting from § 1101.13 the following sentence: “The Commission will provide the advance notice and opportunity to comment if there is a question whether the public could readily ascertain the identity of a manufacturer or private labeler.” The Commission adopted a “reasonable person” standard in § 1101.13 for determining whether the advance notice and analysis provisions of section 6(b)(1) would apply to information proposed for disclosure. Under this standard, if a reasonable person who lacks specialized expertise can readily ascertain

the identity of the firm from the information proposed to be disclosed, the information will be forwarded to the firm for section 6(b) comment. The Commission included the sentence proposed for deletion when we adopted the final rule in 1983 in response to comments that we received. 48 FR 57409. Because we believe this sentence is vague and inconsistent with the reasonable person standard that the Commission adopted, we propose deleting the sentence from § 1101.13.

In addition, we propose the following technical change to § 1101.13:

A. Remove: “it” and in its place, add: “the information”.

7. *Proposed Changes to § 1101.21 (Form of notice and opportunity to comment.)*

Section 6(b) requires the Commission to “notify” manufacturers or private labelers of consumer products before public disclosure of product-related information covered by the statute. Section 6(b) does not prescribe the medium to be used for providing the notice. Similarly, § 1101.21 prescribes oral or written notice, but does not specify the medium to be used for written notice.

There have been significant advancements in information technology and communication since we adopted the rule in 1983. As a result, use of electronic means to provide notice is widely accepted by other federal departments and agencies and courts, among others.

Despite these advancements, the Commission continues to provide 6(b) notice to firms via U.S. mail, a more time-consuming practice that incurs unnecessary costs, particularly from printing and mailing the relevant documents. In addition, staff resources are dedicated to preparing these paper mailings.

To increase efficiency and limit unnecessary expenditures of staff resources, we propose revising the rule to permit electronic 6(b) notices, to direct the Commission to transmit requisite

notices through an electronic medium whenever possible, and to encourage electronic communication with the Commission. To this end, the Commission proposes the following changes to § 1101.21:

- A. Insert “(1)” before the sentence, “The Commission will generally provide manufacturers or private labelers written notice and opportunity to comment on information subject to section 6(b)(1).”
- B. Insert the following statements after the last sentence in § 1101.21(a): “(2) Any notice required to be given under the provisions of this Part 1101 may be transmitted using electronic means of communication. Whenever possible, the Commission will transmit such notice electronically.”
- C. In § 1101.21(b)(8), insert: “applicable contact information for electronic communication,” between “address,” and “and telephone number”.

Section 1101.21(b) specifies the information that will appear in a section 6(b) notice. Currently, § 1101.21(b)(5) states: “A statement that a request that comments be withheld from disclosure will be honored.” As described below, in § 1101.31, we propose requiring a rationale, such as an applicable statutory or regulatory basis or provision, to support withholding a firm’s comments and an explanation of why disclosure of the comments is not necessary to assure that the disclosure of the information that is the subject of the comments is fair in the circumstances. Adopting these revisions, proposed § 1101.21(b)(5) would state:

A statement that if the manufacturer or private labeler objects to disclosure of its comments or a portion thereof, the manufacturer or private labeler must notify the Commission of such objection at the time the manufacturer or private labeler submits its comments, provide a rationale,

such as an applicable statutory or regulatory basis or provision, for why the comments should not be disclosed, and explain why disclosure of the comments is not necessary to assure that the disclosure of the information that is the subject of the comments is fair in the circumstances.

Currently, § 1101.21(b)(7) states: “A statement that no further request for comment will be sought by the Commission if it intends to disclose the identical information in the same format, unless the firm specifically requests the opportunity to comment on subsequent information disclosures.” In § 1101.31, the phrase, “identical information in the same format,” requires the Commission to provide 6(b) notice for subsequent disclosures of information that may differ only slightly, without any impact on accuracy, from the information the Commission initially released in accordance with section 6(b). The statute by its terms does not require 6(b) notice for changes in the appearance of the information or for minor editorial changes. Therefore, we propose revising the phrase to state: “information that is substantially the same”. In addition, as discussed above, in § 1101.11, we propose removing renotification from the rule. The renotification process, which is not required under the statute, has not resulted in new substantive input to staff. For these reasons, the Commission proposes revising § 1101.21(b)(7) to state: “A statement that no further request for comment will be sought by the Commission if the Commission intends to disclose information that is substantially the same as the information that the Commission previously disclosed.”

In addition, we propose the following technical and conforming changes to § 1101.21:

- A. In § 1101.21(a)(1), remove: “the Commission may determine that it is necessary to provide the notice and opportunity to comment orally, either in person or by

telephone” and in its place, add: “the Commission may determine that notice and opportunity to comment orally is necessary”.

- B. In § 1101.21(b), remove: “The Commission will provide the manufacturer or private labeler with” and in its place, add: “The Commission shall, to the extent practicable, provide the manufacturer or private labeler with”.

8. *Proposed Changes to § 1101.22 (Timing: request for time extensions.)*

Section 1101.22(a) explains the time for comment. Currently, § 1101.22(a)(1) states: “Generally firms will receive ten (10) calendar days from the date of the letter in which the Commission transmits the notice to furnish comments to the Commission. Firms that receive requests for comments by mail will receive an additional three (3) days to comment to account for time in the mail.” As discussed above, in § 1101.21, to increase efficiency and limit unnecessary expenditures of staff resources, we propose revising the rule to encourage electronic communication with the Commission whenever possible. Proposed § 1101.22(a)(1) would state:

(1) In the interest of promoting timely notification, the Commission, whenever possible, will transmit electronically to the manufacturer or private labeler the notice to furnish comments to the Commission.

Generally firms will receive ten (10) calendar days from the date of such notice. Firms that receive notice by mail will receive an additional three (3) calendar days to comment to account for time in the mail.

Currently, the first sentence of § 1101.22(a)(2) reads: “Upon his or her own initiative or upon request, the Freedom of Information Officer may provide a different amount of time for comment, particularly for firms that receive voluminous or complex material.” We propose deleting from this sentence the phrase: “Upon his or her own initiative or”. As a matter of

practice since the rule was enacted, the Freedom of Information Officer generally has not determined on his own initiative whether a firm would require additional time to comment on information proposed for disclosure. If a firm requires such additional time, the firm may submit an extension request to the Freedom of Information Officer for consideration. To account for actual practice, we propose revising the first sentence of § 1101.22(a)(2) to read: “Upon request, the Freedom of Information Officer may provide a different amount of time for comment, particularly for firms that receive voluminous or complex material.”

Section 1101.11(b) explains the Commission’s process if a firm does not respond to the Commission’s 6(b) notice. Currently, § 1101.22(b)(2) reads: “Unless the Commission publishes a finding that the public health and safety requires a lesser period of notice (see § 1101.23), the Commission will not disclose the information in fewer than 15 days after providing a manufacturer or private labeler notice and opportunity to comment.” As indicated in § 1101.23, in addition to publishing a finding that the public health and safety requires a lesser period of notice, the Commission may disclose information to the public in fewer than 15 days, if the firm agrees to a lesser period of notice, or does not object to the proposed disclosure. We propose revising § 1101.22(b)(2) to incorporate this provision. Proposed § 1101.22(b)(2) would state: “The Commission will not disclose the information in fewer than 15 calendar days after providing a manufacturer or private labeler with notice and an opportunity to comment, unless (i) the firm agrees to a lesser period or does not object to disclosure, or (ii) the Commission publishes a finding that the public health and safety requires a lesser period of notice (see § 1101.23).”

In addition, we propose the following technical changes to § 1101.22:

- A. In § 1101.22(a)(2), remove: “§ 1101.24” and in its place, add: “§ 1101.23”.

B. In § 1101.22(b)(1), remove “if it” and insert a comma between “submitted” and “the Commission.”

9. *Proposed Changes to § 1101.23 (Providing less than 15 days notice before disclosing information.)*

As discussed above, in § 1101.21, the Commission, whenever possible, intends to communicate electronically with firms. Therefore, the Commission proposes inserting the following statement after the first sentence in § 1101.23(c): “If written notice is provided, the Commission, whenever possible, will transmit such notice electronically.”

In addition, we propose the following technical and conforming changes to § 1101.23:

A. In § 1101.23, insert: “calendar” between “15” and “days” wherever “15” and “days” appear.

B. In § 1101.23(a), remove: “it” and in its place, add: “the firm” wherever “it” appears.

C. In the last sentence of § 1101.23(c), remove: “Where applicable, before releasing information” and in its place, add: “Before releasing information”.

10. *Proposed Changes to § 1101.24 (Scope of comments Commission seeks.)*

Currently, § 1101.24(c) states: “*Requests for nondisclosure of comments.* If a firm objects to disclosure of its comments or a portion thereof, it must notify the Commission at the time it submits its comments. If the firm objects to the disclosure of a portion of its comments, it must identify those portions which should be withheld.” As described more specifically below, in § 1101.31, we propose revising § 1101.24(c) to require a rationale to support withholding a firm’s comments and an explanation of why disclosure of the comments is not necessary to assure that the disclosure of the information that is the subject of the comments is fair in the



circumstances. A statement requesting that comments be withheld without the firm providing a rationale will not be sufficient to withhold comments. Therefore, we propose revising § 1101.24(c) to state:

*Requests for nondisclosure of comments.* If a firm objects to disclosure of its comments or a portion thereof, the firm must notify the Commission of such objection at the time the firm submits its comments, provide a rationale, such as a statutory or regulatory basis or provision, for why the comments should not be disclosed, and explain why disclosure of the comments is not necessary to assure that the disclosure of the information that is the subject of the comments is fair in the circumstances. If the firm objects to the disclosure of a portion of its comments, the firm must specifically identify those portions that should be withheld. Conclusory statements that comments must be withheld with no supporting basis are not sufficient to justify a request for nondisclosure.

In addition, we propose the following technical changes to § 1101.24(b):

- A. In the first sentence, remove: “which pertains to trade secret or other confidential material” and in its place, add: “which refers to trade secret or other confidential material and information subject to 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(4)”.
- B. In the second sentence, remove: “believes to be confidential or trade secret material and must state with specificity the grounds on which the firm bases its claims” and in its place, add: “believes to be confidential or trade secret material or subject to 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(4) and must state with specificity the grounds on which the firm bases its claims”.

11. *Proposed Changes to § 1101.25 (Notice of intent to disclose.)*

As discussed above, with respect to § 1101.21, the Commission is revising the rule in part to reflect the significant improvements in information technology since 1983. Therefore, we propose adding the following sentence to the end of § 1101.25(c): “If written notice is provided, the Commission, whenever possible, will transmit such notice electronically.”

In addition, we propose the following technical changes to § 1101.25:

- A. In § 1101.25, remove “5 days” and in its place, add: “five (5) calendar days” wherever “5 days” appears.
- B. In the first sentence of § 1101.25(a), remove: “that it intends” and in its place, add: “that the Commission intends”.
- C. In the second sentence of § 1101.25(a), remove the comma between “decision” and “copies” and in its place, add: “and”.
- D. In the first sentence of § 1101.25(b), remove: “its” and in its place, add: “the Commission’s”.
- E. In § 1101.25(b), remove the sentence: “For example, the Commission may determine it is necessary to warn the public quickly because individuals may be in danger from a product hazard or a potential hazard, or to correct product safety information released by third persons, which mischaracterized statements made by the Commission about the product or which attributes to the Commission statements about the product which the Commission did not make” and in its place, add: “For example, the Commission may determine that the public must be warned more quickly than five (5) calendar days because of danger from a product hazard or a potential hazard, or to correct product safety information

released by third persons, which mischaracterizes statements made by the Commission about the product or which inaccurately attributes to the Commission statements about the product”.

F. In the first sentence of § 1101.25(c), remove “which” and in its place, add: “that”.

12. *Proposed Changes to § 1101.31 (General requirements.)*

Currently, § 1101.31(b), which addresses the inclusion of a firm’s comments, reads:

*Inclusion of comments.* In disclosing any information under this section, the Commission will include any comments or other information submitted by the manufacturer or private labeler unless the manufacturer or private labeler at the time it submits its section 6(b) comments specifically requests the Commission not to include the comments or to include only a designated portion of the comments and disclosure of the comments on such a designated portion is not necessary to assure that the disclosure of the information which is the subject of the comments is fair in the circumstances.

We propose revising this sentence.

As an initial matter, the Commission must include with the disclosure, a firm’s comments if the manufacturer or private labeler requests inclusion, and inclusion is permitted by and subject to the requirements of section 6(b)(1). 15 U.S.C. 2055(b)(1). In instances where the firm does not request disclosure, section 6(b)(1) grants the Commission discretion in releasing a firm’s comments, stating that “the Commission *may* . . . include with the disclosure any comments or other information or a summary thereof . . . to the extent permitted by and subject to the requirements of this section” (emphasis added). *Id.*

When the Commission adopted the final rule in 1983 interpreting section 6(b)(1), we stated that we “intend[] to follow the general policy of disclosing comments unless the manufacturer specifically requests they be withheld.” 48 FR 57422. We recognized that a blanket policy always allowing a firm’s comments to be withheld, even though the comments contained no confidential commercial or trade secret information, would not be a desirable outcome. 48 FR 57423. The Commission was concerned that such a policy “would unnecessarily block the release of information, even though the Commission has taken the requisite reasonable steps to assure that the information is accurate and disclosure would be reasonably related to effectuating one or more purposes of the statutes the Commission administers.” *Id.* We stated our belief that section 6(b) “should not be construed to permit a firm to frustrate the disclosure of information simply by making a blanket claim of confidentiality for the information contained in its comments.” *Id.* For firms that made blanket claims, the Commission stated in the preamble that we would notify the firm that disclosure of the firm’s comments is necessary to assure that disclosure of the information was fair in the circumstances. In these instances, we would: (1) ask a firm to summarize the firm’s comments, or provide an edited version for public disclosure; (2) ask a firm to consent to the disclosure of information without the firm’s comments; or (3) disclose the information “with an explanatory statement that the manufacturer furnished data necessary to place the information in context but did not consent to its disclosure.” *Id.*

Our review of how firms typically submit comments under section 6(b) and staff’s subsequent processing of such comments, however, indicates that most comments are withheld. Most firms request that their comments be maintained in confidence, even where the firms do not provide any specific comments on the disclosure, or do not object at all to disclosure of the

information. For example, even when a firm's only comment is that the firm does not object to disclosure, the firm may request that this comment — that it has “no objection to disclosure” — be withheld in confidence. Staff has withheld comments in this circumstance even though the comments state only that the firm has no objection to disclosure of the information. In effect, staff adopted a blanket policy of withholding where such a policy was never intended.

To obtain more substantive and useful information from firms who object to disclosure of comments, we are proposing to revise the regulation to require firms to provide a rationale for why comments should not be disclosed and an explanation of why disclosure of the comments is not necessary to assure that the disclosure of the information is fair in the circumstances. Conclusory assertions that comments be withheld without a rationale will not be sufficient to withhold comments. In addition, a firm's comment that it has no objection to disclosure, without any additional comments, will not be sufficient to justify withholding. Proposed § 1101.31(b) would state:

*Inclusion of comments.* In disclosing any information under this section, the Commission may, and upon the request of the manufacturer or private labeler shall, include any comments or other information or a summary thereof submitted by the manufacturer or private labeler to the extent permitted by and subject to the requirements of section 6(b). If the manufacturer or private labeler, at the time it submits its section 6(b) comments, specifically requests that the Commission not include the comments, or include only a designated portion of the comments, the manufacturer or private labeler must provide for evaluation by the Commission, a rationale, such as an applicable statutory or regulatory

basis or provision, supporting such withholding and an explanation of why disclosure of the comments is not necessary to assure that the disclosure of the information that is the subject of the comments is fair in the circumstances.

Currently, § 1101.31(d), which pertains to information the Commission previously disclosed, reads:

*Information previously disclosed.* If the Commission has previously disclosed, in accordance with section 6(b)(1), the identical information it intends to disclose again in the same format, it will not customarily take any additional steps to assure accuracy unless the Commission has some reason to question its accuracy or unless the firm, in its comments responding to the Commission's initial section 6(b) notice, specifically requests the opportunity to comment on subsequent disclosures, or unless the Commission determines that sufficient time has passed to warrant seeking section 6(b) comment again. Before disclosing the information the Commission will again review the information to see if accuracy is called into question and will further look to whether disclosure is fair in the circumstances and reasonably related to effectuating the purposes of the Acts the Commission administers.

We propose two revisions to this provision. First, we propose removing: "If the Commission has previously disclosed, in accordance with section 6(b)(1), the identical information it intends to disclose again in the same format, it will not customarily take any additional steps to assure accuracy unless the Commission has some reason to question its

accuracy” and in its place, adding: “If the Commission intends to disclose information that is substantially the same as information that the Commission previously disclosed in accordance with section 6(b)(1), the Commission is not obligated to take any additional steps to assure accuracy unless the Commission has reason to question the accuracy of the information”. In its current form, the phrase, “identical information it intends to disclose again in the same format,” requires the Commission to provide 6(b) notice for subsequent disclosures of information that may differ only slightly, without any impact on accuracy, from the information the Commission initially released in accordance with section 6(b). When we adopted the final rule in 1983, the Commission specifically included “same format” in response to a comment that requested this addition. 48 FR 57414. The Commission agreed with the request, stating: “the format of the disclosure (other than summaries of information previously released) or the intended audience may be of significant interest to the firm and may warrant comment.” *Id.* Under a strict reading of the current provision, however, changes in the appearance of the information, such as the use of different fonts or layouts, and minor editorial changes, such as the insertion of a comma to the text, without any impact on the accuracy of the information, would require the Commission to provide subsequent 6(b) notice. We do not believe the statute requires subsequent 6(b) notice in these circumstances. In addition, we propose deleting the word, “some,” from the phrase, “some reason.” “Reason” provides the staff with a more definitive standard for when staff will take additional steps to assure accuracy.

Second, we propose deleting from § 1101.31(d) the following:

or unless the firm, in its comments responding to the Commission’s initial section 6(b) notice, specifically requests the opportunity to comment on subsequent disclosures, or unless the Commission determines that

sufficient time has passed to warrant seeking section 6(b) comment again. Before disclosing the information the Commission will again review the information to see if accuracy is called into question and will further look to whether disclosure is fair in the circumstances and reasonably related to effectuating the purposes of the Acts the Commission administers.

Regarding the first sentence on renotification, as discussed above, in § 1101.11, the majority of firms that receive renotification fail to respond, respond but do not provide any comments on the information, or simply repeat the same claims that they submitted in response to the initial notice, without providing any additional information for the staff to evaluate. The Commission proposes deleting renotification from § 1101.31(d). Regarding the second sentence, the statute does not require the Commission to conduct another 6(b) review for information that the Commission already has released to the public. For these reasons, we propose deleting these sentences from the regulation.

Incorporating the changes discussed above, proposed § 1101.31(d) would state: “*Information previously disclosed.* If the Commission intends to disclose information that is substantially the same as information that the Commission previously disclosed in accordance with section 6(b)(1), the Commission is not obligated to take any additional steps to assure accuracy unless the Commission has reason to question the accuracy of the information.”

We also propose the following technical and conforming changes to § 1101.31:

- A. In § 1101.31(a), remove: “The Commission will attempt to make its decision on disclosure so that it can disclose information in accordance with section 6(b) as soon as is reasonably possible after expiration of the statutory fifteen day moratorium on disclosure” and in its place, add: “The Commission will attempt to



make its decision on disclosure so that the Commission can disclose information in accordance with section 6(b) after expiration of the statutory 15-day prohibition on disclosure”.

- B. In § 1101.31(c), remove: “To the extent it is practical the Commission will also accompany the disclosure with any other relevant information in its possession that places the released information in context” and in its place, add: “The Commission also will accompany the disclosure, to the extent practicable, with any other relevant information in the Commission’s possession that places the released information in context”.

13. *Proposed Changes to § 1101.32 (Reasonable steps to assure information is accurate.)*

We propose the following technical changes to § 1101.32:

- A. In § 1101.32(a), remove: “it” and in its place, add: “that the Commission”.
- B. In § 1101.32(a)(3), remove: “it” and in its place, add: “the information”.
- C. In § 1101.32(b), remove: “it” and in its place, add: “the Commission”.
- D. In § 1101.32(b)(3), remove: “investigating its accuracy” and in its place, add: “investigating the accuracy of the information”.
- E. In § 1101.32(b)(4), insert: “that” between “accuracy of the information” and “the Commission proposes to disclose”.

14. *Proposed Changes to § 1101.33 (Reasonable steps to assure information release is fair in the circumstances.)*

Currently, § 1101.33(a)(1), which provides an example of the reasonable steps the Commission will take to assure disclosure of information to the public is fair in the circumstances, states: “The Commission will accompany information disclosed to the public

with the manufacturer's or private labeler's comments unless the manufacturer or private labeler asks in its section 6(b) comments that its comments or a designated portion thereof not accompany the information."

We propose revising the first part of this section to conform to 15 U.S.C. 2055(b). As discussed above, in § 1101.31, the Commission must include with the disclosure a firm's comments if the manufacturer or private labeler requests inclusion and inclusion is permitted by and subject to the requirements of section 6(b)(1). 15 U.S.C. 2055(b)(1). In instances where the firm does not request disclosure, the Commission has discretion in releasing a firm's comments. *Id.* To reflect the statutory language, we propose revising the first part of § 1101.33(a)(1) to state: "To the extent permitted by and subject to the requirements of section 6(b), the Commission may accompany information disclosed to the public with the manufacturer's or private labeler's comments or other information or a summary thereof."

In addition, we propose revising § 1101.33(a)(1) to require firms to provide a rationale for why the comments should not be disclosed and an explanation of why disclosure of the comments is not necessary to assure that the disclosure of the information is fair in the circumstances. To encourage firms to provide useful information and clarifying comments, as discussed above, in § 1101.31, we propose revising the regulation to require specific information for the Commission to consider. The second part of § 1101.33(a)(1) would state:

unless the manufacturer or private labeler asks in the firm's section 6(b) comments that the comments or a designated portion thereof not accompany the information, provides a rationale, such as an applicable statutory or regulatory basis or provision, for why the comments should not be disclosed, and explains why disclosure of the comments is not

necessary to assure that the disclosure of the information that is the subject of the comments is fair in the circumstances. If the firm objects to the disclosure of a portion of the firm's comments, the firm must specifically identify those portions that should be withheld. Conclusory statements that comments must be withheld with no supporting basis are not sufficient to justify a request for nondisclosure.

Incorporating the changes outlined above, proposed § 1101.33(a)(1) would state:

To the extent permitted by and subject to the requirements of section 6(b), the Commission may accompany information disclosed to the public with the manufacturer's or private labeler's comments or other information or a summary thereof unless the manufacturer or private labeler asks in the firm's section 6(b) comments that the comments or a designated portion thereof not accompany the information, provides a rationale, such as an applicable statutory or regulatory basis or provision, for why the comments should not be disclosed, and explains why disclosure of the comments is not necessary to assure that the disclosure of the information that is the subject of the comments is fair in the circumstances. If the firm objects to the disclosure of a portion of the firm's comments, the firm must specifically identify those portions that should be withheld.

Conclusory statements that comments must be withheld with no supporting basis are not sufficient to justify a request for nondisclosure.

Currently, § 1101.33(b)(3), which provides an example of information that would not be disclosed because the information generally would not be considered fair in the circumstances, reads:

Disclosure of the work-product of attorneys employed by a firm and information subject to an attorney/client privilege, if the Commission has obtained the information from the client or the attorney, the attorney or client advises the Commission of the confidential nature of the information at the time it is submitted to the Commission, and the information has been maintained in confidence by the client and the attorney.

In general, we believe that firms waive these protections when they submit information to the Commission that is attorney work-product or subject to the attorney/client privilege. Moreover, firms rarely claim in their comments to the Commission that the information proposed for disclosure contains information subject to the attorney/client privilege or the work-product doctrine. For example, in FY 2012, our FOIA office processed approximately 459 notices under section 6(b). Of those 459 notices, firms claimed attorney/client privilege and/or the work-product doctrine in only approximately 12 instances. The majority of firms that asserted this claim did not identify the specific information to which the claim pertained, but included the claim in a broad list of claims that included confidential business information and general fairness objections. For these reasons, we propose removing § 1101.33(b)(3) from the regulation.

Currently, § 1101.33(b)(4), which provides another example of information that would not be disclosed because the information generally would not be considered fair in the

circumstances, reads: “Disclosure of a firm’s comments (or a portion thereof) submitted under section 6(b)(1) over the firm’s objection.” As discussed above, in § 1101.31, we propose revising the regulation to require that firms provide a rationale for why comments should not be disclosed and an explanation of why disclosure of the comments is not necessary to assure that the disclosure of the information is fair in the circumstances. In addition, because we propose removing § 1101.33(b)(3) from the regulation, we will renumber § 1101.33(b)(4) as § 1101.33(b)(3). Proposed § 1101.33(b)(3) would state:

Disclosure of a firm’s comments (or a portion thereof) submitted under section 6(b)(1) if the firm provides a rationale, such as an applicable statutory or regulatory basis or provision, for why the comments should not be disclosed and explains why disclosure of the comments is not necessary to assure that the disclosure of the information that is the subject of the comments is fair in the circumstances.

In addition, we propose the following technical corrections to § 1101.33:

- A. In the second sentence of § 1101.33(a)(2), remove: “information it its possession” and in its place, add: “information in its possession”.
- B. In the first sentence of § 1101.33(a)(3), remove: “it” and in its place, add: “the Commission”.
- C. In § 1101.33(a)(3), remove: “For example, the Commission may determine it is not appropriate to issue a nationwide press release in a particular situation and rather will issue a press release directed at certain localities, regions, or user populations” and in its place, add: “For example, the Commission may determine that issuance of a nationwide press release in a particular situation is not

appropriate and rather will issue a press release directed at certain localities, regions or user populations”.

D. In the second sentence of § 1101.33(a)(4) add after “information piecemeal” the phrase: “if such disclosure would be unfair”.

E. In § 1101.33(b)(1), remove: “in coincidence” and in its place, add: “in confidence”.

F. In § 1101.33(b)(2), insert: “staff” between “Disclosure of” and “notes”.

15. *Proposed Changes to § 1101.34 (Reasonable steps to assure information release is “reasonably related to effectuating the purposes of the Acts” the Commission administers.)*

We propose the following technical changes to § 1101.34(a)(2):

A. Remove: “Purposes of the FHSA, FFA, PPPA and RSA” and in its place, add: “Purposes of the FHSA, FFA, PPPA, RSA, CSPA, VGBA, and CGBPA”.

B. In the first sentence, insert: “and other” between “transferred” and “acts”.

16. *Proposed Changes to § 1101.41 (Generally.)*

We propose the following technical changes to § 1101.41:

A. In § 1101.41(a)(4), capitalize “information”.

B. In § 1101.41(b), remove: “transferred act” and in its place, add: “transferred and other acts”.

C. In § 1101.41(b), remove: “transferred acts” and in its place, add: “transferred and other acts”.

17. *Proposed Changes to § 1101.42 (Imminent hazard exception.)*

Currently, § 1101.42(b), which discusses the scope of the imminent hazard exception, reads:

This exception applies once the Commission has filed an action under section 12 of the CPSA (15 U.S.C. 2061), in a United States district court. Once the exception applies, information may be disclosed to the public while the proceeding is pending without following the requirements of section 6(b)(1) if the information concerns or relates to the product alleged to be imminently hazardous. Upon termination of the proceeding, information filed with the court or otherwise made public is not subject to section 6(b). Information in the Commission's possession which has not been made public is subject to section 6(b).

We propose the following revisions to § 1101.42(b):

1. In the second sentence, remove: "while the proceeding is pending".
2. Remove the third and fourth sentences.

We recognize that when the Commission adopted the final rule in 1983, we decided, in response to a comment, that "documents in the Commission's possession that concern a product for which it has filed an imminent hazard action and that it has not made publicly available" are subject to the 6(b) requirements. 48 FR 57425. We stated that "these documents are more similar to documents prepared during the course of other Commission's activities which are routinely subject to section 6(b) and, therefore, will be treated accordingly." *Id.* We do not believe, however, that the statute imposes these restrictions on the Commission's release of information. Upon the Commission's filing of a section 12 action, we believe that information may be disclosed to the public during and after the proceeding, even if the information was not

filed with the court or otherwise made public. Therefore, we propose deleting, “while the proceeding is pending”, from the second sentence and removing the third and fourth sentences from § 1101.42(b).

18. *Proposed Changes to § 1101.45 (Adjudicatory proceeding exception.)*

We propose the following technical correction to § 1101.45(b):

A. Remove: “FAA” and in its place, add: “FFA”.

19. *Proposed Changes to § 1101.46 (Other administrative or judicial proceeding exception.)*

We propose the following technical correction to § 1101.46(b)(7):

A. Remove: “Secretary” and in its place, add: “Secretariat”.

20. *Proposed Changes to § 1101.51 (Commission interpretation.)*

We propose the following technical corrections to § 1101.51(b):

A. In the first sentence, replace: “it” with “the information” wherever “it” appears.

21. *Proposed Changes to § 1101.52 (Procedure for retraction.)*

We propose the following technical and conforming changes to § 1101.52:

A. In § 1101.52(a), remove the comma between “distributor” and “or”.

B. In § 1101.52(b), remove: “the Commission or an individual member, employee, agent, contractor or representative of the Commission” and in its place, add: “the Commission, any member of the Commission, or any employee, agent, or representative, including contractor, of the Commission in an official capacity”.

C. In § 1101.52(b), remove: “The request must be in writing and addressed to the Secretary, CPSC. Washington, D.C. 20207” and in its place, add: “The request must be in writing and sent via either electronic mail to [cpsc-os@cpsc.gov](mailto:cpsc-os@cpsc.gov) or first



class mail to The Secretariat, Office of the Secretary, U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, MD, 20814-4408”.

- D. In § 1101.52(c)(2), add: “that” between “information” and “the firm”.
  - E. In § 1101.52(d), remove: “the Commission or any individual member, employee, agent [sic] contractor or representative of the Commission” and in its place, add: “the Commission, any member of the Commission, or any employee, agent, or representative, including contractor, of the Commission in an official capacity”.
  - F. In § 1101.52(d), remove: “If the Commission finds that fuller disclosure is necessary, it will publish a retraction in the manner it determines appropriate under the circumstances” and in its place, add: “If the Commission finds that fuller disclosure is necessary, the Commission will publish a retraction in the manner that the Commission determines appropriate under the circumstances”.
  - G. In § 1101.52(e), replace: “its” with “the Commission’s”.
22. *Proposed Changes to § 1101.61 (Generally.)*
- We propose the following technical correction to § 1101.61(b)(3):
- A. Remove the period and in its place, add: “; or”.
23. *Proposed Changes to § 1101.63 (Information submitted pursuant to section 15(b) of the CPSA.)*

Currently, § 1101.63(c) reads: “Section 6(b)(5) does not apply to information independently obtained or prepared by the Commission staff.” The legislative history indicates that in granting the Commission broad information-gathering powers, the Commission was intended to have access to section 15 information, such as trade secrets and other sensitive cost and competitive information, which would not otherwise be available to the public or to

government. H.R. Rep. No. 92-1153, at 31 (1972). The apparent intent was not to protect information that the staff could identify or prepare independently from material in the public realm, but only to limit disclosure of confidential trade secret and competitive information not otherwise publicly available. *Id.*

Technological advances since enactment of the 1983 regulation merit further refinement of this exception. For example, Internet resources, which did not exist at the time of the enactment of the 1983 regulation, have significantly expanded the public availability of information about products; this public information may also be a part of a firm's section 15 report. Searching the name of a product in any Internet search engine may yield significant information about a product, including product reviews and Internet sites or retail locations where the product can be purchased. The Commission does not believe that the restriction on the disclosure of information contained in reports submitted to the Commission pursuant to section 15(b) was intended to apply to such publicly-available information. Indeed, inclusion of such information would frustrate the transparent disclosure of information if readily available information from the public domain could not be disclosed simply because a firm included such information in a section 15(b) report to the Commission. Therefore, information that a firm submits to the Commission pursuant to section 15(b) that is readily available to the public because, for example, the information appears in newspaper articles, on retailer websites, in product reviews, in the consumer product safety information database, or in other sources, constitutes information that is independently obtained under this provision and thus not subject to the requirements of section 6(b)(5).

Accordingly, we propose revising § 1101.63(c) to state:

Section 6(b)(5) does not apply to information (1) independently obtained or prepared by the Commission staff or (2) identified by the Commission staff through publicly available sources. For example, information that is publicly available or that has been disseminated in a manner intended to reach the public in general, such as news reports; articles in academic and scientific journals; press releases distributed through news or wire services; information that is available on the Internet; or information appearing on the publicly available consumer product safety information database established pursuant to section 6A of the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 2055a, does not fall within section 6(b)(5)'s disclosure limits.

24. *Proposed Changes to §1101.71 (Delegation of authority.)*

We propose the following technical changes to § 1101.71:

- A. In § 1101.71, remove: “Secretary” and in its place, add: “Secretariat” wherever “Secretary” appears.
- B. In § 1101.71(a), remove: “section 27(b)(9) of the CPSA 15 U.S.C. 2076(b)(9)” and in its place, add: “27(b)(10) of the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 2076(b)(10).”.
- C. In § 1101.71(b), remove: “Findings not deleted” and in its place, add: “Findings not delegated”.
- D. In § 1101.71(b)(1), insert: “calendar” between “15” and “days”.
- E. In § 1101.71(b)(2), insert: “calendar” between “(5)” and “days”.
- F. In § 1101.71(b)(2), remove the semicolon and in its place, add a period.
- G. In § 1101.71(b)(3), remove: “it” and in its place, add: “the Commission”.

**III. Environmental Considerations**

The Commission's regulations address whether the Commission is required to prepare an environmental assessment or an environmental impact statement. 16 CFR part 1021. These regulations provide a categorical exclusion for certain CPSC actions that normally have "little or no potential for affecting the human environment." 16 CFR 1021.5(c)(1). This proposed rule falls within the categorical exclusion.

#### **IV. Regulatory Flexibility Analysis**

Under section 603 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA), when the Administrative Procedure Act (APA) requires an agency to publish a general notice of proposed rulemaking, the agency must prepare an initial regulatory flexibility analysis (IRFA) assessing the economic impact of the proposed rule on small entities. 5 U.S.C. 603(a). As noted, the Commission is proposing to update the regulation that interprets section 6(b) of the CPSA. Although the Commission is choosing to issue the rule through notice and comment procedures, the APA does not require a proposed rule when an agency issues an interpretive rule. Therefore, no IRFA is required under the RFA. Moreover, the proposed rule would not establish any mandatory requirements and would not impose any obligations on small entities (or any other entity or party).

#### **V. Paperwork Reduction Act**

The Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) establishes certain requirements when an agency conducts or sponsors a "collection of information." 44 U.S.C. 3501–3520. The proposed rule would amend the Commission's rule that describes the agency's procedures for providing manufacturers and private labelers with advance notice and "a reasonable opportunity to submit comments" to the Commission on proposed disclosures of product-specific information. The proposed rule would not impose any information collection requirements. The existing rule and

the proposed amendment do not require or request information from firms, but rather, explain the Commission's procedures that provide an opportunity for firms to comment on product-specific information before disclosure. Thus, the PRA is not implicated in this proposed rulemaking.

#### **VI. Executive Order 12988 (Preemption)**

According to Executive Order 12988 (February 5, 1996), agencies must state in clear language the preemptive effect, if any, of new regulations. Section 26 of the CPSA explains the preemptive effect of consumer product safety standards issued under the CPSA. 15 U.S.C. 2075. The proposed rule is not a consumer product safety standard, but rather, is an interpretive rule that would interpret section 6(b) of the CPSA. Therefore, section 26 of the CPSA would not apply to this rulemaking.

#### **VII. Effective Date**

The APA generally requires that the effective date of a rule be at least 30 days after publication of the final rule. 5 U.S.C. 553(d). However, an earlier effective date is permitted for interpretive rules and statements of policy. *Id.* Thus, this proposed rule is excepted from the APA effective date requirement. *Id.* 553(d)(2).

Because CPSC is giving notice and soliciting comment (even though notice and comment procedures are not required), the public and potentially affected firms will have significant advance notice of the agency's proposed rule. Moreover, implementation of the rule will not result in the imposition of new, mandatory requirements on firms. Therefore, the Commission proposes that the effective date be the date of publication of a final rule in the Federal Register.

#### **VIII. Request for Comments**

The Commission requests comments on all aspects of the proposed rule. Comments should be submitted in accordance with the instructions in the ADDRESSES section at the

beginning of this notice. Written comments must be received by **[INSERT 60 DAYS AFTER DATE OF PUBLICATION IN THE FEDERAL REGISTER]**.

**List of Subjects in 16 CFR Part 1101**

Administrative practice and procedure; Consumer protection.

Accordingly, the Commission proposes to amend 16 CFR part 1101 to read as follows:

**PART 1101—INFORMATION DISCLOSURE UNDER SECTION 6(B) OF THE  
CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY ACT**

**Subpart A – Background**

Sec.

1101.1 General background.

1101.2 Scope.

**Subpart B – Information Subject to Notice and Analysis Provisions of Section  
6(b)(1)**

1101.11 General application of provisions of section 6(b)(1).

1101.12 Definition of “public”.

1101.13 Public ability to ascertain readily identity of manufacturer or private labeler.

**Subpart C – Procedure for Providing Notice and Opportunity To Comment Under  
Section 6(b)(1)**

1101.21 Form of notice and opportunity to comment.

- 1101.22 Timing; request for time extensions.
- 1101.23 Providing less than 15 days notice before disclosing information.
- 1101.24 Scope of comments Commission seeks.
- 1101.25 Notice of intent to disclose.
- 1101.26 Circumstances when the Commission does not provide notice and opportunity to comment.

**Subpart D – Reasonable Steps Commission Will Take To Assure Information It Discloses Is Accurate, and That Disclosure Is Fair in the Circumstances and Reasonably Related to Effectuating the Purposes of the Acts It Administers**

- 1101.31 General requirements.
- 1101.32 Reasonable steps to assure information is accurate.
- 1101.33 Reasonable steps to assure information release is fair in the circumstances.
- 1101.34 Reasonable steps to assure information release is “reasonably related to effectuating the purposes of the Acts” the Commission administers.

**Subpart E – Statutory Exceptions of Section 6(b)(4)**

- 1101.41 Generally.
- 1101.42 Imminent hazard exception.
- 1101.43 Section 6(b)(4)(A) exception.
- 1101.44 Rulemaking proceeding exception.
- 1101.45. Adjudicatory proceeding exception.
- 1101.46 Other administrative or judicial proceeding exception.

**Subpart F – Retraction**

- 1101.51 Commission interpretation.

1101.52 Procedure for retraction.

**Subpart G – Information Submitted Pursuant to Section 15(b) of the CPSA**

1101.61 Generally.

1101.62 Statutory exceptions to section 6(b)(5) requirements.

1101.63 Information submitted pursuant to section 15(b) of the CPSA.

**Subpart H – Delegation of Authority to Information Group**

1101.71 Delegation of authority.

AUTHORITY: Section 6(b) of Public Law 92-573, as amended by Section 211 of Public Law 110-314, 122 Stat. 3016, 15 U.S.C. 2055(b), 5 U.S.C. 553(b).

**Subpart A—Background**

**§ 1101.1 General background.**

(a) *Basic purpose.* This rule sets forth the Consumer Product Safety Commission's policy and procedure under sections 6(b)(1)-(5) of the Consumer Product Safety Act (CPSA) (15 U.S.C. 2055(b)(1)-(5)) which relate to public disclosure of information from which the identity of a manufacturer or private labeler of a product can be readily ascertained. In addition, these rules provide for retraction of inaccurate or misleading information the Commission has disclosed that reflects adversely on the safety of a consumer product or class of products or on the practices of any manufacturer, private labeler, distributor or retailer of consumer products as required by section 6(b)(7) of the CPSA (15 U.S.C. 2055(b)(7)).

(b) *Statutory requirements.* Section 6(b) establishes procedures that the Commission must follow when it releases certain firm specific information to the public and when it retracts certain information it has released.



(1) Generally, section 6(b)(1) requires the Commission to provide manufacturers or private labelers with advance notice and opportunity to comment on information the Commission proposes to release, if the public can readily ascertain the identity of the firm from the information. Section 6(b)(1) also requires the Commission to take reasonable steps to assure that the information is accurate and that disclosure is fair in the circumstances and reasonably related to effectuating the purposes of the Acts administered by the Commission. Disclosure of information may not occur in fewer than 15 calendar days after notice to the manufacturer or private labeler unless the Commission publishes a finding that the public health and safety requires a lesser period of notice. Section 6(b)(4) establishes exceptions to these requirements. Section 6(b)(5) creates additional limitations, as well as exceptions to these limitations, on the disclosure of information reported to the Commission under section 15(b) of the CPSA.

(2) Section 6(b)(2) requires the Commission to provide further notice to manufacturers or private labelers where the Commission proposes to disclose product-specific information the firms have claimed to be inaccurate.

(3) Section 6(b)(3) authorizes manufacturers and private labelers to bring lawsuits against the Commission to prevent disclosure of product-specific information after the firms have received the notice specified.

(c) *Internal clearance procedures.* Section 6(b)(6) requires the Commission to establish internal clearance procedures for Commission initiated disclosures of information that reflect on the safety of a consumer product or class of products, even if the information is not product specific. This rule does not address section 6(b)(6) because the Commission has internal clearance procedures in its directives system. (Directive 1450.2 “Clearance Procedures for Commission Staff to Use in Providing Information to the Public.” January 16, 2003.)

**§ 1101.2. Scope.**

Section 6(b) and these rules apply to information concerning products subject to the CPSA (15 U.S.C. 2051-2089), and to the four other acts the Commission administers (transferred acts). These transferred acts are the Flammable Fabrics Act, 15 U.S.C. 1191-1204 (FFA); the Poison Prevention Packaging Act of 1970, 15 U.S.C. 1471-1477 (PPPA); the Federal Hazardous Substances Act, 15 U.S.C. 1261-1278a (FHSA); and the Refrigerator Safety Act, 15 U.S.C. 1211-1214 (RSA). These provisions also apply to the Child Safety Protection Act 101 and 102, Public Law 103-267, 108 Stat. 722 (June 16, 1994) (CSPA); the Virginia Graeme Baker Pool and Spa Safety Act, 15 U.S.C. 8003(a) (VGBA); and the Children's Gasoline Burn Prevention Act 2(a), Public Law 110-278, 122 Stat. 2602 (July 17, 2008) (CGBPA).

**Subpart B—Information Subject to Notice and Analysis Provisions of Section 6(b)(1)**

**§ 1101.11 General application of provisions of section 6(b)(1).**

(a) *Information subject to section 6(b)(1).* To be subject to the notice and analysis provisions of section 6(b)(1), information must meet all the following criteria:

- (1) The information must pertain to a specific product.
- (2) The information must be obtained under the acts the Commission administers, or be disclosed to the public in connection therewith.
- (3) The Commission, any member of the Commission, or any employee, agent, or representative, including contractor, of the Commission in an official capacity must propose to disclose the information to the public (see § 1101.12).

(4) The manner in which the product is designated or described in the information must permit the public to ascertain readily the identity of the manufacturer or private labeler. [See § 1101.13.]

(b) *Information not subject to section 6(b)(1)*. The requirements of section 6(b)(1) do not apply to:

(1) Information described in the exclusions contained in section 6(b)(4) or (b)(5) of the CPSA (see subpart E and G of this rule).

(2) Information the Commission is required by law to make publicly available. This information includes, for example, Commission notifications to foreign governments regarding certain products to be exported, as required by section 18(b) of the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 2067(b); section 14(d) of the FHSA, 15 U.S.C. 1273(d); and section 15(c) of the FFA, 15 U.S.C. 1202(c). (See the Commission's Export Policy Statement, 16 CFR part 1019.)

(3) Information required to be disclosed to the President and Congress pursuant to section 27(j) of the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 2076(j).

(4) Press releases issued by firms.

(5) Information filed or presented in administrative proceedings or litigation to which the Commission is a party and which is not expressly subject to the section 6(b)(4) exceptions.

(6) A report of harm posted on the publicly available consumer product safety information database pursuant to section 6A of the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 2055a.

(7) Information that is publicly available or that has been disseminated in a manner intended to reach the public in general, such as news reports; articles in academic and scientific journals; press releases distributed through news or wire services; or information that is available on the Internet.

(8) Information that is substantially the same as information that the Commission previously disclosed in accordance with section 6(b)(1), except as specified in § 1101.31(d).

**§ 1101.12 Definition of “public”.**

*Public.* For the purposes of section 6(b)(1), the public includes any person except:

(a) Any member of the Commission or any employee, agent, or representative, including contractor, of the Commission in an official capacity.

(b) State officials who are commissioned officers under section 29(a)(2) of the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 2078(a)(2), to the extent that the Commission furnishes them information necessary for them to perform their duties under that section. Such officials may not release to the public copies of such information unless the Commission has complied with section 6(b) or the information falls within an exception to section 6(b).

(c) Members of a Commission Chronic Hazard Advisory Panel established under section 28 of the CPSA (15 U.S.C. 2077). However, disclosures of information by such a Panel are subject to section 6(b).

(d) The persons or firms to which the information to be disclosed pertains, or their legal representatives.

(e) The persons or firms who provided the information to the Commission, or their legal representatives.

(f) Other federal agencies or state or local governments to which accident and investigation reports are provided pursuant to section 29(e) of the CPSA (15 U.S.C. 2078(e)). However, as required by that section, employees of federal agencies or state or local governments may not release to the public copies of any accident or investigation report made under the CPSA by an

officer, employee or agent of the Commission unless CPSC has complied with the applicable requirements of section 6(b).

(g) The Chairman or ranking minority member of a committee or subcommittee of Congress acting pursuant to committee business and having jurisdiction over the matter which is the subject of the information requested.

(h) Any federal, state, local, or foreign government agency pursuant to, and in accordance with, section 29(f) of the Consumer Product Safety Improvement Act of 2008 (Pub. L. 110-314, 122 Stat. 3016 (August 14, 2008)).

**§ 1101.13 Public ability to ascertain readily identity of manufacturer or private labeler.**

The advance notice and analysis provisions of section 6(b)(1) apply only when a reasonable person receiving the information in the form in which the information is to be disclosed and lacking specialized expertise can readily ascertain from the information itself the identity of the manufacturer or private labeler of a particular product.

**Subpart C—Procedure for Providing Notice and Opportunity To Comment Under Section 6(b)(1)**

**§ 1101.21 Form of notice and opportunity to comment.**

(a) *Notice may be oral or written.*

(1) The Commission will generally provide to manufacturers or private labelers written notice and opportunity to comment on information subject to section 6(b)(1). Whenever possible, the Commission will transmit such notice electronically. However, when the Commission publishes a finding that the public health and safety requires a lesser period of notice pursuant to section 6(b)(1) of the CPSA, the Commission may determine that notice and opportunity to comment orally is necessary.

(2) Any notice required to be given under the provisions of this Part 1101 may be transmitted using electronic means of communication. Whenever possible, the Commission will transmit such notice electronically.

(b) *Content of notice.* The Commission shall, to the extent practicable, provide the manufacturer or private labeler with:

(1) Either the actual text of the information to be disclosed or, if appropriate, a summary of the information.

(2) A general description of the manner in which the Commission will disclose the information, including any other relevant information the Commission intends to include with the disclosure. If the Commission advises that the form of disclosure will be by press release, for example, the Commission need not provide further notice to disclose a summary of the press release.

(3) A request for comment with respect to the information, including a request for explanatory data or other relevant information for the Commission's consideration.

(4) A statement that, in the absence of a specific request by a firm that its comments be withheld from disclosure, the Commission will release to the public the firm's comments (or a summary thereof prepared by the firm or, if the firm declines to do so, by the Commission).

(5) A statement that if the manufacturer or private labeler objects to disclosure of its comments or a portion thereof, the manufacturer or private labeler must notify the Commission of such objection at the time the manufacturer or private labeler submits its comments, provide a rationale, such as an applicable statutory or regulatory basis or provision, for why the comments should not be disclosed, and explain why disclosure of the comments is not necessary to assure

that the disclosure of the information that is the subject of the comments is fair in the circumstances.

(6) Notice that the firm may request confidential treatment for the information, in accordance with section 6(a)(3) of the Consumer Product Safety Act, 15 U.S.C. 2055(a)(3) (see § 1101.24(b)).

(7) A statement that no further request for comment will be sought by the Commission if the Commission intends to disclose information that is substantially the same as the information that the Commission previously disclosed.

(8) The name, address, applicable contact information for electronic communication, and telephone number of the person to whom comments should be sent and the time when any comments are due (see § 1101.22).

**§ 1101.22 Timing: request for time extensions.**

(a) *Time for comment.* (1) In the interest of promoting timely notification, the Commission, whenever possible, will transmit electronically to the manufacturer or private labeler the notice to furnish comments to the Commission. Generally firms will receive ten (10) calendar days from the date of such notice. Firms that receive notice by mail will receive an additional three (3) calendar days to comment to account for time in the mail.

(2) Upon request, the Freedom of Information Officer may provide a different amount of time for comment, particularly for firms that receive voluminous or complex material. In addition, the Commission may publish a finding that the public health and safety requires a lesser period of notice and may require a response in a shorter period of time (see § 1101.23).

(b) *No response submitted.* (1) If the Commission has not received a response within the time specified and has received no request for extension of time, the Commission will analyze

the information as provided in subpart D. If no comments are submitted, the Commission will not give the further notice provided in section 6(b)(2).

(2) The Commission will not disclose the information in fewer than 15 calendar days after providing a manufacturer or private labeler with notice and an opportunity to comment, unless (i) the firm agrees to a lesser period or does not object to disclosure, or (ii) the Commission publishes a finding that the public health and safety requires a lesser period of notice (see § 1101.23).

(c) *Requests for time extension.* (1) Requests for extension of time to comment on information to be disclosed must be made to the person who provided the Commission's notice and opportunity to comment. The request for time extension may be either oral or written. An oral request for a time extension must be promptly confirmed in writing.

(2) Requests for extension of time must explain with specificity why the extension is needed and how much additional time is required.

(3) The Commission will promptly respond to requests for extension of time.

### **§ 1101.23 Providing less than 15 days notice before disclosing information.**

There are two circumstances in which the Commission may disclose to the public information subject to section 6(b)(1) in a time less than 15 calendar days after providing notice to the manufacturer or private labeler.

(a) *Firm agrees to lesser period or does not object to disclosure.* The Commission may disclose to the public information subject to section 6(b)(1) before the 15-day period expires when, after receiving the Commission's notice and opportunity to comment, the firm involved agrees to the earlier disclosure; notifies the Commission that the firm has no comment; or notifies the Commission that the firm does not object to disclosure.



(b) *Commission finding a lesser period is required.* Section 6(b)(1) provides that the Commission may publish a finding that the public health and safety requires a lesser period of notice than the 15 calendar days advance notice that section 6(b)(1) generally requires. The Commission may find that the public health and safety requires less than 15 calendar days advance notice, for example, to warn the public quickly because individuals may be in danger from a product hazard or a potential hazard, or to correct product safety information released by third persons, which mischaracterizes statements made by the Commission about the product or which attributes to the Commission statements about the product which the Commission did not make.

(c) *Notice of finding.* The Commission will inform a manufacturer or private labeler of a product which is the subject of a public health and safety finding that the public health and safety requires less than 15 calendar days advance notice either orally or in writing, depending on the immediacy of the need for quick action. If written notice is provided, the Commission, whenever possible, will transmit such notice electronically. Before releasing information, the Commission will comply with the requirements of section 6(b)(1) and (2) by giving the firm the opportunity to comment on the information, either orally or in writing depending on the immediacy of the need for quick action, and by giving the firm advance notice before disclosing information claimed by a manufacturer or private labeler to be inaccurate (see § 1101.25).

#### **§ 1101.24 Scope of comments Commission seeks.**

(a) *Comment in regard to the information.* The section 6(b) opportunity to comment on information is intended to permit firms to furnish information and data to the Commission to assist the agency in its evaluation of the accuracy of the information. A firm's submission, therefore, must be specific and should be accompanied by documentation, where available, if the

comments are to assist the Commission in its evaluation of the information. Comments of a general nature, such as general suggestions or allegations that a document is inaccurate or that the Commission has not taken reasonable steps to assure accuracy, are not sufficient to assist the Commission in its evaluation of the information or to justify a claim of inaccuracy. The weight accorded a firm's comments on the accuracy of information and the degree of scrutiny which the Commission will exercise in evaluating the information will depend on the specificity and completeness of the firm's comments and of the accompanying documentation. In general, specific comments which are accompanied by documentation will be given more weight than those which are undocumented and general in nature.

(b) *Claims of confidentiality.* If the manufacturer or private labeler believes the information involved cannot be disclosed because of section 6(a)(2) of the CPSA (15 U.S.C. 2055(a)(2)), which refers to trade secret or other confidential material and information subject to 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(4), the firm may make claims of confidentiality at the time it submits its comments to the Commission under this section. Such claims must identify the specific information which the firm believes to be confidential or trade secret material or subject to 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(4) and must state with specificity the grounds on which the firm bases its claims. (See Commission's Freedom of Information Act regulation, 16 CFR part 1015, particularly 16 CFR 1015.18.)

(c) *Requests for nondisclosure of comments.* If a firm objects to disclosure of its comments or a portion thereof, the firm must notify the Commission of such objection at the time the firm submits its comments, provide a rationale, such as an applicable statutory or regulatory basis or provision, for why the comments should not be disclosed, and explain why disclosure of the comments is not necessary to assure that the disclosure of the information that is the subject of the comments is fair in the circumstances. If the firm objects to the disclosure of a portion of its

comments, the firm must specifically identify those portions that should be withheld.

Conclusory statements that comments must be withheld with no supporting basis are not sufficient to justify a request for nondisclosure.

**§ 1101.25 Notice of intent to disclose.**

(a) *Notice to manufacturer or private labeler.* In accordance with section 6(b)(2) of the CPSA, if the Commission, after following the notice provisions of section 6(b)(1), determines that information claimed to be inaccurate by a manufacturer or private labeler in comments submitted under section 6(b)(1) should be disclosed because the Commission believes it has complied with section 6(b)(1), the Commission shall notify the manufacturer or private labeler that the Commission intends to disclose the information not less than five (5) calendar days after the date of the receipt of notification by the firm. The notice of intent to disclose will include an explanation of the reason for the Commission's decision and copies of any additional materials, such as explanatory statements and letters to Freedom of Information Act requesters, which were not previously sent to the firm.

(b) *Commission finding a lesser period is required.* The Commission may determine that the public health and safety requires less than five (5) calendar days advance notice of the Commission's intent to disclose information claimed to be inaccurate. For example, the Commission may determine that the public must be warned more quickly than five (5) calendar days because of danger from a product hazard or a potential hazard, or to correct product safety information released by third persons, which mischaracterizes statements made by the Commission about the product or which inaccurately attributes to the Commission statements about the product.

(c) *Notice of findings.* The Commission will inform a manufacturer or private labeler of a product that is the subject of a public health and safety finding that the public health and safety requires less than five (5) calendar days advance notice either orally or in writing, depending on the immediacy of the need for quick action. If written notice is provided, the Commission, whenever possible, will transmit such notice electronically.

**§ 1101.26 Circumstances when the Commission does not provide notice and opportunity to comment.**

(a) *Notice to the extent practicable.* Section 6(b)(1) requires that “to the extent practicable” the Commission must provide manufacturers and private labelers notice and opportunity to comment before disclosing information from which the public can ascertain readily their identity.

(b) *Circumstances when notice and opportunity to comment is not practicable.* The Commission has determined that there are various circumstances when notice and opportunity to comment is not practicable. Examples include the following:

(1) When the Commission has taken reasonable steps to assure that the company to which the information pertains is out of business and has no identifiable successor.

(2) When the information is disclosed in testimony in response to an order of the court during litigation to which the Commission is not a party.

**Subpart D—Reasonable Steps Commission Will Take To Assure Information It Discloses Is Accurate, and That Disclosure Is Fair in the Circumstances and Reasonably Related to Effectuating the Purposes of the Acts It Administers**

**§ 1101.31 General requirements.**

(a) *Timing of decisions.* The Commission will attempt to make its decision on disclosure so that the Commission can disclose information in accordance with section 6(b) after expiration of the statutory 15-day prohibition on disclosure.

(b) *Inclusion of comments.* In disclosing any information under this section, the Commission may, and upon the request of the manufacturer or private labeler shall, include any comments or other information or a summary thereof submitted by the manufacturer or private labeler to the extent permitted by and subject to the requirements of section 6(b). If the manufacturer or private labeler, at the time it submits its section 6(b) comments, specifically requests that the Commission not include the comments, or include only a designated portion of the comments, the manufacturer or private labeler must provide for evaluation by the Commission, a rationale, such as an applicable statutory or regulatory basis or provision, supporting such withholding and an explanation of why disclosure of the comments is not necessary to assure that the disclosure of the information that is the subject of the comments is fair in the circumstances.

(c) *Explanatory statements.* Where appropriate, the Commission will accompany the disclosure of information subject to this subpart with an explanatory statement that makes the nature of the information disclosed clear to the public. Inclusion of an explanatory statement is in addition to, and not a substitute for, taking reasonable steps to assure the accuracy of information. The Commission also will accompany the disclosure, to the extent practicable, with any other relevant information in the Commission's possession that places the released information in context.

(d) *Information previously disclosed.* If the Commission intends to disclose information that is substantially the same as information that the Commission previously disclosed in accordance

with section 6(b)(1), the Commission is not obligated to take any additional steps to assure accuracy unless the Commission has reason to question the accuracy of the information.

**§ 1101.32 Reasonable steps to assure information is accurate.**

(a) The Commission considers that the following types of actions are reasonable steps to assure the accuracy of information that the Commission proposes to release to the public:

(1) The Commission staff or a qualified person or entity outside the Commission (e.g., someone with requisite training or experience, such as a fire marshal, a fire investigator, an electrical engineer, or an attending physician) conducts an investigation or an inspection which yields or corroborates the product information to be disclosed; or

(2) The Commission staff conducts a technical, scientific, or other evaluation which yields or corroborates the product information to be disclosed or the staff obtains a copy of such an evaluation conducted by a qualified person or entity; or

(3) The Commission staff provides the information to be disclosed to the person who submitted the information to the Commission for review and, if necessary, correction, and the submitter confirms the information as accurate to the best of the submitter's knowledge and belief, provided that:

(i) The confirmation is made by the person injured or nearly injured in an incident involving the product; or

(ii) The confirmation is made by a person who, on the basis of his or her own observation or experience, identifies an alleged safety-related defect in or problem with such a product even though no incident or injury associated with the defect or problem may have occurred; or

(iii) The confirmation is made by an eyewitness to an injury or safety-related incident involving such a product; or

(iv) The confirmation is made by an individual with requisite training or experience who has investigated and/or determined the cause of deaths, injuries or safety-related incidents involving such a product. Such persons would include, for example, a fire marshal, a fire investigator, an electrical engineer, an ambulance attendant, or an attending physician; or

(v) The confirmation is made by a parent or guardian of a child involved in an incident involving such a product, or by a person to whom a child is entrusted on a temporary basis.

(b) The steps set forth below are the steps the Commission will take to analyze the accuracy of information which the Commission proposes to release to the public.

(1) The Commission will review each proposed disclosure of information which is susceptible of factual verification to assure that reasonable steps have been taken to assure accuracy in accordance with § 1101.32(a).

(2) As described in subpart C, the Commission will provide a manufacturer or private labeler with a summary or text of the information the Commission proposes to disclose and will invite comment with respect to that information.

(3) If the Commission receives no comments or only general, undocumented comments claiming inaccuracy, the Commission will review the information in accordance with § 1101.32(a) and release it, generally without further investigating the accuracy of the information if there is nothing on the face of the information that calls its accuracy into question.

(4) If a firm comments on the accuracy of the information that the Commission proposes to disclose, the Commission will review the information in light of the comments. The degree of review by the Commission and the weight accorded a firm's comments will be directly related to the specificity and completeness of the firm's comments on accuracy and the accompanying documentation. Documented comments will be given more weight than undocumented

comments. Specific comments will be given more weight than general comments. Further steps may be taken to determine the accuracy of the information if the Commission determines such action appropriate.

**§ 1101.33 Reasonable steps to assure information release is fair in the circumstances.**

(a) The steps set forth below are the steps the Commission has determined are reasonable to take to assure disclosure of information to the public is fair in the circumstances:

(1) To the extent permitted by and subject to the requirements of section 6(b), the Commission may accompany information disclosed to the public with the manufacturer's or private labeler's comments or other information or a summary thereof unless the manufacturer or private labeler asks in the firm's section 6(b) comments that the comments or a designated portion thereof not accompany the information, provides a rationale, such as an applicable statutory or regulatory basis or provision, for why the comments should not be disclosed, and explains why disclosure of the comments is not necessary to assure that the disclosure of the information that is the subject of the comments is fair in the circumstances. If the firm objects to the disclosure of a portion of the firm's comments, the firm must specifically identify those portions that should be withheld. Conclusory statements that comments must be withheld with no supporting basis are not sufficient to justify a request for nondisclosure.

(2) The Commission generally will accompany the disclosure of information with an explanatory statement that makes the nature of the information disclosed clear to the public. The Commission will also take reasonable steps to disclose any other relevant information in its possession that will assure disclosure is fair in the circumstances.

(3) The Commission will limit the form of disclosure to that which the Commission considers appropriate in the circumstances. For example, the Commission may determine that



issuance of a nationwide press release in a particular situation is not appropriate and rather will issue a press release directed at certain localities, regions or user populations.

(4) The Commission may delay disclosure of information in some circumstances. For example, the Commission may elect to postpone an information release until an investigation, analysis or test of a product is complete, rather than releasing information piecemeal if such disclosure would be unfair.

(b) The Commission will not disclose information when it determines that disclosure would not be fair in the circumstances. The following are examples of disclosures which generally would not be fair in the circumstances.

(1) Disclosure of information furnished by a firm to facilitate prompt remedial action or settlement of a case when the firm has a reasonable expectation that the information will be maintained by the Commission in confidence.

(2) Disclosure of staff notes or minutes of meetings to discuss or negotiate settlement agreements and of drafts of documents prepared during settlement negotiations, where the firm has a reasonable expectation that such written materials will be maintained by the Commission in confidence.

(3) Disclosure of a firm's comments (or a portion thereof) submitted under section 6(b)(1) if the firm provides a rationale, such as an applicable statutory or regulatory basis or provision, for why the comments should not be disclosed and explains why disclosure of the comments is not necessary to assure that the disclosure of the information that is the subject of the comments is fair in the circumstances.

**§ 1101.34 Reasonable steps to assure information release is “reasonably related to effectuating the purposes of the Acts” the Commission administers.**

(a) The steps set forth below are the steps the Commission has determined are reasonable to take to assure that the disclosure of information to the public effectuates the purposes of the Acts it administers.

(1) *Purposes of the CPSA.* The Commission will review information to determine whether disclosure would be reasonably related to effectuating one or more of the specific purposes of the CPSA, as set forth in sections 2(b) and 5, 15 U.S.C. 2051(b) and 2054.

(2) *Purposes of the FHSA, FFA, PPPA, RSA, CSPA, VGBA, and CGBPA.* The Commission will also review information concerning products subject to the transferred and other acts it administers and to the Commission's specific functions under those acts to determine whether disclosure of information would be reasonably related to effectuating the purposes of those acts.

(3) *Purposes of the FOIA.* FOIA requests will be reviewed to determine whether disclosure of the information is reasonably related to effectuating one or more of the purposes of the acts administered by the Commission. In the event of a close question on this issue, the Commission will defer to the purposes of the FOIA. The FOIA establishes a general right of the public to have access to information in the Commission's possession, particularly information that reveals whether the Commission is meeting its statutory responsibilities or information upon which the Commission bases a decision that affects the public health and safety.

(b) In reviewing proposed information disclosures, the Commission will consider disclosing the material on the basis of whether release of the information, when taken as a whole, was prepared or is maintained in the course of or to support an activity of the Commission designed to accomplish one or more of the statutory purposes.

#### **Subpart E—Statutory Exceptions of Section 6(b)(4)**

##### **§ 1101.41 Generally.**

(a) *Scope.* This subpart describes and interprets the exceptions to the requirements of section 6(b)(1)-(b)(3) that are set forth in section 6(b)(4). These exceptions apply to:

(1) Information about a product reasonably related to the subject matter of an imminent hazard action in federal court;

(2) Information about a product which the Commission has reasonable cause to believe is in violation of any consumer product safety rule or provision under the Consumer Product Safety Act (15 U.S.C. 2051, et seq. ) or similar rule or provision of any other act enforced by the Commission;

(3) Information in the course of or concerning a rulemaking proceeding; or

(4) Information in the course of or concerning an adjudicatory, administrative or judicial proceeding.

(b) *Application to transferred and other acts.* The Commission will apply the exceptions contained in section 6(b)(4) to those provisions in the transferred and other acts, comparable to the specific provisions in the CPSA to which section 6(b)(4) applies.

#### **§ 1101.42 Imminent hazard exception.**

(a) *Statutory provision.* Section 6(b)(4)(A) provides that the requirements of section 6(b)(1) do not apply to public disclosure of “information about any consumer product with respect to which product the Commission has filed an action under section 12 (relating to imminently hazardous products).”

(b) *Scope of exception.* This exception applies once the Commission has filed an action under section 12 of the CPSA (15 U.S.C. 2061), in a United States district court. Once the exception applies, information may be disclosed to the public without following the requirements

of section 6(b)(1) if the information concerns or relates to the product alleged to be imminently hazardous.

**§ 1101.43 Section 6(b)(4)(A) exception.**

(a) *Statutory provision.* Section (6)(b)(4)(A) provides that the requirements of section 6(b)(1) do not apply to public disclosure of information about any consumer product which the Commission has reasonable cause to believe is in violation of any consumer product safety rule or provision under the Consumer Product Safety Act (15 U.S.C. 2051 et seq. ) or similar rule or provision of any other act enforced by the Commission.

(b) *Scope of exception.* This exception applies once the Commission has “reasonable cause to believe” there has occurred a violation of any consumer product safety rule or provision under the Consumer Product Safety Act (15 U.S.C. 2051 et seq.) or similar rule or provision of any other act enforced by the Commission. Once the exception applies, the Commission may disclose information to the public without following the requirements of section 6(b)(1) if the information concerning the product is reasonably related to the violation.

**§ 1101.44 Rulemaking proceeding exception.**

(a) *Statutory provision.* Section 6(b)(4)(B) provides that the requirements of section 6(b)(1) do not apply to public disclosure of information “in the course of or concerning a rulemaking proceeding (which shall commence upon the publication of an advance notice of proposed rulemaking or a notice of proposed rulemaking) \* \* \* under this Act.”

(b) *Scope of exception.* This exception applies upon publication in the Federal Register of an advance notice of proposed rulemaking or, if no advance notice of proposed rulemaking is issued, upon publication in the Federal Register of a notice of proposed rulemaking, under any of the acts the Commission administers. Once the exception applies, the Commission may publicly

disclose information in the course of the rulemaking proceeding which is presented during the proceeding or which is contained or referenced in the public record of the proceeding and or which concerns the proceeding without following the requirements of section 6(b)(1).

Documentation supporting the public record is also excepted from section 6(b). A rulemaking proceeding includes a proceeding either to issue, to amend, or to revoke a rule.

(c) The phrase “in the course of” refers to information disclosed as part of the proceeding and may, therefore, include information generated before the proceeding began and later presented as part of the proceeding. A rulemaking proceeding ends once the Commission has published the final rule or a notice of termination of the rulemaking in the Federal Register.

(d) The phrase “concerning” refers to information about the proceeding itself both after the proceeding has begun and indefinitely thereafter. Therefore, the Commission may publicly disclose information that describes the substance, process and outcome of the proceeding. By issuing opinions and public statements, the Commissioners, and the presiding official, who act as decisionmakers, may also publicly explain their individual votes and any decision rendered.

#### **§ 1101.45 Adjudicatory proceeding exception.**

(a) *Statutory provision.* Section 6(b)(4)(B) provides that the requirements of section 6(b)(1) do not apply to public disclosure of “information in the course of or concerning \* \* \* [an] adjudicatory proceeding \* \* \* under this Act.”

(b) *Scope of exception.* This exception applies once the Commission begins an administrative adjudication under the CPSA. The Commission will also apply the exception to any administrative adjudicatory proceeding under FHSA, FFA, or PPPA. An adjudicatory proceeding begins with the filing of a complaint under section 15(c) or (d), 17(a)(1) or (3), or 20 of the CPSA (15 U.S.C. 2064(c) or (d), 2066(a)(1), or (3), or 2069); section 15 of the FHSA (15

U.S.C. 1274); section 5(b) of the FFA, (15 U.S.C. 1194(b)); or section 4(c) of the PPPA (15 U.S.C. 1473(c)). An adjudicatory proceeding ends when the Commission issues a final order, 16 CFR 1025.51-1025.58.

(c) The phrase “in the course of” refers to information disclosed as part of the adjudication, whether in documents filed or exchanged during discovery, or in testimony given in such proceedings, and may therefore, include information generated before the adjudication began.

(d) The phrase “concerning” refers to information about the administrative adjudication itself, both once it begins and indefinitely thereafter. Therefore, the Commission may publicly disclose information that describes the substance, process and outcome of the proceeding including, for example, the effectiveness of any corrective action such as information on the number of products corrected as a result of a remedial action. By issuing opinions and public statements, the Commissioners and the presiding official, who act as decisionmakers, may publicly explain their individual votes and any decision rendered.

**§ 1101.46 Other administrative or judicial proceeding exception.**

(a) *Statutory provision.* Section 6(b)(4)(B) provides that the requirements of section 6(b)(1) do not apply to public disclosure of “information in the course of or concerning any \* \* \* other administrative or judicial proceeding under this Act.”

(b) *Scope of exception.* This exception applies to an administrative or judicial proceeding, other than a rulemaking or administrative adjudicatory proceeding, under the CPSA, FHSA, FFA, or PPPA. Proceedings within this exception include:

(1) A proceeding to act on a petition to start a rulemaking proceeding. This proceeding begins with the filing of a petition and ends when the petition is denied or, if granted, when the rulemaking proceeding begins. Information subject to the exception for petition proceedings is

the petition itself and the supporting documentation, and information subsequently compiled by the staff and incorporated or referenced in the staff briefing papers for and recommendation to the Commission.

(2) A proceeding to act on a request for exemption from a rule or regulation. This proceeding begins with the filing of a request for exemption and ends when the request is denied or, if granted, when the Commission takes the first step to implement the exemption, e.g., when an amendment to the rule or regulation is proposed.

(3) A proceeding to issue a subpoena or general or special order. This proceeding begins with a staff request to the Commission to issue a subpoena or general or special order and ends once the request is granted or denied.

(4) A proceeding to act on a motion to quash or to limit a subpoena or general or special order. This proceeding begins with the filing with the Commission of a motion to quash or to limit and ends when the motion is granted or denied.

(5) Any judicial proceeding to which the Commission is a party. This proceeding begins when a complaint is filed and ends when a final decision (including appeal) is rendered with respect to the Commission.

(6) Any administrative proceeding to which the Commission is a party, such as an administrative proceeding before the Merit Systems Protection Board or the Federal Labor Relations Authority. This proceeding begins and ends in accordance with the applicable regulations or procedures of the administrative body before which the proceeding is heard.

(7) A proceeding to obtain a retraction from the Commission pursuant to subpart F of these rules. This proceeding begins with the filing with the Secretariat of the Commission of a request

for retraction and ends when the request is denied or, if granted, when the information is retracted.

(c) In the course of or concerning. The phrase “in the course of or concerning” shall have the same meaning as set forth in either § 1101.44 (c) and (d) or § 1101.45 (c) and (d), whichever is applicable.

### **Subpart F—Retraction**

#### **§ 1101.51 Commission interpretation.**

(a) *Statutory provisions.* Section 6(b)(7) of the CPSA provides: If the Commission finds that, in the administration of this Act, it has made public disclosure of inaccurate or misleading information which reflects adversely upon the safety of any consumer product or class of consumer products, or the practices of any manufacturer, private labeler, distributor, or retailer of consumer products, it shall, in a manner equivalent to that in which such disclosure was made, take reasonable steps to publish a retraction of such inaccurate or misleading information.

(b) *Scope.* Section 6(b)(7) applies to inaccurate or misleading information only if the information is adverse—i.e., if the information reflects adversely either on the safety of a consumer product or on the practices of a manufacturer, private labeler, distributor or retailer. In addition, the Commission will apply section 6(b)(7) to information about products, and about manufacturers and private labelers of products, the Commission may regulate under any of the statutes it administers. Section 6(b)(7) applies to information already disclosed by the Commission, members of the Commission, or the Commission employees, agents, contractors or representatives in their official capacities.

#### **§ 1101.52 Procedure for retraction.**



(a) *Initiative.* The Commission may retract information under section 6(b)(7) on the initiative of the Commission, upon the request of a manufacturer, private labeler, distributor or retailer of a consumer product, or upon the request of any other person in accordance with the procedures provided in this section.

(b) *Request for retraction.* Any manufacturer, private labeler, distributor or retailer of a consumer product or any other person may request a retraction if he/she believes the Commission, any member of the Commission, or any employee, agent, or representative, including contractor, of the Commission in an official capacity has made public disclosure of inaccurate or misleading information, which reflects adversely either on the safety of a product with which the firm deals or on the practices of the firm. The request must be in writing and sent via either electronic mail to [cpsc-os@cpsc.gov](mailto:cpsc-os@cpsc.gov) or first class mail to The Secretariat, Office of the Secretary, U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission, 4330 East West Highway, Bethesda, MD, 20814-4408.

(c) *Content of request.* A request for retraction must include the following information to the extent it is reasonably available:

(1) The information disclosed for which retraction is requested, the date on which the information was disclosed, the manner in which it was disclosed, who disclosed it, the type of document (e.g., letter, memorandum, news release) and any other relevant information the firm has to assist the Commission in identifying the information. A photocopy of the disclosure should accompany the request.

(2) A statement of the specific aspects of the information that the firm believes are inaccurate or misleading and reflect adversely either on the safety of a consumer product with which the firm deals or on the firm's practices.

(3) A statement of the reasons the firm believes the information is inaccurate or misleading and reflects adversely either on the safety of a consumer product with which the firm deals or on the firm's practices.

(4) A statement of the action the firm requests the Commission to take in publishing a retraction in a manner equivalent to that in which disclosure was made.

(5) Any additional data or information the firm believes is relevant.

(d) *Commission action on request.* The Commission will act expeditiously on any request for retraction within 30 working days unless the Commission determines, for good cause, that a longer time period is appropriate. If the Commission finds that the Commission, any member of the Commission, or any employee, agent, or representative, including contractor, of the Commission in an official capacity has made public disclosure of inaccurate or misleading information that reflects adversely either on the safety of the firm's product or the practices of the firm, the Commission will publish a retraction of information in a manner equivalent to that in which the disclosure was made. If the Commission finds that fuller disclosure is necessary, the Commission will publish a retraction in the manner that the Commission determines appropriate under the circumstances.

(e) *Notification to requester.* The Commission will promptly notify the requester in writing of the Commission's decision on request for retraction. Notification shall set forth the reasons for the Commission's decision.

#### **Subpart G—Information Submitted Pursuant to Section 15(b) of the CPSA**

##### **§ 1101.61 Generally.**

(a) *Generally.* In addition to the requirements of section 6(b)(1), section 6(b)(5) of the CPSA imposes further limitations on the disclosure of information submitted to the Commission pursuant to section 15(b) of the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 2064(b).

(b) *Criteria for disclosure.* Under section 6(b)(5) the Commission shall not disclose to the public information which is identified as being submitted pursuant to section 15(b) or which is treated by the Commission staff as being submitted pursuant to section 15(b). Section 6(b)(5) also applies to information voluntarily submitted after a firm's initial report to assist the Commission in its evaluation of the section 15 report. However, the Commission may disclose information submitted pursuant to section 15(b) in accordance with section 6(b)(1)-(3) if:

(1) The Commission has issued a complaint under section 15 (c) or (d) of the CPSA alleging that such product presents a substantial product hazard; or

(2) In lieu of proceeding against such product under section 15 (c) or (d), the Commission has accepted in writing a remedial settlement agreement dealing with such product; or

(3) The person who submitted the information under section 15(b) agrees to its public disclosure; or

(4) The Commission publishes a finding that the public health and safety requires public disclosure with a lesser period of notice than is required by section 6(b)(1).

**§ 1101.62 Statutory exceptions to section 6(b)(5) requirements.**

(a) *Scope.* The limitations established by section 6(b)(5) do not apply to the public disclosure of:

(1) Information with respect to a consumer product which is the subject of an action brought under section 12 (see § 1101.42);

(2) Information with respect to a consumer product which the Commission has reasonable cause to believe is in violation of any consumer product safety rule or provision under the Consumer Product Safety Act (Pub. L. 92-573, 86 Stat. 1207, as amended (15 U.S.C. 2051, et seq. )) or similar rule or provision of any other act enforced by the Commission; or

(3) Information in the course of or concerning a judicial proceeding (see § 1101.45).

**§ 1101.63 Information submitted pursuant to section 15(b) of the CPSA.**

(a) Section 6(b)(5) applies only to information provided to the Commission by a manufacturer, distributor, or retailer which is identified by the manufacturer, distributor or retailer, or treated by the Commission staff as being submitted pursuant to section 15(b).

(b) Section 6(b)(5)'s limitation also applies to the portions of staff generated documents that contain, summarize or analyze such information submitted pursuant to section 15(b).

(c) Section 6(b)(5) does not apply to information (1) independently obtained or prepared by the Commission staff or (2) identified by the Commission staff through publicly available sources. For example, information that is publicly available or that has been disseminated in a manner intended to reach the public in general, such as news reports; articles in academic and scientific journals; press releases distributed through news or wire services; information that is available on the Internet; or information appearing on the publicly available consumer product safety information database established pursuant to section 6A of the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 2055a, does not fall within section 6(b)(5)'s disclosure limits.

**Subpart H—Delegation of Authority to Information Group**

**§ 1101.71 Delegation of authority.**

(a) *Delegation.* Pursuant to section 27(b)(10) of the CPSA, 15 U.S.C. 2076(b)(10), the Commission delegates to the General Counsel or his or her senior staff designees, the authority

to render all decisions under this part concerning the release of information subject to section 6(b) when firms have furnished section 6(b) comment except as provided in paragraph (b). The Commission also delegates to the Secretariat of the Commission, or his or her senior staff designee, authority to make all decisions under this part concerning the release of information under section 6(b) when firms have failed to furnish section 6(b) comment or have consented to disclosure except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section. The General Counsel shall have authority to establish an Information Group composed of the General Counsel and the Secretariat of the Commission or their designees who shall be senior staff members.

(b) *Findings not delegated.* The Commission does not delegate its authority—

(1) To find, pursuant to section 6(b)(1) and § 1101.23(b) of this part, that the public health and safety requires less than 15 calendar days advance notice of proposed disclosures of information.

(2) To find, pursuant to section 6(b)(2) and § 1101.25(b) of this part, that the public health and safety requires less than five (5) calendar days advance notice of its intent to disclose information claimed to be inaccurate.

(3) To decide whether the Commission should take reasonable steps to publish a retraction of information in accordance with section 6(b)(7) and § 1101.52 of this part.

(c) Final agency action; Commission decision. A decision of the General Counsel or the Secretariat or their designees shall be a final agency decision and shall not be appealable as of right to the Commission. However, the General Counsel or the Secretariat may in his or her discretion refer an issue to the Commission for decision.

Dated \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Todd A. Stevenson,  
Secretariat, Consumer Product Safety Commission.

DRAFT